

**SUA 10.17.17**

Meeting Called to Order at: 8:02PM

**California Fires: 8:02PM**

Jane: A moment of silence for those who have lost their lives and homes in the various fires in California.

Judith: Going off of that we just want to remind folks that please be aware of the resources that are provided. Safety comes first for everyone and the fires are really close. We as SUA lend off our support and if anyone has questions please let us know. There are bodies on campus that focus on everyone's safety. We offer our support to the families and folks affected.

**Approval of Agenda: 8:05PM**

Tamra: I motion to move the discussion on community agreement to the first things after announcements.

Jessica: Second

No Objections

Tamra: Can I motion to add after old business, new business and can it be a discussion on the UCPD CUIP Intern for 10 minutes.

Alice: Second

No Objections

Tamra: Motion to add Incident under Other Business for 20 Minutes

Tommy: Second

Michiko: What if we don't know what the incident is?

Tommy: Open up your facebook app.

Michiko: Shouldn't the minutes be as transparent as possible so I think it should be more specific.

V: Would it be okay to call it Library Incident

Evan: Motion to change the name to Incident in the McHenry Library Concerning College Republicans for 20 minutes.

John: Second

No Objections

**Approval of Minutes: 8:10PM**

Jessica: Motion to change the minutes for the cost on scantrons to 1403.81

Burcu: Second

No Objections, Minutes corrected.

Tamra: Motion to approve minutes

Bucu: Second

No Objections

**Announcements and Public Comment: 8:12PM**

Alice: For those of you who agreed to come help decorate, we would like to say thank you. I have a box at the back that have the decorations so all colleges can go decorate.

Delanie: We voted on that at College 10 and we agreed on that.

Alice: I was informed that it wasn't happening.

Max: At the Redwood Lounge this Friday from 5:30-7:30PM there will be free legal clinic, so if you or yourself are facing legal issues with housing and landlord please come by.

Jessica: The Vice Provost will be providing a meal. The dates will be on the website and applications will be open till November 3rd.

Katherine: The Women Center, next Tuesday from 4-7PM, will be having their fall Open House at the Cardiff House. The Asian American Pacific Islander Community will be having an event next Thursday from 5-7:30PM at MPC at College 9/10.

**\*Community Agreements (25 minutes) 8:16PM**

Wesley: What is a jargon giraffe?

Jane: It is a hand signal for a motion of inquiry.

Alice: My one concern with having a hand motion is that this is a big room and there are lots of people. I think there is value of asking someone via point of order to explain something. What if we can't see hand gesture?

Katie: We can combine the two so there are multiple ways to do that?

Jane: Please don't make reactions. I know this is not official but there is an agreement for slight commentary.

Max: We do talk about controversial things and there are new members so just a couple things to think about, if you are a new member and you have a suggestion to make this space more open please let us know. Imagine having a controversial topic and picture how this space would be like. How you would expect yourself and people to act in that space.

Stephan: Have there been any details provided on the judiciary sub committee.

Katherine: How are we approving this?

Jane: We vote on it and we need  $\frac{2}{3}$  vote. The language is not finalized yet.

Katherine: I have a suggestion, is there anything on self care?

Burcu: With regards to the judiciary committee, I think we can follow these community agreements with what is written in the constitution. I feel like if someone doesn't abide then we can proceed with the constitution and speak to the college and org or remove the person from this space.

Tamra: That was exactly what I was going to say. There are already conflict with out we are removing elected officials. I feel like we are overriding the constitution. I motion to strike out the judiciary sub committee and to follow the constitution.

Brandon: Second.

Tamra: It can be a vote to the college and we have the power in our space to remove that. I think it discuss it in the constitution.

Jessica: Motion for a 2 minutes recess.

Ayo: Second

Stephan: Regarding the motion, it details a process for recall convention but there wasn't a reason for having a just cause. So does it serve the purpose of this agreement.

Jane: It is difficult to list out every scenario why someone is impeached. It should be whoever making the discussion at the time to see if the situation is warranted.

