

Student Union Assembly

Tuesday, 2/25/2014

Meeting Call to order at 6:05PM by Shaz Umer (Chair)

Assembly Members Present: Angelica Montes (MEChA), Samuel Simpson (QSU), Roshni Advani (Eight), Ivette Torres (Eight), Elaine Wong (Eight), Victoria York (Oakes), Yajaira Chavez (Oakes), Christofer Weast (Oakes, not voting today), Alfonso Quintero (Oakes), Rachel Kirkwood (Nine), Gregory Baraghimian (Nine), Shub Sharan (Nine), Sam Shaw (Nine, not voting today), Steven Hernandez (Ten), Leslie Paola Gonzalez (Ten), Jessica Ly (Cowell), Tyler Papp (Cowell), Kelly Goehring (Cowell), Edmond Yaghoubian (Stevenson), Lila Blackney (Stevenson), Samantha Sobol (Stevenson), Sergio Klor de Alva (Porter), Max Winter (Porter), Art Motta (Porter), Gul Taneri (Kresge), Bella Aranda (Kresge), Miina Coulon (Kresge), Maria Garcia (Merrill), Justin McClendon (Merrill), Priscila Rodriguez (Merrill), Corbin Hall (Crown), Ayki Adil (Crown), Leslie Villafana (Crown), Ivan Medina (OD), Vanessa Morales (CoAA), Charlsie Chang (CoD), Tony Milgram (EVC), Max Hufft (IVC), Shaz Umer (Chair)

Assembly Members Absent: Lisa Washington (A/BSA), Nabeil Lemar (Ten), David Pickard IV (Stevenson), Alexandra Kasper (Merrill)

Approval of the Agenda

Shaz: Can someone motion to reduce the sponsorship request into an hour instead of three? Then we can move recess to the end.

Max: I **motion** to reduce the sponsorship request time to an hour.

Edmond: **Second.**

Shaz: Any objections? **Motion passes.**

Art: **Clarification**, it is section D, not section E.

Shaz: Can someone take out recess but add 20 minutes to officer reportbacks?

Tony: So **moved.**

Roshni: **Second.**

Steven: **Objection.**

Vote: **Yes 18**, No: 5, Abstention: 4

Shaz: **Motion passes**, our adjournment time is now at 10PM.

Shaz: Are there any more amendments to the agenda?

Max: **Motion** to approve the agenda.

Roshni: **Second.**

Shaz: Are there any objections? **Motion passes.**

Reading of the Previous Meetings' Minutes

Elaine: **Motion** to approve the minutes.

Roshni: **Second.**

Shaz: Are there any objections? **Motion passes.**

Reading of the Winter SOFA Minutes

Edmond: All the funds that went to the organizations have been maxed out?

Shaz: Yes, that recommendation is now maxed out.

Tony: What about the \$4,000? We didn't consider the sponsorships.

Shaz: I am requesting to take WoCC line item to move that for sponsorships.

Samantha: I'm from Stevenson.

Roshni: **Motion** to approve the minutes.

Sam: **Second.**

Shaz: Any objections? **Motion passes**, we have approved the winter SOFA minutes.

Announcements

Max: Brief update on the Ivan Mercado scholarships, we are able to get a \$5,000 a year guarantee from the Porter provost. We are currently doing the application process for that. It is looking like we can pay off the scholarship in the winter. 4.5% interest rate that will be paid out yearly.

Elaine: Remind everyone those slugs, at lower field at 3PM.

Maria: Justice in Palestine will have an event this Thursday 7PM, social science at 179. It will have multiple talks from different students of social issues. It is open.

Justin: Dean of Students will have a festival. There will be 70-80 organizations there. It is from 12PM-3PM.

Art: Pachanga is an annual event that highlights the diversity of Latin American culture. Specifically, it looks to contemporary music produced by Latinos to show how the often neglected African root is still existent and relevant to Latino culture, and to all musical culture, today. It's at Porter dining hall on March 8th at 7:30PM. Get your tickets.

Monetary Sponsorship Requests

Request for Sponsorship Appropriation (WoCC)

Shaz: We will move into discussion.

Rachel: If the money isn't used this year, does it go to the carry forward?

Shaz: If we were to approve and there is money left over, we can move it anywhere.

Steven: **Motion** to move the \$4,000 from the WoCC.

Roshni: **Second.**

Shaz: Any objections? **Motion passes.**

SUA Sponsorship Consideration for SAFE

Archie: They are asking for \$3,000.

