

Student Union Assembly Meeting
Tuesday 15 May 2018
8:00 pm Stevenson 175

Chancellor & EVC Introduction (8:00PM)

George Blumenthal: I'm George Blumenthal I'm the Chancellor of UCSC and the Chief Executor of the campus, I have face wit the regents, I work with donors and lobby Sacramento

Marlene Tromp: chief academic officer, oversee vice provosts and vise chancellors

Jem: We'll go straight into our different topics with Strategic Academic Plan

Blumie: And I ask that you speak up please when you speak

Jem: If you're a student speaker, please make you sure you introduce yourself before you speak

8:05PM: Strategic Academic Plan

Clarissa: Strategic academic plan, (SAP) addressing issues seems as students, student consulting

Brenda: How many heard about it? Light of the issue: Quoted by CHP on what SAP's issues are. Drawing concerns because of the speed of the plan, which completely disregards student voices and concerns. Lack of diversity in the advising committee, and steering committee. Attended student forums, and understood that students will be affected. No inclusion of student voices; concerns of students are completely brushed over. Students of color, and others on campus.

Oscar: Hello, as y'all heard, admin hasn't been going a good job of reaching out to students not surprising but wrong. We want to assess and reimagine on what will be focused on academically. Students must have a say in this. Who trusts admin to meet the needs of students. This definitely draws a concern; it is important for students to be included in this. Examples of students going to forums and encountering lack of listening. Jan. 23, on 15 students were allowed to attend, and 14/15 are from MECHA. Only 15/17,000 attended, what does this say about outreach? Some may say students don't show up because they don't care; if students didn't care, no one would be here. The second instance; March 20 was Future State Design Workshop. That day was the second day of finals; they hosted a workshop on the second day of finals; it was also 4 hours long and didn't provide food, just cookies. I'm stressed, it's finals week, 4 hours long, and I have to be there? 45 people attended, but only 5 students. Also, during this workshop, they voted on principles of this university with only 5 students present. As if 5 students could represent the 17,000 students. As students who were present, we felt very pressured to represent the whole student body. If students aren't here, according to them, that's because they don't care, that's their fault. How many of you read CHP? CHP posted

an article that was critical of SAP. They brought up that CHP article and called it fake news. Why? Because it's critical of SAP? So we have created next steps, and Joanna will present on the next steps.

Joanna: Survey results will be addressed, big thank you to people who put effort into survey, not students job, have other jobs, more than double the responses than SAP, diversity of campus represented, many first and second years, 57.6% knew about SAP -- from student orgs not from admin which means that admin are not doing outreach, 92% were unable to attend workshops.

Anna: Our next steps (we have a list of all steps that students took in their spaces), so imagine what more folks could do to make it better.

What we proposed: Consulting committee on student outreach (doesn't exist on any platform, in any dept)

5-7 students selected by students. Stipend work/compensated work. Require set number goals (threshold of student responses to be set). Most importantly, can't move on from these goals until student input is weighed in. This type of committee shouldn't be for the SAP, should also be for other things on campus where there is lack of student input.

Survey sent out on SAP (May 15th): MeCHA has been meeting with EVC to give feedback. Asked to send through the student committee to see if the survey included student concerns, and committee wasn't notified by it. Questions were altered but the important ones weren't. A lot of questions that affected communities in written narratives.

Jem: You have 2 minutes left

Brenda: a lot of work was put into paper, ways admin brushed over, tired of not being listened to, need to demand to serve the students, keep an eye on SAP, ask that students pay attention, spread the word.

Tromp: First I would like to pay my respects for those doing the work. Those students have shown their passionate commitment to the issue; really really impressed by energy and the work they've done. Tonight, Brenda said, we are here because we care. Chancellor and I are here tonight because we care. That's why we came. It might seem like a shocking thought to you, but we are people who've dedicated our lives to higher ed. I miss being in an administrative role. I was challenged by my activist friends to do this. So many admin members are deeply committed. Meetings with students, looking for ways to make it easier for students to access input opps for SAP. Students said forums were inaccessible. Students said admin needed to com with students better. More than happy to set up the MECHA and APISA committee. Student input could be valuable to us on variety of issues. It matters to us what you think. Whether or not such consulting committees should be elected or appointed and how they are elected or appointed (processes). Interested to know thoughts. Feedback we got from student groups on draft survey, from SUA as well. Orgs would like to see questions about students' lives on campus (food/ housing insecurity).

Jem: Next we will have a brief discussion about enrollment and tuition.

