

SUA MEETING MINUTES

Fall 2018 Quarter Session

Tuesday 27 Nov 2018

8:00pm Cervantes and Velasquez Conference Room

Assembly Members Present: Ayo Banjo, Davon Thomas, Lauren Woo, Enrique Yarce, Bella Bullock, Cameron Elliott, Natasha Bramer, Ryan Sparno, Saúl Soto, Claudia Paz Flores, Ce-Lai Fong, Katie Keeshen, Venkatesh Nagubandi, Amy Calderwood, Emma Cunningham, Joshua Anh Ta, Alexandrina Chavez, Jocelyn Fredell, Anna Romstad, Owen Sweeney, Chase Hayes, Jessica Zubia Calsada, Michelle Moreno, Aakriti Singh, Stephan Edgar, Andrew Romero, Naliyah Martinez, Robert Parke, Sharu Suriya, Kayla Beaman, Sunpreet Mahil

Assembly Members Absent: Alicia Freedman, Devyn Ellis, Leighton Mair, Yonatan Melesse, Sydney Eliot, Soma Badri, Francisco Diaz, Sam Grewal, Fatima Mohammadi

Yet-to-be-Filled: MEChA, Bayanihan, DSU (pending SOAR Registration)

Vacancies: Internal Vice President

8:06PM: Call to order

8:07PM: Roll Call

8:10PM: Approval of the Agenda

Stephan: Motion to approve the agenda 8:10 pm

Venkatesh: Second 8:10 pm

Zach: Any objections? No objections; Motion Passes 8:10 pm

8:11PM: Approval of 11/13 Minutes

Emma: Motion to approve the minutes 8:11 pm

Anna: Second 8:11 pm

No objections; motion passes 8:11 pm

8:11PM: Announcements and Public Comments

Ayo: My office is working on a town hall to incorporate students voices in terms of what they're looking for in new chancellor. We going to try to have a conversation about events that took place on the chancellor search. I want to clarify any questions about that, about the protest, about the happening. If you ask any questions, you can ask now. Cause it's important to know If you're good to go then we can move on.

Owen: I didn't even know there was a protest, could you elaborate?

Ayo: So basically, we had the chancellor search committee meeting. The vice presidents were there to talk about some issues they have, the process, ask questions what they're looking for in the committee. During the meeting, we had a group of protestors come and they were chanting out loud, outside. Protestors went inside the building, that's when the Chief of police asked everyone to evacuate. Once every left the room we were in. I turned to the president and the president asked what she thinks we should do. I said to have a discussion with students would be the best thing they could do at this point. I went to students and basically told them we would let them upstairs and police would move out the way. I didn't really get the authority to make them move, they were nice enough to respect the fact that we just wanted to have a discussion. The police ended up moving -even though they did a lot of things to students and we're going to be addressing that at the next chief of police meeting. The students went upstairs, they realized that Janet wasn't there anymore. Then, they took over the room they were in and had a conversation about what to do next. Vice Pros of Student Success ? was there to talk to students, mediate, and hear students hear demands of what they can do better. Ultimately, they said that they don't do enough to make sure voices are included, especially marginalized voices. I think the biggest point they brought up was that when the shooting happened in Pittsburgh when eleven Jewish people were killed in the synagogue. There was a letter sent campus wide that said "we feel really strongly, we want our students to know that we support our Jewish community- and we all got that email. But when there were posters that said "it's ok to be white" when we had the Black Lives Matter. We had multiple instances that proved that there was harsh racism on campus we didn't get an email about that or any

support for Black students. There's certain admin that have been here consistently and haven't said anything about that. We have to hold them accountable and make sure we call these things out. I'm going to be talking about this in our Long Range Project in terms of what we do in SUA moving forward how we can be more political and represent students concerns in the best way. Ended with them asking Jay P. ? to sign a document to make sure that none of the students involved in the protest wouldn't get implicated. He didn't sign it immediately but said we would try his best to make it known that it was a peaceful protest. Does anybody have any questions

Anna: Is there a date for your town hall yet?

Ayo: December 5th but it may be December 6

Emma: Motion to extend time by 5 min

Katie: Second 8:17 pm

No objections; Motion passes 8:17 pm

Cameron: What's up y'all. I'm Cameron. I'm starting his thing called CHPSA which stands for California Health Professional Student Alliance. It's main goal is to lobby for universal health care in California. I can give you more details later. If you're interested come talk to me after meeting. It's in the really early stages right now.