Shaz: It says \$2,500.

Shub: My notes say \$2,500.

Justin: This conference is being held at Merrill. There will be workshops about assault and how to help survivors. It will be no cost to students.

Shaz: There would be a motion to sponsor and then we motion for money to allocate.

Roshni: **Motion** to sponsor.

Priscila: **Second.**

Justin: **Objection.**

Motion to sponsor SAFE: **Yes: 28**, No: 0, Abstentions: 3

Shaz: **Motion passes**, they will be funded.

Max: **Motion** to fund \$2,500.

Victoria: **Second.**

Justin: **Objection.**

Vote to sponsor \$2,500: Yes: 25, Abstentions: 6

Shaz: **Motion passes**, SAFE will be funded **\$2,500.**

SUA Sponsorship for C4E

Mark: We are doing a hackathon. It'll be 24 hours. Entrepreneurs, engineers, programs come together to make apps. People will critique and award prizes. We are requesting \$900 from SUA.

Shaz: Here is the itemized budget.

Max: **Motion** to sponsor and to fund for \$900.

Ivan: **Second.**

Shaz: Any objections? **Motion passes**, they are sponsored **\$900**.

SUA Sponsorship for CALPIRG

Shaz: Here is their itemized budget.

Archie: It'll be a car show showing cars that go green.

Mick: I can explain the car show. I am the campus advisor. I was in SUA, former alum. This show happening is also happening in the entire state of California. Global warming is a huge issue. We had wildfire in Yosemite and glaciers melting. One of the sources of global warming is cars. California is a car-run state. We want a more sustainable future. This is what the clean car show is going to be. They are going to have electric cars. Students will get to try and see how beneficial it is for our environment. I can answer questions.

Shaz: We still have \$600 left.

Rachel: **Motion** to fully fund and sponsor.

Shub: **Second**.

Shaz: Any objections? **Motion passes, they are funded \$155.26**.

SOFA Funding Approvals

Shaz: We were over about \$1,800 dollars. We cut FSA by \$200. It was about \$1,300-\$1,500. We did a percentage wise cut across the board.

Shaz: The first org was FSA. They requested \$805.64; we gave \$605.64 because the presenter requested it.

Ivan: Is that neutral?

Shaz: We couldn't compare organizations. There was no bias. We had to go back and start cutting.

Ivan: **Point of clarification**, can we do that?

Justin: It applies to student governments in general. During the second round, we have to because we are on a budget. We wouldn't be able to look at one funding situation for an organization and compare it to another organization.

Justin: SOFA looked at all the proposals individually. In the second stage, we reduced them to fit in the budget. It is up to the chair and body to do here.

Filipino Student Association: Alay

Shaz: We recommended \$605.64 for FSA. Is there any objections?

Steven: **Objection**, during the process, we decided to cut \$200 from FSA. We cut from each other org a certain percentage. I think we should look back at the recommendations.

Max: I would like to point out that we cut the other ones by an equal percentage, if we applied that to FSA, we'd be cutting more. Tem offered to cut some of his organization himself.

Shaz: He made the recommendation to cut his event. He wanted to make it easier on the deliberation and he said that his organization has not gone to the colleges yet. That was the thinking behind it. That's what I understood right?

Tem: Yee.

Vote for recommended amount of \$605.64: **Yes: 24**, No: 1, Abstentions: 7

Shaz: **Motion passes, Alay is funded \$605.64.**

Tem: I just want to say thank you everyone and everyone gets a copy of our anthology!

UCSC Brain, Mind, and Consciousness Society

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendation by consensus? **Motion passes, BMC is funded \$784.84.**

Relay for Life College's Against Cancer

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendation by consensus? **Motion passes; College's Against Cancer is funded \$771.47.**

Indian Student Organization

Art: **Point of inquiry**, what did the stipulations mean?

Max: They requested \$6,000 originally. It was for costumes, props, stage manager, etc. They buy props and costumes every single year.

Ivan: **Objection.**

Vote to fund ISO the recommended amount: **Yes: 22**, No: 2, Abstentions: 7

Shaz: **Motion passes, ISO is funded \$2337.78.**

UCSC Disc Golf Team

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendation by consensus? **Motion passes; Disc Golf team is funded \$210.40.**

Familia X

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendation by consensus? **Motion passes; Familia X is funded \$1,870.22.**

American Red Cross Club

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendation by consensus? **Motion passes; American Red Cross Club is funded \$140.27.**

Red Wheelbarrow

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendations by consensus? **Motion passes; Red Wheelbarrow is funded \$654.58.**

Delta Sigma Theta

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendations by consensus? **Motion passes; Delta Sigma Theta is funded \$1,870.22.**

Nourish International

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendations by consensus? **Motion passes; Nourish International is funded \$140.27.**

Samantha: **Point of personal privilege**, they are a very new organization. They have been reaching out through social media.