8:25PM: Enrollment/Tuition Discussion

Judith: I want to open the floor to those with questions but I'd like to touch on a few things first: so we do know that the governor just released his budget, he has only given a 3% increase to UC's rather than the 4% promised; students have been the ones active in the capitol, because students make this commitment out of necessity, we have been active, but how will you make sure there is student consultation on how the funds will be used; we want to make sure UCSC has a plan in place to make sure the funds have been allocated correctly.

Blumie: thank students who have spent time in sac, the real battle will be fought in the legislator, thinks the governor will sign with they pass, will work with students with lobbying, spending money plan: budget requests, buyout of tuition, change in uc budget for over enrollment, some ways this is already planned out by students, money goes to hiring faculty money is already committed to hiring more faculty, which benefits UCSC students.

Jem: Do you have a follow-up?

Judith: You mentioned you've been in Sac, spere-headed lobby efforts, others chancellors have done joint advocacy efforts, UCSC hasn't done that. How've you been uplifting student voices?

Blumie: We've been trying to organize a joint effort; there's a lack of scheduling ability. I still look forward to going up with you this is not some philosophical thing. I think students are an important part of this equation. I mostly frame the issues in terms of the effects on students. It's a huge benefit when i speak to legislators who I've already spoken with. Sometimes legislators need to hear things multiple time. In some ways we have been working together just not at the same time. I would love for students to come up with me and I have been making efforts

Judith: glad to hear working to help with students, have been working with state GR and I hope that you will listen to those voices

Max: Comment: Lobbying efforts are important, but we have to remember that it is not accessible to folks. Also, support students who have others ways of getting their voices heard. When students feel the need to have some direct action, we hope that you will be in support of that.

Jem: Alright, next we're going to be moving on to be talking about AFSCME. There is a technological component to this. I have questions after this but only if we will have time. Also I am going to knock at each 5 minute mark so you know where time is at.

8:33PM: AFSCME 3299 + Police Presence Discussion

Sabina: presenting on behalf of WSCC (Workers/Student Solidarity Coalition)

Someone: A letter to many news sources such as Mercury News and CHP

Saraii: Letter to Janet and local press

Sabina: Remove sonia and gini from uCSC dining services for racism, use of harassment, maliciousness, against AFSCME and student workers. Brought last year, no action till now.

Alondra: I have personally been subjected to Sonia and her ways, she discriminated against me for the color of my skin, made me feel small and like I didn't belong, she called me ignorant and tried to suppress me in my ideas. She is rude and has been working for 13 years now. She has been promoted from each DH. She doesn't embody the UCSC principles and community, she is detrimental to the UCSC community and should be removed immediately.

Sabina: Show videos from the strike. Trigger warning police brutality, violence, militarism.

[Videos shown of AFSCME strike]

Sabina: That's enough of those videos; there's one more but there is a clear case of intimidation of students.

Guest Speaker: Contact SC DA's office, demand all charges and citations not be filed; and if not, ask that those charges be dropped immediately;

Guest Speaker: Due to their behavior at the strike, riot gear will be made unavailable to police, due to the social implications, and police will not attend campus events, protest, pickets, or

Sabina: We are asking these demands right now. We gave you a letter to sign on to, will you be signing it now?

Blumenthal: I will not be signing it, I will not do that in public nor will I do that with these demands. These should be addressed solely with the president of the UC's. I do strongly support a living wage for workers. When I became Chancellor, it was then the authority of me to affect the wages of those. At the time when those days were in effect, I did raise the wages at that time.

Aysha: That was then, this is now.

Sabina: The emails were speaking about the strike as an inconvenience.

Blumenthal: I have no problem conveying to the president the desires of the SUA. in terms of my own advocacy, i will not be conveying my own ideas to anyone, however I would be perfectly happy to convey the strong feelings of the SUA.

Sabina: So you won't sign it then?

Blumenthal: I did not read it, it is not my volition to sign a letter. I do not sign other people's letters when it is placed under my nose.

Aysha: Write your own letter

Sabina: Will you speak to Napolitano?

Blumenthal: Regents meeting or chancellor's meeting in the beginning of June.

Sabina: Can you please send us emails of those dates and when they will be held? If you could please share with us those locations and dates and respond to us as to what happens?

Blumenthal: I will convey what is said afterwards. In terms of when those meetings are, I told you when they will occur and they are a matter of public record.

Sabina: What about the other complaints that have been raised, 4-8.

Blumie: If there are complaints against the dining hall supervisors, we need to investigate that.

Sabina: There have been 2 times that the university said they would create an investigation and nothing happened.