8:18PM: Approval of the FY '19 Budget

Katie: It's not in the folder, it might be the sharing things are weird cause I don't see it. Thank you.

Zach: I apologize about that

Ayo: I'm gonna email out a link to your emails right now

Zach: Is it in the folder now?

Davon: Yes

Ayo: I'll just go over the issue with the SOFA to finance board and make my last recommendation. We were missing one person to come. SOFA is just a body in SUA that's job is to make recommendations of how to fund organizations. Cause we didn't have quorum we literally couldn't meet, as SOFA. The orgs already came out, had their

time slots, SOFA was already pushed back this late. I didn't feel comfortable telling them to leave because we couldn't have SOFA meet. I told each org that walked in that we were finance board. I talked to a few people at the table and they said they were fine with convening finance board and hearing out the organizations. Anybody in SUA can listen to orgs (e.g.: If Saul wanted to listen to MSA they could meet with you). The body could decide if they could agree. Anyone can bring up suggestions. It's not SOFA so we couldn't call it SOFA. My proposal is also for them to get funded from programming. My proposal is for y'all to move \$18k from SOFA into the general programming that way we can fund them from the programming. SOFA still has to meet technically. Tonight we are going to send out an email and orgs to send out a person next week wednesday at 1pm for the 2 orgs who didn't come. The only reason why I say move the money from sofa to programming is because of a technical issue. That's my recommendation to y'all. We'll take feedback and any changes now.

Katie: So the agenda item we are on is the approval for the FY '19 Budget? has there been any changes to the budget just want to make sure

Venkatesh: Motion to approve the budget 8:24 pm

Lauren: Second 8:24 pm

Alexandrina: Objection (wants to talk more about item) 8:24 pm.

I just want to say that most of the people in Porter are new. Also when I brought up the budget and we looked at it the other reps weren't there. I'm new and have a lot of questions. The first thing people brought up were concerns of candidate campaign money being deducted. Concerned that the... reimbursements reduces..like idk how to explain this. They're worried that the reimbursement could not...basically, people are worried that because the people running have to pay out of pocket and the officers are getting paid. There could be a disadvantage of how much people could use money to rerun and how much they would be reimbursed...

Ayo: Fun fact: I'm not rerunning. Second of all, the campaign reimbursement that decision was made last year by SUA. It was decided because there's usually only one to two, sometimes three candidates running for a position. In total last year there were

only two candidates that ran for each position except IVP. Since people don't run as much we took that into account. If we get more candidates we can revisit that and expand that. The 3,500 is already going over. We're taking money aside for reimbursements, but it doesn't usually pass the 3,500 range.. In terms of running officers can spend \$250 and elected reps can spend \$100.

Alexandrina: thank you

Emma: So, I also -just a clarification issue. I wasn't here last week and Alicia my partner is not here this week and didn't give me the notes. I don't understand the ivp pay and changes for programming. Student staff benefits there's.. I'm wondering in general why are things being moved into programming. Is that to make up for lost money in concert? I would just like a brief explanation.

Ayo: Briefly, I just wanted to increase the programming budget because I wanted everyone to feel more comfortable with the number. I know the 17k felt a little uncomfortable. Since the money was already allocated I just thought it would be a better idea to increase it as much as possible. We could still do something with those funds. Also student staff benefits. I talked to past years president and they didn't really use that line item. The student staff are already getting paid

Natan: I have a question about sofa, as it relates to the budget. If sofa didn't meet quorum, i'm under the impression that recommendations were still made for as to how orgs should be funded. Do those recommendations spend the entirety of the budget if it were approved?

Ayo: Even though we couldn't meet for SOFA, we still operated on a 20k budget. I still set the same minimum to the \$20,000. With the recommendations that the finance board issued was within

Natan: So all of that 20k was allocated spent? Well the proposal

Ayo: yea so if you go to column L.

Natan: I don't have access to that. I'm not an actual sua person

Ayo: Yea you are a member, you're here. If you look...you'll see

Natan: email: nalao[@ucsc.edu]

Zach: Does anybody else want to be shared?