Ivan: **Motion** to reconsider.

Justin: **Second.**

Max: **Objection.**

Vote to reconsider Nourish International: Yes: 4, **No: 7.**

Shaz: **Motion fails.** We will move on.

Sigma Pi Alpha

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendations by consensus? **Motion passes; Sigma Pi Alpha is funded \$411.45.**

Jewish Student Union

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendations by consensus? **Motion passes; JSU is funded \$1,402.67.**

UCSC Ethics Bowl Team

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendations by consensus? **Motion passes; Ethics Bowl is funded \$1,215.64.**

UCSC Vagina Org

Justin: Is this the Vagina Monologues?

Shaz: Yes, that is what they put on their request.

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendations by consensus? **Motion passes; Vagina Org is funded \$179.54.**

Matchbox Literary Magazine

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendations by consensus? **Motion passes; Matchbox is funded \$1,122.13.**

EyeCandy Film Journal

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendations by consensus? **Motion passes; EyeCandy is funded \$935.11.**

Theta Pi Sigma

Shaz: Are there any objections to approving the recommendations by consensus? **Motion passes; Theta Pi Sigma is funded \$1,850.22.**

Shaz: Thank you everyone. We are done with SOFA recommendations.

IVC (\$3,000) and CoD (\$2,500) request for Programming Funds

Shaz: Their projects have meetings. It satisfies the collaboration criteria. It is for the Holi Festival and Got Talent.

Roshni: I'm not sure how the planning is going. I wanted to see something at the festival that shows where it comes from. I don't want people thinking they throw things at each other and go home thinking Indians throw things at each other.

Charlsie: The DJ is Bollywood EDM. We are making the event free for the \$300.

Roshni: Is there a description on the website?

Max: I've been looking around for different areas of funding. I'm exhausting a lot of my funding. I brought it down from \$4,000 to \$3,000. I might reduce my pay. I don't want to do that because it is going to be hard to live.

Roshni: Do we do it separately?

Ivan: I like to see how two officers touch base on one event. Where is the point of correlation?

Shaz: That is fair.

Max: We've been working together; it's a really great outreach. We are excited; it's been a lot of work so far. It's going to be a great event with the two of us and our offices are working together.

Charlsie: Holi Festival has a lot of support from TKE and ISO. It is a large scale campus event. It is not the scale of what we are trying to imagine. It also costs a lot. We are asking for funds together.

Max: The reason we are bringing them together is to save time for yall. We thought we should bring them at the same time.

Roshni: I am excited to have a Holi festival. I think we should fund this event. I have seen a lot of Indian representation on this campus and we only have ISO. I am glad to see SUA working with it. There is a lot of stuff going on that weekend. A lot of people go all the way up to Stanford and UCB for their Holi Festival. I just want to clarify is it "hohl-lee" not "hah-lee".

Ivan: **Motion** to fund \$1,500 for the IVC and \$2,500 for the CoD.

Priscila: **Second.**

Alfonso: Why to \$1,500?

Ivan: I think what I am hearing is that TKE and ISO are collaborating; I feel that UCSC's Got Talent doesn't have that.

Roshni: I would appreciate if we could split the two funding requests.

Shaz: There is a **division of the question**.

Rachel: **Second**.

Shaz: We are going to vote on the division of the question into two separate.

Vote: **Yes: everyone else**, No: 1, Abstentions: 3

Rachel: I attended UCSC's Got Talent last year, it was packed. There was a lot of advertising opportunities for different orgs. I think funding should be put back up.

Max: A couple things that I appreciate people considering. We are not receiving support from the Alumni Association. I would try to go to SCOC. I am using almost all my programming. I hope SUA considers this as our premier events. We should focus our funds on our events as well.

Gregory: I hear a lot that people have a problem with outreach. I know this event has the SUA name on it. This event is important for us.

Justin: Is there a budget for it?

Max: We don't have a budget for it yet. It was \$4,000 last year. It'll be a little bit above it.

Roshni: **Call to question**.

Gregory: **Second**.

Max: **Objection**.

Vote to vote on the funding: **Yes: 22**, No: 4

Vote to fund IVC \$1,500. **Motion fails**.