Alondra: There will be investigations according to Bill Prime DH supervisor? It's been a year and nothing has happened

Blumenthal: So I don't know about that situation. I can tell you that I will check into that and get back to you soon. That is not necessarily a matter of public record, so I cannot necessarily share that, but I can check to see what has been done.

EVC: It takes great courage to speak up. I'm sorry Alondra; I said that I was sad to hear the story Alondra told. I commit to you that I will check into this. Thank you for your courage.

Sabina: So will they be fired?

Blumenthal: I'm sorry?

Sabina: When students spoke out about this, they were charged with student conduct.

Jovanna: What do you address in your investigation?

Blumie: Without knowing I can't answer the question. I wanna know what has happened and whether or not invest has happened or not. I would like to know that and marlene would too.

EVC: Laws require confidentiality; somethings I may be able to share and somethings I cannot; before the ned of the week I will speak to who's involved.

Blumenthal: Based on what we've heard from you, this is a story that calls for finding out more. I certainly want to know more about what actions have been taken. There's another issue of what we can sure, and now we are talking about employment laws. We will share everything that we are at liberty to share.

Sabina: Can you email the WSSC email when something happens on the investigation? Also will you contact the police and ask them to drop the charges on the students arrested?

Blumie: Drop charges? NO. I don't intervene in the city of SC and their legal cases; with regards to student conduct, if there are cases then they need to go through the process. EVC and I are the last, and we don't intervene. Some citations; inappropriate in intervene in such an early stage.

Jovanna: Did you have a role in the amount of cops present at the strike?

Blumenthal: Can I respond to that?

Jovanna: Can I clarify the question. You did have a role in the police present but you are not responsible with what happened to the students?

Blumie: I believe I bear responsibility for the fact that we had police during the strike; important that the protesters and union workers, and have a fundamental right to express their concerns and that's what we do as a country and as a campus. I also believe that students and faculty have the right to get on and off campus. No inconsistency between picketing and protesting and accessing the campus. Police presence was necessary to ensure that.

Guest Speaker: But you criminalize us?

Guest Speaker: Why did you think it was necessary to bring more police? Why did you think we needed more than UCPD if your intention was not to criminalize us? Why are you not condemning your behavior. Made students feel unsafe

Blumie: I did not see in that video the events preceding that, therefore I did not know if he deserved that behavior. I did not see the behavior of the police. I believe we have a judicial process

Guest Speaker: The students out there were primarily marginalized students. 6/7 of citations out there were given to women of color.

Someone: Bodies that are targeted everyday by law enforcement

Sabina: Do you know what they were cited for? Jaywalking. Because we were on the crosswalks and were not moving off quickly enough. Why weren't you there at the crosswalks.

Guest Speaker: But you had not problem criminalizing students even though you were not there.

back and forth

Blumenthal: First of all, I do not have the jurisdiction to drop charges. I will not call the DA's office to drop their charges.

Sabina: Call the DA and make a request to drop the charges

Guest speaker: There was an officer that actually punched me in the stomach. I don't understand that a police officer has the right to punch me in the stomach. That does not seem justifiable. You are not taking enough measures to create the security that students need. How far are you going to go for the protection of your students. This officer harassed me for the obstruction of justice for picketing for worker's wages.

Blumie: I think that if you're punched in stomach; No justification for that. File a complaint. Wouldn't it be Title XI?

Sabina: Citations were targeting women of color.

Guest Speaker: We took necessary measures to avoid breaking the law. We made sure students complied with law enforcement

Guest Speaker: Will you make sure that only UCPD and only SC police are here; no riot gear

Blumie: I would prefer, to only have UC police

Sabina: Why did you call other campus police if you knew it would be a peaceful protest?

Blumie: Work with police to make sure they act appropriately.

Guest Speaker: Harassment is not enforcing the law. Militarization is not enforcing the law. You were not there to see it, so you do not get to decide what should have happened.

back and forth

Sabina: If you're not gonna meet these demands, then we will show you that we're not gonna let you not meet these demands.

9:09PM: Title IX

Jem: Hi everyone, unfortunately, we are out of time on this. We only did get an hour of Chancellor's time.

Max: There are a lot of people here and I want this to go as much as everyone else does. I wrote down things that we should follow up on, with all the different asks, etc. I do not get to decide if they stay or not

Angie: Wanted to address if you wanted to say you cared enough about us you would stay another 10 minutes

Blumenthal: We can stay another 10 minutes. We agreed to come here for an hour and go through an agenda that was presented to us. We have not kept to that agenda. I will agree to stay another 10 minutes, but that is it.