Move Into Vote In Favor: 22 Opposed: 4

Motion Passes.

Burcu: Motion to extend time by 10 Minutes

Devon: Second

No Objections

Zach: I wanted to ask about the beginning of the document. I want some clarification, is it a living document up to this point or for the academic year.

Jane: You can just let me know and I can put it on the agenda.

Devon: Can everyone look at the agenda before coming to meeting so that we can utilize this space and not waste time and be in dead silence. Let's be prepared for the meeting.

Burcu: Is this going to be an official government like the bylaws and constitution?

Jane: Community agreements is different but it is official in the extent that we agree upon it in this organization and we use it to guide the meeting.

Burcu: I would like these agreements to be enforced. Are we going to make the language right now?

Jane: We removed the language to do that but we can discuss.

Burcu: i want to say if anyone violates it then they are subjected to everything from section G in the constitution.

Max: From my understanding, is that the call in and call out procedure?

Katie: Point of personal privilege can everyone speak up?

Tamra: I agree that we should use the call in call out process, anyone and anytime can go and impeach someone. The only thing that makes me uncomfortable of having official language is that when it's not official but there can be loops. You can use it to someone's advantage so I don't want someone to use a loop. I don't want someone removed for standing up for something that is right?

Jane: A lot of this has not been finalized.

Tamra: Motion to approve the document as is.

Matthew: Second

No Objections

Ayo: Everything in blue will be added?

Tamra: Yes.

Katie: My concern is that it needs formatting help to fit the same format as the rest.

Tamra: Can we pass it as is but can Jane reformat and repass it. But we do need something for today but I don't feel comfortable going on with this space if we don't agree right now.

Motion Passes

### **Presentations: 8:41PM**

\*Robert's Rules of Order (15 minutes)

- Set of parliamentary procedures to guide meetings.
  - It helps equalize the voices in a space and make sure that everyone's voices can be heard.
  - Stack means a list of names in the order that it was received to speak in this space. Speak in turns. (No Cross Talk) All statements to the parliamentarian.
  - Avoid using names and refer to as previous speaker. We are talking about ideas rather than individuals.
- Motions are ways to change things formally
  - I will repeat the motion but if I repeat it then the motion cannot be changed.
  - You can vote against your own motion.
- Making an Amendment
  - Reserve your right, and I will repeat it like a motion.

- If there are no objections then the motion pass, if there is an objection we move into a vote.
- You can only have a main motion and two amendments.
  - You would have to vote for the secondary amendment to the primary amendment and then vote for a third time to make it to the main motion. I will walk us through when the time comes.

*Rules will be listed in the presentation*

Tamra: Motion to table Incident in Mchenry to after funding deliberation.

Seconded, no objections

**Old Business: 8:54PM**

Funding Deliberation: VPAA Testing Materials (10 minutes) 1403.81

Michiko: Is this from the general fund?

Jessica: Yes.

Jeff: Motion to fully fund from the general fund?

Burcu: Second

Zach: Objection, I am not clear on the budget information going into this.

*Move into Debate*

Maura: I am the intern advisor and we are working on everything. By the end of this week the information to be out but right now it is not finalized.

Ayo: Reserve my right to make an amendment, Can I motion to postpone this funding to next week.

Quinn: Second

Buruc: Objection, midterms are coming up and people will need the scantrons.

Move into Vote: In Favor: 0 Opposed: 32

Jane: Motion opposed and we will not be postponing this discussion.

Tamra: There are at least 4000 dollars in the general fund.

Burcu: This is something going straight to the student, regardless how much we have, it is beneficial for the entire campus.

Move into Vote: (Motion, fully fund) In Favor: 35 Opposed: 0

Jane: Motion approved, we will allocate funding.