Ayo: If we were to approve this budget y'all are approving that there is still 20,000 left in sofa. SOFA is really just programming. That money is really all in programming. But we said sofa operate on this budget. I don't want to take any risks and there being a problem moving sofa money into non-sofa recommendations. Primary amendment Motion (?) To move 20,000 from sofa into programming and then approve the budget
8:33 pm

Ayo: Actually make that 18,000 for reflective purposes only

Andrew: second 8:33 pm

Cameron: Objection. 8:34 pm

If we vote on this i'm probably gonna vote no. I feel uncomfortable with shanking the budget just to avoid technicalities of not reaching our rules in the bylaws. They didn't make quorum in the finance board meeting. It sounds like were just trying to call it finance board and convene sofa later. I don't feel comfortable with doing this right now

Emma: So the issue is that because SOFA didn't meet technically we can't say that SOFA can use sofa money because they didn't meet. So in order to use money we have to move sofa's money to not sofa. was that confusing?

Ayo: we don't have to use sofa funds to approve the recommendations. we'd be left with 4,000 in programming. We're only going to be hearing about two orgs in the actual meeting next week. We made this move solely because we didn't want to move it back again. We can still invite them again, I just feel that having late funds already if it's the best option. I also don't

Nikayla: I just wanted to say that I was at the finance board meeting and I took minutes of our discussion. I just don't think it's shared yet. Just so you know why and what we chose to fund, but it's also in the google drive...

Emma: It seems like to me that as much as this is semantics issue it is important that we go through with funding people the money that they asked for, because that's the main reason we're doing this, so they can plan events. We're in week nine and people need to get events off the ground and not for this quarter obviously. We go into winter

break and people might not have time to be orchestrating that money over break and they could have an event at beginning of winter quarter. It seems unfair to postpone again. In all honesty we want to give people their money. We should figure out a way that. Maybe we can move sofa money back later and use programming money, if that's more comfortable for people. I don't know if that makes sense but it seems like we should fund people *now* so they can get that money so they have enough time

Lauren: I reserve my right to make a motion. I agree with Emma. This isn't something that breaches the constitution anyway. First and foremost, our job is to serve the students. Full disclosure, I was one of the people that asked for funding with hope that I would get it before winter break. Motion to call to question 8:39 pm

Owen: can we have a moment of deliberation to discuss with other college reps on how we are going to vote.

Zach: we need $\frac{2}{3}$ majority to pass this

Cameron: second 8:40 pm

Ayo: objection 8:40 pm

Point of clarification if we call to question we are going to be approving the primary amendment i just motioned?

Moving into vote 8:41 pm

Owen: I didn't have a chance to object. I thought it was a point of clarification

Owen: objection, motion for 30 second recess 8:42 pm

Zach: There's a motion to call to question which means we will be ending discussion and voting on motion to move SOFA money into programming. Owen's recess is how people would vote. Call to question would have to not be passed for that to happen

Natan: Just to be clear, this vote is to vote on whether or not we're going to vote?

All those in favor for calling to question: 18 hands raised

All those who oppose the call to question: ??

Zach: the motion to call to question passes , we will be moving into vote. It's to make this the main motion the fund requests out of programming.

Vote to make primary amendment the main motion please raise your hand/placard:

All those who object: 1

Motion passed 8:47 pm

Zach: this is a time we could call a recess

Any motions regarding the time

Lauren: Motion to extend time by 10 minutes 8:47 pm

Stephan: second 847

Zach: quorum for sofa by the way is eleven people.

Cameron: what we are basically doing is calling this sofa . Even though we just renamed it. Can we suspend bylaws instead of doing this finessing. Can we just be real about what we are doing instead of making it complicated

Ayo: To be clear, this is not finessing it's specifically to still fund the orgs without having them come back. If you want to change anything in sua gov docs. You would have to give one week notice and then it can change.

Owen: I just want to say I appreciate Ayo going out his way to figure this out and get these orgs funded in a time when we are already pressed for time and sofa couldn't convene. I think this is a creative and well thought out way to be transparent and follow the constitution and governing docs as well as do what SUA is supposed to do. I appreciate that and I think y'all should see that as well. And that we could not call it SOFA cause that would not be transparent

Davon: essentially we are hiring ivp candidate next tuesday. Really sit there us interviewing about 10 people ,and then discussing that, along with all the budget recommendations for SOFA. So unless folks want to be here well around midnight next tuesday. If we are not doing something tonight we are doing that next week and ivp, all in one night before finals. I understand folks concerns and they're valid. I just want to be realistic of how much time we want to spend on that a week before finals. That's the real issue I have, next tuesday of how long we are gonna be here. The budget takes us over an hour each week. I support Ayo with this and moving forward with this budget today.