Jem: Next issue is Title IX, and we have a speaker for that.

Alicia: I have 2 main questions. When do you believe the Title IX office will be fully staffed, it is currently missing a director.

EVC: Interim director right now; conducting a search for a new director.

Alicia: My second question; do you find it appropriate that matters related to Title IX go through the conduct office. For example, conduct directors who have relatively little interaction with Title IX are those contacted. Do you believe that is appropriate?

EVC: Turn to field experts.

Cherie: Policy of UC system at the moment. Sexual violence policy for students adjudicating goes through student conduct. Policy that you're obligated to follow. Two students from SC on committee that reviews that policy.

Alicia: I will yield for any others questions

Christine: You are in charge of Title XI Marlene Tromp. While do you allow the investigations to go far past the days you should. You do not remove the perpetrators from their victims. I was around my rapist and you did nothing. There are so many situations in which victims are constantly around their rapists. I am asking what you are going to do to ensure that sexual survivors get the support they need and rapists do not walk free on this campus.

EVC: Christine this is an incredibly important issue, issue for many years. Balance between perpetrator and respondent.

Cherie: I would invite you to come to the office if you're willing. The follow-up on the comment, it is difficult, those who have not violated a policy. Discipline may not always follow.

Christine: You don't accomplish that within the 60 day timeline. Some have waited a year and you have done nothing.

Sherry: I own that, and I apologize.

Christine: I don't want an apology, I want you to do something.

Alicia: You don't accept walk-ins.

Sherry: We do. I will make sure the signs on that door are taken down that address that.

9:16PM: Housing

Jem: Our next topic which we have very little time for is housing.

Guest Speaker: The way you talk about laws shows that you don't know about the systems of oppression. The company hired to build Student Housing West bid to build the border wall and built the Japanese internment camps.

Tromp: No, I was not aware of that. And I heard for the first time from CHP just a couple days ago about the internment camps. That contract was made long before I arrived here. I understand that they were contracted with the UC and that there had been investigations done with their history, but it did not extend that far

Guest Speaker: Do you think you are complacent in the privatization of the university as part of the private firms on the SAP.

Tromp: No, I think it's important for us to draw on expertise, where it is important to draw on that expertise. Students are a part of that, and it matters what they say

Guest Speaker (follow up): Is it important. In Berkeley, BP got a \$5 million contract which shifted the direction of the university's research. Are you going to be complicit in something like that happening here?

Blumie: 2007 in Cal, they contracted with BP to study energy. Can't comment in detail. Do you mean complacent or complicit?

Guest Speaker: SO with the understanding of these videos in mind, and the way the UCPD treats students, I'm wondering if in both of your opinions, do you two personally believe that it is just or right for students fees to go towards the pay of the UCPD who harasses students.

Blumie: it is appropriate to hire police that to protect campus, role in keeping peace - reject premise that it is

Guest Speaker: We don't feel safe with our money going to police.

Thao: Over half the students live off campus and they are paying way too much. There was a rent control ballot submitted to the city. Yes or no, do you support rent control in the city of Santa Cruz?

Blumenthal: I do not participate in ballots that go through the city of Santa Cruz. It would be illegal for me to endorse a public ballot. I could theoretically endorse one as a private citizen, so if you go through history, I have never taken a position on any measure on the ballot.

Guest Speaker: Marlene Tromp, you are a renter correct? How do you feel about this?

Tromp: I have never been in a community that it was more difficult for students to find housing, particularly when they face racism in their community; can't take a position as EVC.

Guest Speaker: We did try to get you to sign the ballot. People are here to serve us. This affects our day to day survival and it is shocking and disturbing. I would be willing to work with whatever makes it illegal to put provide a stance on this because this is something that is terrorizes students.

Blumie: State law that makes us not take positions.

Guest Speaker: Can we get you to schedule a meeting with us, right now?

Blumenthal: I would be willing to schedule through my office.

Aysha: Publicly support resolution that support divestment from corporations that support apartheid history. Be on the right side of history, our money is going

Guest Speaker: What is the official stance of the UC on the events occurring in Palestine?

Blumie: I do NOT support any divestment.