Vote to fund CoD \$2,500 for the Holi Festival. **Yes: everyone else**,
Abstentions: 2

Shaz: Motion fails for \$1,500 for IVC. **Motion passes for \$2,500 for CoD**.

Roshni: **Motion** to fund IVC \$3,000 for Got Talent.

Edmond: **Second**.

Max: **Call to question.**

Ivan: **Second.**

Vote to fund IVC \$3,000 for Got Talent: **Yes: 25**, No: 3, Abstentions: 5

Resolutions

Master Plan Resolution

Rachel: I accidentally put private. I **recommend** to strike “private” from the “therefore let it be”

Tony: **So moved.**

Roshni: **Second.**

Shaz: We have stricken “private”.

Shaz: The EVC has to be changed to chair.

Tony: **Motion** to change EVC Tony to Chair Shaz.

Roshni: **Second.**

Shaz: Shaz: Are there any objections? **Motion passes.**

Max: **Motion to approve the resolution.**

Alfonso: **Second.**

Maria: Change “if” to “it”.

Shaz: We will add the changes to their.

Shaz: Are there any objections to the motion? **Motion passes, the resolution is approved.**

Resolution to Amend Section D of the SUA Bylaws

Ivan: **Motion to approve the resolution.**

Art: **Second.**

Steven: College 10 senate felt like it was ambiguous. We should clarify those terms such as how Facebook is factored in?

Max: I have about three concerns. It is a large, drastic change. I'm scared of the workload that is a lot of material they have to review. It is on the sole power of one person. If there was a strong opinionated person, they could say that they don't like the material because they don't like the person. It would have to come to the SUA space and that takes out a week. This is also a free speech issue.

Maria: Merrill was concerned about the timeline for it. Would this apply for this year's elections?

Shaz: If approved, it would go to the campus elections commissioner. If it got approved in time, it would be on this year.

Rachel: The wording confuses me, where would the line be drawn? The elections commissioner has choose with his or her discretion always.

Tony: I have two concerns. The first is that this amendment has a lot of trust in the elections commissioner. It gives a lot of information that we have no control over. There is no one to stop him from releasing info out critical to success in elections. We have no idea how it'll work next year. That power for one person is irresponsible in my opinion.

Art: If you read some of the language at the top. We nominate the commissioner to be responsible for this process. The EC is responsible for facilitating the elections process. If the EC doesn't know the material, there is a concern. This is to increase transparency. If you read the bylaws, some language is vague. This resolution is not in place to change language. The wording can be concise. For transparency and consistency, that is how the language here is set. I know last year, there was a bylaw change to be more sustainable. More online items are being used. In order to be equitable for other candidates, not everyone has access to online material. The body chooses the EC. I have distaste for hypotheticals.

Alfonso: Art said a lot of what I wanted to say. If we don't trust our EC to do this, we shouldn't trust the EC to run the elections. I think this is more so how serious we take the commissioner in hiring.

Shub: I have concerns with the necessity of this. How many issues of campaign materials and how many were valid? The EC is to oversee and facilitate and not dictate the process. This is a lot of work for one person to do. A lot of concern would be abbreviated; we can have the election's commission approve it.

Max: **I reserve my right to call to question.** I don't see the need on this. When I sat on the commission, there was no need for this. There were no complaints because of bad flyering or bad material. There is no precedent for it. The commission is not an investigative body. I'm questioning why we are making these shifts when there are no needs. **I call to question.**

Roshni: **Second.**

Max: **Objection.**

Vote to vote: Yes: 21, **No: 11**, Abstentions: 2

Shaz: **Motion fails, no voting.**

Charlsie: We put a sunset clause on no flyering in classrooms. I'm concerned with no sunset. We have three commissioners in one election before. What if one approved and one doesn't want.

Roshni: College 8 does not support this.

Max: I don't believe in hypotheticals. If you have a dispute, can't you bring that as a commission as a complaint and have the body check that?

Corbin: Part of me like this idea that everything is seen by the EC, that part is great. My main concern is that it really puts a lot of pressure on the candidates with one week less. It would make it difficult for them. If a commissioner was aware without having to review beforehand, that would be good.

Shaz: **Point of order**, no back and forth.

Ivan: I've known people that want to run. I support this only because we have reimbursements for campaign material. Are we utilizing that power? I feel that we should take a critical stance. In the end of the day, it is being funded by the body. This might be a point of when people complain. People already have an idea if they want to run. Your material should be on point. It

should be telling of running for a position for SUA. We are giving them money to do so.. When we talk about transparency, I want to see a fair process for people who are running.