Zach: if y'all wanna suspend that bylaws we can do that

Sharud: I do want to point out that we will be discussing the recommendations so they're not set in place. We do have the space to have our inputs said and we did have this shared so...If possible maybe some of us already get input from spaces. Even though SOFA did not convene, we have that space right now and we can talk about that and voice our opinions.

Zach: motion on table right now is this motion sofa to sua programming to fund requests out of programming

All those in favor to approve this motion: 21?

Motion to not approve: 1

Zach: motion passes we will be moving 18,000 from sofa to programming, however we have not approved budget

Lauren: Motion to approve budget 8:56pm

Anna: Second 8:56 pm

Ayo: Point of personal privilege: I just want to clarify that was my main thing

Zach: Any objections; no objections; motion passes 8:57 pm

Cameron: motion to move all sua money into ayo's salary (joking of course)*laughs*

8:57PM: Finance Board Funding Recommendations

Zach: We are presenting this info

Ayo: These are the recommendations I'll go over it real quick. What we try to do is look at how sofa funded orgs last year. Make sure we gave them the same or more. A lot of these organizations have been funded either the same they got last year or more. If they didn't ask for funds before then we didn't take that into consideration. Get us much funds to these orgs as possible ...I think it's best just in case...actually If y'all have questions ask after. BSU \$3,320; The reason we wanted to give them money then is because. We can fund them zero and also zero for ISA. Have them come back to wednesday meeting if that's what y'all would like to do. Game design and arts collab fully funded them. MSA 2500. Pacsa? \$2000 specifically of tinikling and casama attire

for their cultural performance. Slugbotics \$600. Matchbox \$1,000, cruz hacks \$600. Main reason why they got less is because they told us they presented to us and told us they have a lot of donors. We felt they needed a little less help. Prioritize groups that don't have network already. Shutterslug \$1000. SASE we gave them \$3000 for food; formula slug for registration; hermanas unidas \$2000 for hotel, mileage, gas, van rental. A few dollars left for them to buy more snacks. Office of academic affairs we gave them \$1000 because sua members have larger resource network. That's the entire recommendation. Does anyone have questions? (this info is all shared in google docs)

Zach: we are not voting on this tonight unless y'all suspend the bylaws

Katie: So um we just moved \$18,000 from fall sofa to sua to fund this stuff. 20,000 in requests. How does that all work out? Why did we do it that way?

Ayo: total of this comes out to \$20,000 that is correct. What we could do is decrease...we can still fund them full \$20,000 these recommendations they are asking for money for programming to be clear. These funds will come from programming. Because we move 18 to programming, essentially they're be taking up full thing. The only reason why I wanted to keep \$2k in SOFA is because in case y'all didn't want to fund ISA or BSU who didn't show up. Essentially we can still fund the entire thing, we can still have sofa. If orgs ended up not needing SOFA i doesn't matter we still need to convene sofa. I don't know if that was clear enough.

Katie: I understand. Okay, it's just weird

Davon: I'm going to have to piggyback off of the previous speaker. I understand where the confusion is as well. So before we did the motion imaging the 18,000..come out of programming. Before we put the 18,000 into programming that's where it was originally coming from.

Natasha: Can I ask a specific officer a question before I go on with my statement or lauren can you check your texts real quick. Nevermind so it's good. They responded so. I was thinking I'm just a little concerned that we haven't fully funded the scantron things for the office of academic affairs. If another person would like to get it from

some other source that's fine. Otherwise I'm thinking we could take two no shows the money, if it's not affecting them next quarter and fund towards scantrons

Emma: so i'm wondering why the board chose to recommend funding \$3,320 to the BSU and zero to the ISA although neither showed up. If this is a situation that we should say next week ...take that money out because if we only have the 18,000 to work with instead of assuming we have 2000 to get from programming which we didn't allocate. Add some of leftovers into scantrons. Unless there's a specific reason why the bsu was funded the money and the isa was not. For me the rule seems it would be logical that we don't fund them until they come and present. I don't think we should have preferential treatment for anybody unless there's a specific reason which i'm unclear about.