Aysha: You are doing nothing for us. WE are students

Guest Speaker: This Thursday from 4-6 we want to have a talk. Marlene Tromp will be there and this conversation needs to continue. We really need as many people out there as we can get. We were going to ask Blumenthal to join us but

Max: I know that the topics were very heavy, I hope y'all support each other and see that. Please check in with one another and make sure everyone is okay because that was a lot. Kat and I are willing to work with y'all and provide a space for these issues to be talked about. We do have each other and there's a lot of power in that and I want to address that and it's okay to not be okay. It sounded like they didn't hear us at all, we will follow up with folks that are here today. I'm not sure how we can reach out to y'all, feel free to email me at suapres@ucsc.edu as well as on the Facebook page. So just check in with each other, and thank you for coming.

Roll Call 9:47PM

Approval of the Agenda (9:50PM)

Jem: Is there any objection to the approval of the agenda?

Davon: I just want to say I love and respect and appreciate you, you went through a lot

Katie motions to approve agenda
Second from Rebecca

Approval of the Minutes (9:51PM)

Jem: We have 2 meetings worth of minutes to approve; May 1st and May 10th.

Zach: motion to approve the past two meetings minutes
Sabina: second

Announcements and Public Comments (9:53PM)

Kat: I know that was a lot for y'all, again like max said, please take the time to check in with one another, drink water, I passed around goldfish. I'm working with Max to put together a resource list, please let me know if you have any suggestions of on campus or off campus resources. I'd love to add this collective knowledge to this. My second announcement: My

office is holding a Mental Health event succulents and slime, we're giving free DIY slime and succulents, etc at the RCC Red Room from 6-8 May 23.

Katie: Stevenson has Rock and Roll on the Knoll on Saturday June 1, if anyone is interested in volunteering go on the FB page and there's a google link.

Care has a training 11am-4pm, if you want to volunteer

Panel put on by LGTBQ researchers this Friday from 5:30-6:30 at center for adaptive optics.

Jamie: Hey, i'm part of SUGB, and we're doing an elections event about student fees. You go and hear about the student fees you're paying and hopefully we'll have SUA candidates then. It's at the Student Union, 5-7, this Friday

Max: Queer prom may 25th 8-11pm, presale \$3, \$5 at the door

Disabled student union - join

Alice: Hi y'all, Sunday May 20th, my office and SAS is holding the second annual Mental Health Fair and the Merrill Cultural Center from 11-2, Fries, free stuff, yerba matte please come out, we're also showing a movie put on by a student and having

Jessica: outreach to student orgs - breakdown

Syllabus rights: Syllabus is your contract between you and the professor. If they change it mid year and does not "benefit the student's success in the course" then it is not permissible and you should contact Jessica (suavpa@ucsc.edu) to see if their change is permissible.

Catalog rights: Contract w/university; you can choose any year's catalog for your major and GE's throughout your time at UCSC. If one year they add extra classes for requirements or lower it, you can choose which catalog to follow of the years here.

Zach: may 21st last time to register to vote

Lauren: Formal dance at Cowell on June 1, at Stevenson Events Center

Sam: c9 senate with calfresh presents sundaes 6-8pm in c9 quad

7:30 performance before Black panther screening

Jem: UCSC Elections, they're happening, life is a nightmare :) Elections start this Thursday, May 17th, there are events. Candidate Debates this Wednesday in Classroom unit 2 from 7-10pm. Friday- Meet the Candidate Event, Quarry Plaza from 11-2. Monday- Give out tacos for voting from Taqueria Santa Cruz from 11:30-1:30 in Quarry. Get everyone in your colleges and orgs to vote. Also, I go by Jem now, please stop calling me that other name.

Old Business (9:15PM)

Voter Registration Resolution Deliberation (10 minutes)

Zach: I modified the title, want to bring up some problems that were brought up earlier. For those eligible to vote, I researched and reached out to Cantu, Women's Center, etc. asking for endorsement to talk about it and I haven't gotten any responses yet. I have also talked to various people as well and want to promote the idea that by voting, we can advocate for those ineligible to vote. Just wanted to promote that thought.

Emma: What is it you're asking us at this moment?

Zach: to adopt the resolution

Emma: So what does that mean? Would we adopt as it stands, or are we adopting it in favor of changing it.

Zach: no, adopting the language that is in it, there is now a clause addressed those ineligible to vote.

Emma: Thank you

Jem: Is there any discussions or motions

Alicia: How do you intend to resolve an issue if the identity orgs do not agree with the

Zach: That's a great question; I intend to resolve that through further discussion, specifically with the dean of students.

Katie: Can you recap what it actually does

Zach: This institutionalized on campus, to implement voter registration through housing and initial meeting with RA's in move in days to get as many possible registered to vote so as to get students to enact their political voice. If we adopt, this means SUA supports having a process of institutionalization to help students overcome barriers.