### **Other Business: 9:00PM**

Incident in McHenry Library concerning College Republicans (20 minutes)

Brandon: I am the president of College Republicans, I would like to bring to your attention. On Sunday night my club at UCSC had our meeting. A group of students entered our meeting and spoke "NO TRUMP" etc. We do not hold views of fascism and this is against our view of freedom of speech. The people were chanting "FUCK YOU" and called us white supremacists. One girl ran around saying there are "Nazi's in the building". Jeff Stall a Stevenson representative made a post about his disapproval on the UC Student Page. The campus police were called and three people were arrested. One of the girls running around was SUA rep Sabina. Sabina is in violation of the rule as Crown Delegate. She is in potential danger to students that she has disagreements with. We ask that SUA uphold the free speech rights and and to remove her from the position.

Jeff: I don't endorse this.

Michiko: I agree with Jeff, if we are talking about free speech, then my friend Sabina has the right to protest your platform.

Max: Point of personal privilege, I don't feel comfortable that someone is recording. I know this is public.

Jane: This is a public meeting so we do allow recording. I don't think this warrants closed session.

Brandon: She does have the right to protest, we gave her the opportunity to come talk to us, but she invaded our reserve room by holding it until we left.

Ayo: What are you asking?

Brandon: We are asking the SUA to uphold the constitution

Ayo: Point of clarification, there are students who came to the library, you are asking SUA to discipline the representative who did this.

Delanie: Are you asking she be removed as a rep of crown.

Burcu: Can you please look at the constitution.

Jane: There are a few options about impeaching. This is on pg. 16 of the constitution. The person in question is an elected college rep. Either a  $\frac{3}{4}$  vote or a college recall election which requires a petition from the college. If you want to be done with this we can vote on it.

Burcu: Is there anything in the constitution that says this rep violated.

Jane: As I actually recently said, there isn't a specific list of responsibilities, i mean there is but they are very general like "attend meetings" etc. If you wanted to recall an officer, you don't have to cite the constitution to call one.

Katherine: In this Mchenry incident, does it have anything to do with the constitutional duties with this person at all?

Max: I just want to ask a clarifying question regarding a previous speaker. Are you asking us to look for ways to discipline the specific representative? Are we supposed to come up with that discipline?

Jane: I want to say there is no set of disciplinary procedures.

Stephan: The people elected are constituents. I don't know if it's relevant if we don't have a way of recalling. I would like to recommend that the SUA rep can have a rebuttal.

Michiko: I have a question, are we able to not vote on this?

Jane: Yes.

Michiko: The complaint of her not being able to represent her constituents should be her constituents.

Brandon: I am from College 9

Jeff: We don't have a roster, if we were to make any decision, I don't think it would be possible without a roster. We have people voting on good faith, but we need to know who can vote and I think if we are considered we have to read all the language and be allowed the rebuttal.



Burcu: I reserve my right to make a motion, seeing that this individual did not violate and they are also practicing their right to free speech, I motion that we take no disciplinary action and to end discussion.

Michiko: Second.

Stephan: Objection, as an SUA rep for college 9 I think it would be in my constituents best interest to continue this discussion since the complaint is serious we should look over the constitution and guidelines more clearly.

Natalie: I am from crown and by her actions I don't think Sabina is a good rep for my college. She is allowed free speech but not to violate the peace.

Mamie: I have a lot of thoughts about this so bare with me, because the people were protesting at the library were arrested, I do feel that this is quite serious. I am not considered republican I do feel sympathetic for this group. Just because their views are not what we agree with they are apart of the campus and it is our job to represent the entirety of this campus.

Tommy: I hate speaking about things like this because I get anxious, even though I feel like that action is inappropriate. I know from experience that the university deals with the logistics of what their discipline is. I don't see how she can't represent her constituents. I do suggest that if the school takes further action to discipline the student then we should also take action. I don't see any discussion as of now on how they can't represent.

Cibyl: I call to question so we can vote.

Burcu: Second

Move in vote to call to question: In Favor 22 Opposed

Move into Vote on motion to have no disciplinary action.

Jane: We need a 2/3 vote unless we split motion.

Jeff: To what capacity do SUA have to make disciplinary action.

Alice: I motion split the motion on the table.

