BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

Land Acknowledgement

- <u>"The land on which we gather is the unceded territory of the Awaswas-speaking</u> <u>Uypi Tribe. The Amah Mutsun Tribal Band, comprised of the descendants of</u> <u>indigenous people taken to missions Santa Cruz and San Juan Bautista during</u> <u>Spanish colonization of the Central Coast, is today working hard to restore</u> <u>traditional stewardship practices on these lands and heal from historical trauma."</u>
- What land are you on?
- ➤ Helpful links:
 - 2023 2024: SCOC Google drive
 - Past agendas
 - Past minutes
 - Current minutes
 - SCOC Discord
 - Absentee Comment Form
 - SCOC 2023 2024 Community Agreements
- Meeting objectives
 - Beginning
 - Vote to allow Audrey's chief of staff to join the meeting
 - Need 2/3rd vote to allow them to join
 - Name, Pronouns, and would you pull the lever in the trolley problem?
 - John and Marshall would pull lever
 - Syna will as well
 - Nareh would also pull the lever, wouldn't only if there were corporate mobs
 - Jessica: would pull the lever
 - Aadity: would not pull the lever, would be too busy getting boba
 - Membership updates
 - Marshall: Aadity is no longer the new Crown Representative, new alternate is Daniel
 - Jessica: Oakes has appointed an alternative
 - Nareh: Needing an alternate didn't work as no one was interested, will keep checking in
 - Anything anyone wants to add to the agenda?
 - Main Discussions
 - Judicial Council
 - Application:
 - Info: Constitution of the Student Union Assembly
 - Marshall: What does everyone think of the applicant?

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



- John: I have served on a similar council, and I can tell that this person has a lot of experience, but just by reading a lot of sections it seems a bit personal. We very much conducted our business in a way that was not personal, we kept things strictly business. But still, the applicant is highly qualified.
- Marshall: I think they are very qualified, I have worked with them before. They did work on SCOC bylaw revisions. They do know their stuff like the SUA bylaws and constitution. The committee is in charge of reviewing the SUA bylaws. It is a more open forum than the judicial council, so they have experience with a more similar committee.
- Aadity: I believe theyre qualified and know their stuff, but I believe they should be able to be business-related, but they should be able to be partial to all applicants.
- Nareh: I think they should be able to use their personal experiences, and be able to understand people better
- Marshall: We shouldn't consider it too harshly in someone else's application
- Jessica: Based on what Aadity shared, we need to talk about the job that they are going to do.
- Syna: I think Nareh pretty much summarized what I felt about the application.
- Nareh: motions to approve
- Syna: seconds
- Candidate is approved
- Student fee advisory committee
 - Application:
 - Info: <u>Charge Letter</u>
 - Marshall: Thoughts on the applicant, I can start if no one has any thoughts.
 - Nareh: I think the candidate is very genuine, but it doesn't look like that they have a lot of expertise in the field. They
 - may not have regard for the workload. Marshall: We don't want to deny people just because they do not have a lot of experience, we could get very nit-picky.We just want to talk about potentially relevant experience.
 - Jonathan: From my background, I can see a lot of passion, but it doesn't seem that they have a lot of expertise, but

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



they have a lot of potential and room for growth. They have expressed it in a rather creative way. It might be worth investing in someone.

- Marshall: If we were to appoint them, we need to understand that they would end up at the SUA but for student fees.
- Jonathan: Whats important is that they have the heart and spirit, and that we can also teach someone how to work in a committee like this one.
- Marshall: You can only get off by something like resigning, so they could be there for a while
- Jonathan: They are a **REDACTED**, so they could be in it for the long haul in my opinion.
- Marshall: I also want to say that their lack of experience could detriment them in the most financial part of the committee towards the end of the spring quarter.
- Syna: Considering the length of the position, if we had a choice we would want someone with a little more experience for a position like this one. This position would get a lot of applicants
- Syna: can we contact them?
- Marshall: Like send them an email asking them for more information?
- Jonathan: The application is pretty cut and dry, I do not think it is necessary. We could do an interview instead, even though this way is a little unorthodox
- Marshall: There is precedent for it, it just hasn't been done this year.
- Nareh: If we were to deny them, can we deny them with a message, asking them to reapply with a stronger response
- Marshall: There are a lot of politics on committees lie this one.
- Jessica: I think we need more information
- Aadity: suggests that it is fair to let them on the committee
- John: I think we could conduct an interview, but learn more about the process.
- Marshall: I agree with Jonathan more here.
- Syna: Moves to table the application until interviews are conducted with them
- Nareh: seconds the motion

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

- Discussion on bylaws
 - SCOC Bylaws: SCOC Bylaws
 - Amendment doc: SCOC Bylaws Amedments 1/22/24
 - Marshall: begins discussion on by-laws, its just also applying to SCOC, discusses further by-laws work
 - Nareh: likes the bylaws
 - Jessica: Likes the changes and that it changes a lot of the loopholes
 - Syna: I like all the changes
 - John: tying up loose ends
 - Syna: I motion to approve with exceptions
 - \circ Jessica: seconds
- Review of SCOC application questions
 - <u>Application</u>
 - Marshall: goes over the questions
 - Jessica: The last question isn't really necessary
 - Marshall: for the second question, its useless to ask for the outreach as we have no real way to track it
 - Nareh: talks about how the applications are not necessarily asking for personal stories as it is up to the applicant
 - Marshall: did want to have a place where an applicant can have a personal stake in it
 - Jessica: second question is good as it is
 - Third question: clarify addressing both the committee and the charge
 - Fourth question: Marshall: how much should we emphasize in this application?
 - Jessica: it is kind of valuable
 - Marshall: I don't see many representatives actually poting about what they do in their committees. There needs to be a better ay to outreach
 - John: The EVP office has their own division, maybe it is more of an idea about what we could do to track this
 - Jessica: thinks the question ahs already been addressed
- Officer reportbacks
 - Executive Vice-Chair: Marshall
 - Bylaws
 - Study
 - Executive Administrator: Aadity
 - Working on outreach

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

- Event planning
 - Event planning doc:
- > Closing
 - Parking Lot
 - Adjournment
 - Adjourned at 2:47 PM