Ayo: point of information the reason we decided to fund that is because they (BSU) need it earlier. ISA's event is happening in spring quarter. I sent out a time frame come to this meeting and we can fund you, anytime outside of that I suggested they come to winter SOFA. For BSU they did send info about their organization and they got funded last year as well. This is an org that get's funded every year. It was a timely thing. We can still ask them to come but sua will be done next week on tuesday.

Davon: point of information if you go to form responses bsu says conference is january...which would give SOFA about two weeks to fund them. they have to have that done in advance. January 18th It's not that far away. I can't find ISA on this doc, but their event is months later. They could come back and would get money and be fine. BSU event is literally in a month. That month is crucial . It wasn't treatment it was just a time thing. I understand your concern, it's valid. I'm glad we could clarify that for y'all.

Emma: Thank you for answering my question

Lauren: In terms of a previous speaker's statement concerning of office of academic affairs getting funded \$1,000. I'm going through office of chancellor to try to receive funding. I was supposed to have x amount of dollars, some things happened so that I didn't get that funding. Right now, I'm working with dean's office. Sua money would be

used secondary. If I still needed more after chancellor funds I would pull from sua. I would just give it back to sua In terms of bsu i understand the concerns. I'm just concerned that typically when a group doesn't come in to present we don't fund them. And that worries me cause -say there was another group that was in similar situation we wouldn't' fund them if that makes sense. That seems sort of unfair to me.

Natan: If I understand what's going on correctly sofa did not meet quorum when it was supposed to meet. So we move money into general programming and informally adopt the recommendations to people who heard the .requests. And fund them out of general programming budget. Is that accurate?

Ayo: yea so sofa didn't meet quorum and we convened finance board. They listened to the recommendations. Right now we are asking SUA to fund these orgs out of programming based off these amounts we've allocated.

Natan: Great, then what I think i'm hearing so we would suspend bylaws to ... E1A? Something about budget. Specifies how funds from general programming should be spent...definitely in section e. That's part of bylaws we would wave to do that right?

Zach: that would be in section C

Natan: I'm just looking for clarification if that is part of the bylaws being suspended. They would the other regulations apply such as the reports mandated through sofa..report three weeks after event concludes. Would those regulations still be in place...

Zach: technically no because this isn't sofa. Can still fund these organizations next week

Natan: I agree with lauren that we should apply consistent policy to funding the organizations. Even though the ISA event is in spring quarter. Many orgs that run major scale events require funding 6 weeks in advance. Lack of funding would impact their ability to advertise. I conclude my comment thank you

Davon: ISA event is April 20th i'm completely sure they will get that money in time. That's a whole ten weeks they have to get that money I could not be less worried

about those folks at all. Event is after spring break .It really shouldn't be concern for anybody at this point

Ayo: I talked to ISA yesterday. They said they are fine with coming back to sua sofa or coming back first week of winter quarter. They're on agenda for January 8th.

Zach: Any motion regarding time

Emma: Motion to extend time by 10 min 9:16 pm

Stephan: Second 9:16 pm

No objections, motion passes 9:16 pm

Naliyah: point of clarification: Where is the concern as in reason to take from BSU.

Lauren: can you clarify the question ?

Naliyah: What is the concern around needing to take money from BSU? Where would that funding need to go exactly?

Ayo: the convo right now is surrounding why BSU didn't show up. Because they didn't show up there were people concerned why they were getting funding in first place. I responded saying they need it earlier. There's convo about moving money to academic affairs. I don't know where everyone at.

Lauren: I'm not concerned about my office getting funding. It's a matter about keeping everything fair. Historically we have not funded anyone that didn't come in. So if there's a way for you to come and present..

Natasha: I understand and i'm glad a previous speaker has reached out to ISA and clarified the situation. I still think that act of having them come back for funding is unfair in how it was played out in the budget seeing as they didn't show and another group did. I understand the time constraints.. have a fair application of rules across the board.

Lauren: It's more just about keeping everything fair. If there's a way for y'all to come back and get that funding out in time, I would strongly advocate for that.

Ayo: Point of information: y'all can also just motion to add money to them. If y'all wanna take more money for programming...