Vanessa: Having been through elections, you get different versions of stuff constantly. You get one version of one thing then another. The other thing is that...I yield.

Max: I have a few more concerns. This changes the actual role of the commissioner. The commissioner is a facilitator. They plan for outreach. This makes them a judge. I think we should plan on hypotheticals. I'm an engineer; I have to plan on them. When I hear you can come back to the body, if the commission doesn't like your stuff that is an entire week lost. There is a lot of things that can go wrong. It is a very drastic change in the position and we should take it seriously.

Tony: When we speak on hypotheticals, there is still no guarantee that they will be unbiased. When it comes to elections over the last years, the space has been more politicized every year. If you all make the decision to run, it is a huge decision. If you are giving up that info to someone and not know what they are going to do with it, it is something that I don't know if everyone would be comfortable with. It is giving a lot of power to one person and that one person is not being looked over. That person could very well turn the elections.

Justin: I don't think it should be the elections commissioner but the elections commission. There are twelve people. There is no timeline or procedures when to submit. When do they even start? If something gets denied, what are the procedures as far as changing that? It is vague.

Charlsie: **Call to question.**

Roshni: **Second.**

Max: **Objection.**

Vote to vote on the resolution: Yes: 23, No: 7

Roll Call Vote on the resolution:

Yes: Victoria York (Oakes), Alfonso Quintero (Oakes), Sergio Klor de Alva (Porter), Max Winter (Porter), Art Motta (Porter), Ivan Medina (OD)

No: Angelica Montes (MEChA), Samuel Simpson (QSU), Roshni Advani (Eight), Ivette Torres (Eight), Elaine Wong (Eight), Rachel Kirkwood (Nine), Gregory Baraghimian (Nine), Shub Sharan (Nine), Steven Hernandez (Ten), Jessica Ly (Cowell), Tyler Papp (Cowell), Kelly Goehring (Cowell), Edmond Yaghoubian (Stevenson), Samantha Sobol (Stevenson), Gul Taneri (Kresge), Bella Aranda (Kresge), Miina Coulon (Kresge), Maria Garcia (Merrill), Justin McClendon (Merrill), Priscila Rodriguez (Merrill), Corbin Hall (Crown), Ayki Adil (Crown), Leslie Villafana (Crown), Vanessa Morales (CoAA), Tony Milgram (EVC), Max Hufft (IVC),

Abstentions: Charlsie Chang (CoD),

Shaz: **Motion fails.**

Max: **Motion** to reconsider the bylaws.

Shaz: It has to be on the winning side so that is an invalid motion.

Maria: **Motion for reconsideration.**

Max: **Second.**

All those in favor of reconsidering the previous amendment: Yes: 9, **No: 18**

Shaz: **Motion fails**, there will be no reconsideration.

Consideration of Election Sponsorship Request

Shaz: This is about sponsorship and getting it on the ballot. I don't want to hear any discussion if you support it or not. Are there any questions on the process?

Max: If the SUA votes to sponsor something, does that mean they do not go to the 10 colleges?

Shaz: You can do 1 of the 3: go to SUA, 7/10 of the colleges, or approval of 10% of the student body.

Tony: Can I take a straw poll? All of these that were presented to us are important. I don't think us a big enough body to approve.

Library 24/5

Justin: Merrill has a question, for the card system, can one person slide in and everyone goes in or is it one by one?

Vanessa: I talked to Elizabeth, I'll let you know as soon as I know; I don't have an answer yet. They will be doing a slide in and having head counts periodically. They will have a general number. If multiple people come in, it will offset the number.

Victoria: Oakes has a question, will you check how many people at certain hours and how will you do that?

Vanessa: When you check in, they will do head counts every hour.

Max: For the body, if these are being brought to the senate, if you are bringing it to each senate, you shouldn't need the vote.

Shaz: Every organization is represented. It is our job to bring it to our constituents. College senates and SUA represent our total body.

Justin: There are three ways; folks are allowed to choose that. The same argument is can be made. It should be respected.

Shaz: That is an in-depth conversation.

Shaz: This is brought to SUA last week. People should go back to their constituents. If you want to vote on yes or no, it's the responsibility of the SUA.

Rachel: I agree that the reps should rep their college. We should recognize that SUA is also comprised of ethnic orgs as well.

Shaz: I don't want people saying I'm personally for it. I want to hear what the senate's said. I don't want to hear "I'm firmly for this".