Ayo: Second

No Objection

Jane: Any stack under the emotion is crossed off and we move on to normal discussion.

Move into vote on no disciplinary action: In Favor 24 Opposed 1

Move into vote on ending discussion on this subject: In Favor 11 Opposed 9

Tamra: I just want to say the reason why I unvoted for us to keep this discussion going. It seems pretty obvious that a lot of people are uncomfortable with what's happening. I want us to get to a place for us to be okay with each other. We want a good year and community among SUA. I don't feel comfortable leaving it like this if not everyone is okay. Can we figure out what's going to make everything comfortable. I don't want people feeling tense coming into this space especially with all the newcomers.

Katherine: Motion to extend time by 10 minutes

Sam: Second

No Objections

Devon: Going back on what Tamra said, on the level of the constitution, the SUA should serve the needs of the students at UCSC. They should represent a full perspective of viewpoints. Individual and collective needs, activism, discussion, and debate. No laws were broken but community agreements aren't laws either. Take that into consideration.

Brandon: I think that the way the issue revealed to the assembly was shocking. I think its hard to expect any of us to make a decision when we had no prior knowledge.

Quinn: To be straightforward as much as I don't like the college republicans I don't think this is professional. I think to end discussion would be bad. I don't think removing is okay but I also don't think dropping it is okay. I think we should come to a middle ground.

V: I want to point out there are laws broken. The three individual were charged

Sabina: Point of information, we have not been charged yet.

V: It says that 409 penal codes were broken.

Jane: We are not a law enforcement body.

Katie: I think it would be useful going forward with controversial things if the officer or someone can prepare a short presentation of what happened from a non one sided point of view so it's helpful for members who didn't hear it before vs one side present their case. It would be good to have information give to the space before we went into it.

Michiko: The republicans came in talking about free speech but they have disregarded Sabrina's rights. They have not been charged and they have not gone through a jury to find them guilty. Don't be hypocritical with attacks on people in this space. When you have members trying to go intimidate someone that is also not okay. If you want to talk about what you are doing and what's happening to you you should be following the same thing that you are putting on representatives of this space.

Delanie: Point of inquiry, are you saying people are going around campus intimidating Sabina before this meeting.

Sabina: Yes one of the members did this today.

Tamra: It looks like we are not going to agree on this anytime soon so I like katie's idea if we can come up with a summary non person about basic facts not adding small descriptive words and coming up with collectively working together of a statement of what we should believe in going forward. Before this we didn't have community agreements, now we do. I think we should have an agreement in SUA to not be dicks to each other. We can have a baseline of how to talk to each other. I don't think we should sympathize to people violent to our identities, but we do need an open space so we do need to find a way to get there. We should open a time sometime this week where people can come in and we can work on creating a statement. It doesn't look like anything can be solved here.

Adam: In full transparency I was also one of the student's arrested. I think it is important to know its because the college republicans kept referring to law. We haven't gone to court or gone to a jury or been guilty or convicted.

Ayo: Based on what Tamra was saying and what Quinn was saying i'd like to introduce something new. I think it would be awesome if we had incidents like this we have someone to debrief the incident who's unbiased. I would be comfortable having the president be that person to introduce the problem on what when why who and where. So we can then go on to discuss. I'm trying to introduce a new title.

Kasev: I motion to extend time by ten minutes.

Mamie: Second

No Objections

Brandon: I just want to point out that if we can bring this up next meeting. We have video evidence and witnesses from outside the club and were at the library. We can get in contact with the officers.

V: One of the points is as far as student's rights to peacefully assemble, the college republic has reserved the room to assemble. According to the school's library's rules that time was for us and the intruding people

Sabina: Point of Clarification, there were college democrats there

V: These individuals did not peacefully speak and we feel that our rights were violated.

Stephan: I would like to motion to postpone this discussion till next week student union meeting. I request the executive board to garnish a two party side and testimonies from all.

Cibyl: Objection, we aren't in court. We want to put out something for press sure, but this is not a court case

V: Point of inquiry were all the facts relevant but also you had the opportunity to provide facts.