Cameron: I blanked out. What is the solution to undocumented students?

Zach: I included a clause addressing undocumented students. I have also reached out to resource centers and undocumented resources, i've also had conversations with undocumented students. I've heard that this is something they support so those with voting power can advocate for them

Jessica: You may have presented earlier, doesn't this single out people who are unable to vote as opposed to bringing people in to registering instead of opting out

Zach: In order to get as many students as possible to register to vote, what we've found is when there's an opt in possibility, we get drastically fewer people registering to vote. What I've heard is that there won't be any large quantity of language in the opt out process, it would be very simple. We just want to enable the political voice.

Jessica: I understand the turn out might be high, but the burden will fall on the students that are unable to vote, instead of placing the burden on students who are unable to vote, does that make sense?

Zach: yes it does

Emma: I would suggest waiting on this, I don't know if this is something that needs to happen now. If this doesn't have to happen now, if we can push it until we have a concrete idea of what resources say, I appreciate you talking to students who it affects, but that isn't everyone in the group. The best place we can go to is the resource center dedicated to those folks, so if we want to know what should actually happen then we should wait until we get their opinion on this.

Jem: We are out of time

Jamie: Extend by 2 minutes

Cameron: second

No objections

Cameron: I was tabling next week to try to get people to register to vote. I would never do it again. I think it's important to institutionalize this, I think we should go through and adopt the resolution. Voting is an important part of advocacy and political change and I think we should trust them and make a good faith vote to help them work towards civil rights.

Sabina: seems kinda strange that we are talking about this, we should send this to the undocumented students to decide

Jem: We are out of time again with no motions and no one on stack

Jamie: **Motion to postpone this discussion to next week.**

Alice: second

No objections

CALPIRG Fee Change Resolution Deliberation (10 minutes)

Jem: Is there any discussion?

Jamie: I've been talking to people about this fee. I do not have objections to raising this fee, it's CalPIRG decision. I have heard often students opting into this fee and not understanding that it is recurring

Noa: I'd like to address that. We got kicked off campus in the 90's. Now we are funded through \$5 fees, we cannot change that unless we get resolutions passed by GSA, SUA, and Chancellors. It's odd that the fee is being added on, i'd love to talk to them about it. We have volunteers trained on informing those, and it is written on the page people sign so it's odd that they don't know that it's recurring

Zach: personal experience, every quarter until they graduate, circle it, people who come to him wanting to

Fatima: If this passes, is the only option \$10?

Noa: if you pledge at \$5 you stay there, new pledgees would go up to \$10. It's not a sliding scale

Ian: Yield

Katie: CalPIRG has done a pretty solid job in informing. Maybe there are things that aren't clear but they do important work. Sometimes the idea that UCSC has to take money from other schools is a shame. They do important work and deserve to be funded for that work.

Davon: they have professional folk who need to live in santa cruz, let's support people getting paid a living wage.

Jem: We have no motions on the table

Alicia: **Motion to endorse the resolution**

Emma: Second

No objections

SUA FY '18-'19 Budget Deliberation (30 minutes)

Noah:

- Down \$17,285.35
- From \$508,168.10
- To \$490,882.75
- There have been serious cuts
- Advisor Salary: \$86,689.30
- Up \$5,000

- Interns: 18 @ \$3,600
- Down 1, Up \$350, total of \$3,050
- Advisor Salary: \$86,689.30
- Up \$5,000
- Interns: 18 @ \$3,600
- Measure 8: \$357,000.00
- Up \$7,711
- Summer Fees: \$10,000
- ARUL: \$40,967.20
- Reserves: \$79,915.55
- Down \$37,996.35
- Measure 7 Funds: \$3,000
- Elections Commissioner: \$1,000
- Up \$150
- Associate Elections Commissioner: \$500
- Down \$150
- College Elections Commissioner: \$1,000
- Candidate Campaign Reimbursements: \$2,500
- Down \$3,000
- Office Supplies: \$500
- Down \$500
- Mail Services: \$300
- Down \$1,500
- Print and Copy: \$3,500
- Up \$1,500
- UCSA Dues: \$24,607.80
- Down \$7,392.2
- Voter Registration: \$1,000
- President Travel: \$500
- Up \$100
- BlaqOUT: \$500
- Regents Travel: \$4,992.20
- Fall: \$20,000
- Up \$4,000
- Winter: \$26,000
- Up \$7,000
- Spring: \$15,000
- Up \$2,000
- Programming: \$38,656.45
- Down \$19,368.46
- Elections Programming: \$3,000
- ARUL: \$25,000
- Down \$15,967.20

- General: \$0
- Down \$11,699.69

Zach: in an email that Maura sent for what we could use Measure 8 funds for, Blaq Out does not meet the criteria, the reality of it is what i'm wondering about

Noah: Doesn't sound accurate: I hear you, but if we can't support Blaq Out, then I don't know what we can support

Davon: What is the Blaq Out conference?