Edmond: Stevenson believed that we don't represent every single opinion. We passed everything. 10 of us may be against it but it is the student population wanting to pay for these measures.

Priscila: Regarding the language, at the end of it there are “pro-statements”.

Vanessa: In terms of that, it was not gathered during the process of making it, it’s not pro-statements. It came from the survey. It is a student consultation.

Max: I think the point is that it seems like it is pro-statements. It may look like a bias.

Ivan: **Point of personal privilege**, the student body is my constituency.

Shaz: That is an ethical question. That is your decision.

Priscila: As Max said, underneath, I think it is inappropriate because it is biased.

Elaine: There was a concern, if this was on the ballot, students would not take the time to read it. There is a lack of transportation at that time. There is a lack of food at the time as well. We are worried students will see 24/5 and vote yes without thinking about it.

Ivan: I think organizationally, I would prefer see the author here. That is a fault to me. There is nothing that considers the other things for the students. I would have like to see that.

Rachel: I think that we should be discussing the language of the bill and if we think that it is valid, whether we agree or disagree.

Ivan: **Point of personal privilege.**

Sam: **That’s a point of order.**

Corbin: I would agree that the comments from surveys are appropriate. I would suggest striking it from there for the opportunity for the writer to include that.

Art: I **move** to sponsor.

Roshni: **Second.**

Priscila: **Objection.**

Rachel: **Yield.**

Charlsie: **Call to question.**

Roshni: **Second.**

Priscila: **Objection.**

Vote to vote on sponsorship: Yes: 23, No: 2

Roll call vote to sponsor library 24/5:

Yes: Alfonso Quintero (Oakes), Art Motta (Porter), Max Hufft (IVC), Miina Coulon (Kresge), Roshni Advani (Eight), Ivette Torres (Eight), Elaine Wong (Eight), Rachel Kirkwood (Nine), Gregory Baraghimian (Nine), Shub Sharan (Nine), Jessica Ly (Cowell), Tyler Papp (Cowell), Kelly Goehring (Cowell), Edmond Yaghoubian (Stevenson), Gul Taneri (Kresge), Bella Aranda (Kresge), Justin McClendon (Merrill), Corbin Hall (Crown), Ayki Adil (Crown), Leslie Villafana (Crown), Charlsie Chang (CoD), Tony Milgram (EVC)

No: Sergio Klor de Alva (Porter), Victoria York (Oakes), Yajaira Chavez (Oakes), Steven Hernandez (Ten), Leslie Paola Gonzalez (Ten), Max Winter (Porter), Priscila Rodriguez (Merrill), Ivan Medina (OD), Angelica Montes (MEChA), Samantha Sobol (Stevenson), Maria Garcia (Merrill)

Abstentions: Vanessa Morales (CoAA), Samuel Simpson (QSU)

Shaz: **Motion passes; library 24/5 is sponsored.**

Slug Shuttle

Charlsie: **Motion to sponsor.**

Roshni: **Second.**

Rachel: College 9, unless there are some serious flaws, we should approve of them all and let people vote.

Maria: In Merrill, the language was misleading. On the second page of the language, it is misleading because it is not guaranteed for 16,000 students can go.

Max: Those concerns will be legitimate. I will change the language to reflect on that.

Corbin: It was addressed. I was going to motion to change that.

Max: If the language is amended, he would have to come back.

Shaz: If the author changes it after the fact, they would need to come to sponsorship.

Sam: Does it say it will transport students indefinitely?

Ivan: I see where it would be misleading, although it is 800 quarterly, it is something that one of the Merrill reps was touching on.

Rachel: Question on the logistics, if the languages change, wouldn't Max have to go back to the colleges?

Charlsie: If we vote on it today, would it be on the ballot?

Shaz: If he changed the language, he would have to come back.

Justin: We can suggest amending the language and amending it right now?

Shaz: Yes.

Priscila: We talked about the students per each trip. Since we have been hinting this, can we just do that now?

Shaz: That is what I said.

Max: I am going to throw out some options for yall. Just realizing now, I can't change the language, I don't want to go back on my name on the college. You can sponsor on the contingency that there are stipulations, you can go and do that. If I get 7 colleges to accept, I will be unable to. I just don't want to go back on my word. I would let you all come to your conclusions. I really do reflect the critical thinking from Merrill.

Tony: Is this going to happen spring quarter?

Shaz: That is irrelevant.