Zach: I will put you on stack

Naliyah: Is this document shared? Okay, what is the name of it? So a previous speaker mentioned about a level playing field and things like that. I wanted to clarify that the request for the budget which has been happening for years, might I add, the budget has been approved for years. The request was made previously. What the dates were next week when we would need to come in and if (money) it's guaranteed as well. Because we don't have anywhere else to get money from

Ayo: scheduled to meet wednesday 1 pm. We can invite both bsu and isa. Funds won't be approved until after winter break

Naliyah: Considering that we get back from winter break...January 7th. Our event is literally two weeks after that. I can say that particular members of BSU have had strenuous situations . The budget being approved at that time is conducive to our schedule. If we are not allocated these funds we will not be able to proceed with the conference in a timely and conducive manner.

Ayo: I think the main concern rn is the BSU and ISA one got funded and one didn't. In terms of logistics we can't go about,,it's about the situation. take into account of the situation.that's why I talked to both and tried to figure out some sort of solution. We could fund both bsu and isa if someone wanted to make a motion

Katie: Point of info is not to give info but to ask for info or clarification from parliamentarian or another member. Not a way to skip stack and say your piece

Venkatesh: A previous speaker said BSU was approved and ISA wasn't because didn't give out a lot of info. it looks like ISA put a lot of info and a budget. I feel like it's not the best idea to give them funding without having them present. I think both methods proposed right now are not the best.

Sharu: I wanted to bring up, right now we have next sofa meeting planned for wednesday and if we are still having trouble i think we should get that meeting to happen before sua meeting. Somehow happen before sofa meeting. Make sure we meet quorum and make sure we can approve recommendations.

Davon: compiling the few previous speaker's points. equality v.s equity. I think equity wise circumstances require us to act differently. I would like us to remind ourselves

about past 6 weeks with this budget. It's been a shitty quarter when it comes to this budget. Fuck equality rn it's about equity. I cannot stress that that is an issue remind yourselves how long we will be in this room and that room next tuesday. Bring isa in first week of winter quarter. I highly doubt the bsu will go to their conference if we do not fund them today understand a bunch of students who did the work will not be able to go. The whole tuesday there's no need to wait. We should have a fair playing field .This quarter has been long and strenuous. We should approve this funding as it stands now.

Kayla: Motion to extend time by 5 min 9:28 pm

Venkatesh: Second 9:28 pm

No objections, motion passes

Emma: While we want this to be a fair process however SOFA didn't convene at all. Nothing was heard until week 8. If we are being honest we have to treat this a little bit differently because we are playing catch up. We should have had these recommendations out weeks ago. Now it makes sense that we have to push the rules a little bit to make sure everyone get what they need. Rules out the window in my opinion we are here to support students in doing what they wanna do and fund them the money to do that. If that requires saying let's fund an org that normally goes to this conference, say we let's just do it i'm in support of that because it seems it's so time sensitive and that's mostly our fault

Owen: I agree with most of points of last two speakers. Taking funds for BSU. one of the big problems BSU had, they were afraid of was people taking money away of minority orgs. I think it's extremely important we make sure they get the funds for this conference. We do get to bend the rules for them because society is bending the rules away from them. I support the decision to fund BSU because of that.

Natasha: I think that a lot of ISA members have put into a lot of work into their fund request as well. I don't think they would have requested the money so early if they didn't need it early. If this is for the HOLI festival, which I believe it is- that is biggest event from a student org on campus. Takes a lot more than 6 weeks to plan, probably

why they're requesting money so early. Indian students are also a minority on this campus and sua. If feel this convo would be a lot more heated if there were more Indian voices in this space. But seeing as there's not, they're not being represented well and treated well

Ayo: So like I said a few min ago I met with ISA leaders and they said they would not mind coming next week as well as first week of winter quarter. It seems they were fine with that

Yonathan?: Motion to extend time by ten min 9:33 pm

Katie: second 9:33 pm

Owen: Objections make it five min 9:33

Extend by 10 min please raise hand: 20

Motion passes 9:34 pm

Sharud: I just wanted to say that the ISA event is not the Holi festival, it's the ISA cultural show

Saul: is it possible to pull money from general fund?

Chase: if we want to continue to be equal with 2 no shows we could fund them both their full money, the rest of money from general programming i'm assuming. With this time crunch and to keep fairness. I think it would be best if both were funded and the money came from general programming. We are just arguing about where the money should come from they're going to get the funds regardless.

Davon: Yeah. That's fine.If anything can we then proceed to...

Zach: we need to motion to suspend bylaws and then motion to add money to recommendations. The one that says we refer to Robert's Rules. C 17A

Davon: motion to suspend C17A 9:37 pm

Kayla: Second 9:37 pm

Emma: objection 9:37

I don't understand why we are doing this right now when we have next week. i have a space i need to bring this back to, I don't feel comfortable..