Rebecca: It's a conference for LGBTQ students of color

Davon: If we can have all these other conferences, I'm sure we can fund the Blaq Out Conference

Katie: To clarify the language, we can fund a number of things. Ambiguous language

Sabina: I'm not sure the intention of that wording, i think it's ambiguous, but I think we can fund

Sabina: I think this is unfair that we're talking about BlaqOUT so we should stop talking about this conference Out like this because we don't talk about any other conferences in this way. It's an important conference and should be funded

Alicia: There is language "such as" so that means we can extend money to others

Katie: I think we're good

Zach: Let's keep Blaq Out

Jessica: Can we move the 9 grand to programming

Second (?)

Judith: overall EVP budget cuts? Proposing \$4092, advising to \$2500 ,

Judy: Can we revisit the EVP office for Funding

Noah: The EVP office funding is going to remain the same, intern funding will go up but we are cutting one intern.

Judy: i want to discuss the budget, I was in Sac when it was being discussed. I want to make sure we're as responsible with our money. I'm want to revisit regents meeting travel and pay, that leaves \$4,900. I want to leave regents meeting travel up. The only meet 4-5 times/year. I think \$2500 from someone who has to be there is reasonable amount. SLC, through , i've noticed that we've allocated \$12,000. We have a specific number of legislators and number of student Reallocate to maintain trends in interns - minimize students at the \$6000 send undocumented students from SOCC, only 8k in DC (UC Hill Day)

Sabina: I know that Kat does the organizing for SOCC, what impact would that be?

Kat: SOCC has been really problematic. From an organizers perspective, I personally don't think it's a good thing to invest in a conference that invests in a problematic conference. Right now, we're wasting money on a conference that students leave feeling bad. If undocumented students from UCSC would want that? I also don't know the delegation leader for next year. For me, it's up in the air. For the summit, i don't kown which direction that would go

Judith: brand new summit, we need to set aside money so that a delegation is being sent to undocumented students summit from UCSC

Kat: Are there any UCSC who sit on the coalition?

Judith: no

Sabina: If the recommendation is that we don't fund the SOCC conference, there is still funding? Should we completely withhold funding or? SShould we fund another conference instead?

Judith: socc depends on the ucsc EVP office to decide

Davon: I've gone to SOCC two years in a row, there are always pros and cons, but i see the bs two years in a row now, this is the time to step up and say we are committing to y'all.

Sabina: I was suggesting cutting out completely from SOCC

Davon: would like to see students go to that students (more movement for undocumented summit than for student labor organizers summit)

Judith: I definitely agree with you, it is concerning in terms of waiting for UCSA to get their shit together. If the next EVP/VPDI wants to use the money based on the climates we're seeing, I want to be cognisant of that.

Jessica: can we have a 2 minute break for a recess

Zach: second

Cameron: motion to approve Judith

Noah: The constitution states that this should have been approved two weeks ago,

Jessica: The budget committee has been meeting for 4 weeks now, and if you wanted changes, you should have come to the meetings. We should come to a decision tonight

Cameron: Can we motion to approve Judith's changes now?

Natasha: second

Jessica: I object. These are a lot of changes; there should be discussion first

Judith: This happened when I was gone

Jessica: These meeting have been occurring for 4 weeks. I was there, my interns were there. You should have at the very least sent a proxy. This is not your office's money, it's the SUA's money

Natasha: I respect the opinions presented, but I think this helps the budget overall. It may not be Judy's office next year, but I feel that she has the more informed opinion. I understand that you had budget meetings, but now it's being presented here for discussion

Judith: I'm trying to be as transparent as possible.

Alice: the rationale behind taking away an intern is because the successor will not be ucsa president

Judith: There's been a lot of asks for a local affairs focus. We are trying to pilot a co-ld program to ensure that we have a legislative director for state, national, and local affairs.