Max: One thing I noticed, in the first line it says free shuttle service. The next few lines is that. Compulsory means you have to and is required. But there is an opt-out option.

Shaz: I'll have Justin explain what that means.

Justin: There is a voluntary fee like CALPIRG. Compulsory fees are charged regardless. Last SUA meeting, someone brought the idea of opt-out. When student governments use compulsory fees for external governments, then students can opt of out that fee. In this situation, no student can really opt out.

Elaine: College 8 was wondering if there was a number of how many people used Slug Shuttle last year.

Shaz: I can answer that question on all line of neutrality, it was 1,100. It was even across the colleges. It was about 500 in the winter and 600 in the spring.

Alfonso: Is there a sunset clause?

Shaz: No.

Alfonso: Is there a breakdown?

Shaz: Everything on the measure is what is on the measure.

Charlsie: I like to amend my motion to sponsor shuttle on the contingency to amend the language.

Shaz: Can we restate the concerns?

Priscila: On the 2nd page, 1st paragraph, 2nd sentence.

Max: Also concern with the "free shuttle" line and the compulsory/opt-out and lack of a sunset clause.

Charlsie: I **amend my motion** to sponsor the slug shuttle with the contingency to address to SUA body's concerns.

Max: **Point of clarification**, Max would have to go back to the colleges after the amendments.

Priscila: If Max doesn't get the 7/10 colleges, how will we know this is done?

Shaz: As chair, I would make sure the concerns were met.

Priscila: How would the body know?

Shaz: We can bring it back next meeting.

Roll call vote to sponsor Slug Shuttle:

Yes: Angelica Montes (MEChA), Roshni Advani (Eight), Ivette Torres (Eight), Elaine Wong (Eight), Rachel Kirkwood (Nine), Gregory Baraghimian (Nine), Shub Sharan (Nine), Jessica Ly (Cowell), Tyler Papp (Cowell), Kelly Goehring (Cowell), Edmond Yaghoubian (Stevenson), Lila Blackney (Stevenson), Samantha Sobol (Stevenson), Gul Taneri (Kresge), Bella Aranda (Kresge), Maria Garcia (Kresge), Justin McClendon (Merrill), Corbin Hall (Crown), Ayki Adil (Crown), Leslie Villafana (Crown), Vanessa Morales (CoAA), Charlsie Chang (CoD), Tony Milgram (EVC)

No: Victoria York (Oakes), Yajaira Chavez (Oakes), Steven Hernandez (Ten), Leslie Paola Gonzalez (Ten), Max Winter (Porter), Miina Coulon (Kresge), Priscila Rodriguez (Merrill), Ivan Medina (OD),

Abstentions: Alfonso Quintero (Oakes), Sergio Klor de Alva (Porter), Art Motta (Porter), Max Hufft (IVC), Samuel Simpson (QSU)

Shaz: **Motion passes; Slug Shuttle is sponsored along with the contingency.**

Justin: Since the motion carries, do we have to vote again?

Shaz: No, it has to reflect on the measure.

Amendment to Measure 16

Ivan: Considering the author had problems with the allocations, we should move forward.

Max: **I motion to sponsor.**

Shub: **Second.**

Shaz: Are there any objections by consensus? **Motion passes.**

GROW Measure

Ivan: **Motion** to sponsor the GROW measure.

Rachel: **Second.**

Corbin: May I **amend** with the contingency of adding a sunset clause?

Sergio: **Second.**

Justin: I understand why we did the other amendment to the slug shuttle because the author is here. Colleges take weeks. I think we should just vote on it tonight.

Lila: I don't see there a reason for the sunset clause. A good portion of it goes to funding student jobs and stipends. If putting sunset clause, those jobs will not be available and it would be counterproductive.

Ivan: Opening two additional gardens, etc. I would agree with Lila. Having a sunset clause means that we are hoping that someone else funds this. To hope someone funds this, there hasn't been that discussion in this space. It would only be fair to be allowed to pass on the ballot measure. The author said they are open to reconsideration.

Max: The reason I think the clause is necessary because it is not up to us to decide if it is necessary. I think there should be reconsideration. If it was a good program, there should be no issue.

Vanessa: **Call to question** on the amendment.

Ivan: **Second.**

Vote to amend the main motion by adding the sunset clause: Yes: 9, **No: 15**,

Abstentions: 9

Ivan: **Call to question.**

Max: **Second.**

Vote on sponsorship: **Yes: 29**, No: 0, Abstentions: 5

Shaz: **Motion passes.**

Student Government Fee Increase

Max: One of the more productive motions would be to sponsor with contingency that the increase is increased over a set number of years. That motion can be made.