Move into vote on postponing this discussion. In favor: 1 Opposed: 24

Guest: I am unclear if we are postponing can we reconsider the motion that is passed this week.

Jane: It would have to be resubmitted, its complicated. Either way the motion to reconsider has to be from someone who voted yes. We didn't take a tally of who voted yes and who voted no. If this becomes an issue in a future we can do a vote by ballot so we can have record.

Samantha: I don't think laws should be brought in on either side. I think it's part of our duty to take into consideration of what the other party is saying.

Michiko: We voted to not take disciplinary action and we shouldn't be talking about it anymore.

Xiomara: From my understanding this is not a disciplinary committee. I don't understand what the SUA is doing in trying to continue this conversation. People are saying laws when it doesn't have anything to do with this.

Devon: For the past 30 min I have heard nothing new. I am really tired. At the end of the day if the conduct people make a decision we can follow up but I truly don't think we have anything left to say. This is serious but we shouldn't recycle info and we are out of time. There are people in dean of students doing conduct. If you are in hate bias incident but nothing that is said is new we are wasting time, why are we continuing this. Nothing new has been said, if you feel like this is a problem then go talk to the dean of students. Maybe someone higher up can do something. Nothing new has been added. We have other people who are paid for this. Let's move on. Cliff works at dean of student he's fantastic there are also other places and the cops are involved. We don't surpass the SCPD

Jane: No Motions.

### **New Business: 9:49PM**

UCPD CUIP Intern (10 minutes)

Tamra: We should talk about the collaboration. I want to bring it up as open discussion are we opening on collaborating with UCPD. I will say that the CUIP Intern is a student.

Devon: I think we should create a committee of conduct to oversee what the PD is doing.

Tamra: I will take down all suggestion. Please throw the pen at me.

Katherine; My office doesn't' have attention on working with UCPD. I like the recommendation of a committee with staff members and students to oversee police conduct.

Stephan: Do UCPD have events here?

Tamra: Totally chill no need to apologize. They came to me asked what do we want to go see and what we envision. He wants to hear from everyone there is no itinerary.

Sabina: Disarm campus police.

Zach: What exactly is the CUIP's position

Tamra: They are a student working under the police department. He plans events with student body and facilitate that with SUA given that we are a governing body.

Kasev: UCPD in RA training said they have certain number of hours they have for training. I think if they are transparent on what they are trained in and a forum that would be good.

Tamra: Would you guys be interested in the intern coming in to say what his position is. I am fine with relaying info but I don't want to deny anyone this access of having direct questions.

Max: I was going to say I don't feel comfortable being around police. But if the assembly votes on something I would like more info on how they handle suicide issue when they get called for something like that. What resources they use?

Tamra: If we do invite the intern they are just a student not the police.

Delanie: This is a general question, do they receive diversity training, the police officer?

Devon: I don't want any police officer but I won't deny a student. I don't want to keep them from coming in here. Just alone, just them.

Tamra: Motion for 2 minutes

Kasev: Second

No Objections

Tamra: Quick question, can we agree or disagree that we don't or do want the intern to come. If we don't what actions should I take.

Straw Poll, All those in favor of having intern come in: In Favor (19) Opposed (5)

Tamra: The last is what do you want me to follow up on? I'll give them a list before he comes to the meeting and let you guys know.

Alice: I would like the list, inquiry of the extra police at commencement ceremonies.

Guest: Student have inputs on new police officer workshops like diversity training.

### **Closing Remarks and Adjournment: 9:48 PM**

Jane: Can someone of you help stay and put the room back. If you are a permanent member please leave your name tag. If you are not on the emailing list please talk to me.

Max: I know we are not suppose to talk about I think we need a bigger discussion on what's happened. I don't have concrete ideas but it is something I would like to look into. There are things that should be talked about. I have a lot to think about but this is unfinished for me. If anyone wants to talk to me after, I am open to that.

Alice: Please get your decorations for IHeartUCSC

**Meeting Adjourned at 10:00PM**