Viggy: should have been up in budget commutes, in terms of changes, changes in slc took 13 ucsc students shouldn't be a cut because they need more diversity in the trip?
3 intern position is still valid

Davon: My first year, the office had a 4th intern, therefore just because we will not be UCSA president does not mean we don't need 4 interns. Who wrote the rhetoric that the office only needs 2 interns? Each office has different needs and they have different standards. We need more than 2. I truly believe that we should stick with 4 interns

Jamie: I reserve my right to make a motion. I appreciate the concerns of wanting to have seen this. Given that this is where we are. Do we trust Judy to do her job credibly? We can't compare to other offices because the workloads are completely different. With that, i motion-

Jem: We are currently in a motion right now.

Jamie: Nevermind

Viggy: We are cutting from 4 to 3, not to 2. SLC needs revisiting to make an improvement. It's not just cutting down office numbers, forget the interns that is secondary, the SLC topic is more important.

Judith: I put te budget together for SLC, so to increase the number to \$8,000 would be adequate.

Jessica: If we have line items, is it under the officer's discretion to re-allocate? Can you pull from some items and move it to others

Noah: Any movements have to be approved by the SUA

Sabina: I don't think it's fair for an officer with that type of power to make a decision not necessarily behind our back, but to come and present it in one night. Clearly if 3 interns is enough and that's what an intern is saying, we should take that into account. People are always doing work that they aren't paid for; there are jobs in every office that needs doing and i think we should come back to this another time.

Zach: i understand the need for urgency, but as a representative, I want to show my space this information. For that reason, I would vote to not pass right now.

Judith: bring to spaces but I just wanted to bring clarity

Davon: 2 things, Judith is not trying to force this all to be done tonight single handedly, our treasurer is also saying the same thing. When we create these internships for officers, they are opened during summer whereas in the Fall they would have to make the decision really quickly. I understand that the intern thing is a problem, but they should not have to create the position last minute. If they need an extra intern, then we'd put them in an awful spot.

Alicia: I have clarification questions because this should be brought back to the spaces. W/SLC money, there was a cap of students we were allowed to bring in the first place

Judith: under the government; 32 student cap at slc can work within the cap but have to less than 30 legislators

Alicia: potentially setting aside money that would allow students to attend regents meetings outside of office

Judith: it's my job to go to regents meetings opportunities for all students to go to regents meetings, didn't make sense for cal travel

Alicia: As a space we need to figure out where that \$9,000 is going

Noah: It's late, but I think we should call to question

Ayo: We need to bring this to our spaces to get as much student input as possible, I'd feel more comfortable making a decision knowing Oakes' opinion on this. I'd like to motion to table

Jem: You didn't reserve your right to make a motion

Jessica: I reserve my right to make a motion, Within the offices we make adjustments, not proactively allocate money. **I motion to postpone this discussion to next meeting.**

Sabina: Second

Rebecca: Objection--Noah previously stated that this should have been passed earlier

Jem: We are in violation of the constitution

Jem: The current motion is to postpone

Cameron: I call to question

Katie: Second

No objections

Jem: We are going to move into a vote

18 in favor 2 opposed, motion to postpone passes

Bike Library Resolution Deliberation (10 minutes)

Motion to endorse by Alicia

Jamie seconds

No objections

Oceania Navigators Empowerment Mural Funding Deliberation (10 minutes)

Jem: We currently have \$3140.32.

Kat: How much was their request?

Katie: Have they gone to receive money from senates at this point

Zach: on May 1, you mentioned funding out of your line item?

Kat: I have a proposal, i won't motion yet but my idea is that we can use that money plus the active minds money that just came through, so that's about \$3100 and the rest i can fully fund from my office.

Alicia: Has anyone asked to come in future weeks for funding for this space?

Jem: there are no further funding requests at this time

Alicia: Merrill's thoughts—it's a lot of money for one mural

Kat: I reserve my right to make a motion, although it's a lot of money, this is a very underrepresented group of people, it's the first time they've asked for money or even come together as group. That said, **motion to use the \$3140.32 to the ONE mural**, and Kat will cover the rest.

Sabina: Second

Alicia: Objection; I have to respect what the MSG space said, also, senates have voiced that they are willing to fund. It is better to spend student fees on students, but it would be irresponsible to have all of our money gone with weeks left of school

Emma: How much is left in your line item?

Kat: Enough to fund the rest

Cameron: we are broke because we are fully funding everything which is dumb

Max: I want to say that this is an underrepresented group that hasn't come in until now, this is something I think should happen. We should support this, even if not fully.

Sabina: yield

Alicia: yield

Jem: We will now move into a vote:

10 favor, 2 opposed, motion passes

Closing Remarks and Adjournment (11:25PM)

Jem: We will have a reflection next week, tell your friends to vote, thanks for bearing with me.

Meeting adjourned at 11:25pm