Owen: We're suspending the bylaws so that we can approve these recommendations that the finance board made to approve these and fund ISA we would not to bring these back to our spaces. I argue that we don't need to bring these back to our spaces because there were supposed to be representatives. These are formal recommendations with the community in mind.

Ayo: If we don't..MSA is also asking for funding today. So I think we're ...I feel like this is a little bit much. At the same time if you don't feel comfortable that's fine. Just be prepared for discussing next week

Anna: Do we need to suspend bylaws to add a recommendation to fund stuff?

Katie: So a couple things, yes this was sent out two weeks ago and we did have a break between then. I get that next week's meeting is going to be long if we don't vote on this today. It's going to be long regardless and that's going to be hard. Suspending bylaws.. I think it violates the point of being a representative. This is my stance on that. A lot of spaces haven't met. Especially now that we're in this complicated thing with adding extra stuff. It's complicated. I think that we should not necessarily nature of our own comfort for next week and be real about who we represent

Stephan: The bylaws section C 17A states that in all cases not provided..The authority should be robert's rules revised?? if we are suspending that particular bylaw it means that there is no authority for cases not covered under robert's rules of order. I'm not sure that we could make the logical jump that BSU has any authority to superimpose it's own judgment regarding whether or not it could approve. I'd like to request a verdict from you of regarding if that's conducive of what we're doing as a space or going to be more inclined towards gov doc...

Zach: we still have power to in constitution bylaws. In the past the bylaw to suspend funding requests, there's a different one for sofa, this is the bylaw that's applicable. I think we still have power within bylaws and constitution. Bylaws only suspended for that agenda item as well

Venkatesh: Make it so the meeting next week is earlier I feel that way, Bsu can have funding in time, ISA can have their say, we can vote equally on that. It won't stop their

meeting completely, event they'll be able to do what they need to do. BSU will be able to hold event even if it's six days late. From the way it's going right now, it's clear they are going to get the funding. The only problem it seems is ISA not getting funded because they were not there. No one is opposed to the money BSU is supposed to get.

Zach: one of y'all can motion to table the remaining agenda item in order to hear MSA's funding request

Katie: motion to table the finance board 9:46 pm

Owen: second 9:46 pm

No objections, motion passes 9:46 pm

9:46PM: MSA Funding Request

MSA Reps: Msa west conference held at UC IRVINE this year. MSA West is Grad student org of MSA's all across west coast, including uc santa cruz. It Hosts an annual conference every year called MSA West. Conference has College students all from west coast to gather for a three day conference. We invite prominent muslim speakers from all across US to facilitate panels, workshops, speakers on various topics, social justice issues, spiritual nature, anti oppression efforts. Msa west creates a space where students can discuss these issues. As muslims in America as well as people of color student engagement via dialogue and practical efforts. 2 years ago we had msa west at uc riverside. One of the organizers for women's march..and the "kolin kapernick" he had his career destroyed because stood against the national anthem back in 90s he protested that and how people of color were treated then. Not only do UCSC attendees of this of this conference benefit but it extends across the community. Students return rejuvenated, empowered to be leaders of change, social justice, and anti-oppression. This will be MSA's 19th conference. First conference was held here at ucsc. I'm a little confused, in terms of how much we are requesting and I'm assuming we got \$2500?

Ayo: We informed the presenters that this was not sofa, that it was finance board and we present this today. So finance board is recommending that but SUA can say we want to fund more

Msa: We are requesting 445 more. Which will cover lodging, transportation, registration gas...MSA thanks you for you time if you have any questions we will answer.

Emma: I may have missed it because i was running around. I'm sorry I didn't mean to interrupt your presentation Does it say . How many people are going to be attending

MSA: Max 29

Emma: and you have 29 people excited to go?

Zach: is that total amount you're requesting on here

MSA: that's the old budget. This year's budget is \$6101. total It costs a little bit more because its at UC Irvine

Sharu: What you're asking for is an additional 400 on the ...

Zach: Thank y'all for your time an incredible amount of patience

applause

Emma: Motion for 15 min recess to move to building and fix the chairs 9:54 pm

Anna: Second 9:54 pm

No objections 9:54 pm

15 min recess starts

***Meeting not technically resumed because quorum was not met 10:09PM:**

Adjournment