Alfonso: Oakes had 24 no's, 0 yes and abstentions.

Priscila: Merrill found many issues. Davis pays a lot but they control their bookstore and metro. The 3rd sentence starting with "if approved". The first problem of this is that the language is contingent on this referenda passing. Secondly, the part where it says "this will provide resources", it is not stated how that will happen. Even though I think dialogue and discussion is important, only one of the 6 officers has access. "The funds generated by this amendment", that is not contingent on this passing. As a different discussion, I don't think it is necessary.

Max: It was being increased by 5-fold as the general fund is to have more money to support events and I understand that is part of the budget. This is going to be on the ballot.

Corbin: The IVC suggested something and I really liked that idea. Can I make a motion?

Shaz: You can like anything you want. You need to reserve your right to make a motion.

Ivan: I would like to parallel with this initiative. If you look at funding proposals, they are being fiscally accurate with the proposal for funding. This initiative does not. In order to make the campus visible, we need more money? That parallels with by increasing tuition, it would increase education's quality. Measure 8 has conversations of organizations and colleges coming together. Last week, when I said this proposal was weak, I meant it because it is for these reasons. It says we need more money for outreach with a hypothetical budget. It's poor in quality and it is something that the SUA does not stand for.

Dylan: A lot of people discussed with me that there is some question is going to look like. As elections commissioner, it is my job to produce high voter turnout. I would ask the body to sponsor this because the contention of the body, if you think that think it is a weak proposal, you

could consider how contentious it is for the student body and will it get the 66% approval anyway. When you have an election with a contentious initiative, you increase turnout from 7-9%.

Dylan: It is the American Politics Institute.

Tony: I **reserve my right to make a motion**. I know Max talked about making it an gradual increase. Is it possible to amend that? I motion to sponsor with the contingency that there is a \$7 dollar increase per year.

Roshni: **Second**.

Victoria: **Objection**.

Jessica: I asked the presenter, looking at the table, 41% is for student life. I asked “what percentage of the population is involved with student life and how many will be benefited”?

Shaz: No, sorry. That is a valid concern. We don’t know because the speaker isn’t here.

Edmond: I **reserve my right to call to question**. This may be a big jump. I think this will benefit the body.

Steven: **Point of order**.

Ivan: **Point of order**.

Miina: **Second**.

Vanessa: **Objection**.

Vote to vote: Yes: 28, No: 5

Priscila: It says that the ballot author is the Student Union Assembly.

Shaz: That will be changed.

Roll Call Vote to sponsor with the contingency that it is a gradual increase:

Yes: Vanessa Morales (CoAA), Art Motta (Porter), Max Hufft (IVC), Roshni Advani (Eight), Rachel Kirkwood (Nine), Gregory Baraghimian (Nine), Shub Sharan (Nine), Tyler Papp (Cowell), Kelly Goehring (Cowell), Edmond Yaghoubian (Stevenson), Corbin Hall (Crown), Charlsie Chang (CoD), Tony Milgram (EVC), Lila Blackney (Stevenson)

No: Sergio Klor de Alva (Porter), Victoria York (Oakes), Yajaira Chavez (Oakes), Steven Hernandez (Ten), Leslie Paola Gonzalez (Ten), Max Winter (Porter), Priscila Rodriguez (Merrill), Ivan Medina (OD), Angelica Montes (MEChA), Samantha Sobol (Stevenson), Maria Garcia (Merrill), Alfonso Quintero (Oakes), Ivette Torres (Eight), Gul Taneri (Kresge), Bella Aranda (Kresge), Justin McClendon (Merrill), Leslie Villafana (Crown)

Abstentions: Samuel Simpson (QSU), Miina Coulon (Kresge), Elaine Wong (Eight), Jessica Ly (Cowell), Ayki Adil (Crown)

Shaz: **Motion fails.**

Amendment to Measure 30

Miina: **Motion to support.**

Roshni: **Second.**

Max: **Call to question.**

Max: **Objection.**

Vote to vote on sponsorship: Yes: everyone else, No: 4, Abstentions: 3

Shaz: **Motion passes.**

Roll call vote to sponsor Measure 30:

Yes: Angelica Montes (MEChA), Roshni Advani (Eight), Ivette Torres (Eight), Elaine Wong (Eight), Victoria York (Oakes), Yajaira Chavez (Oakes), Alfonso Quintero (Oakes), Rachel Kirkwood (Nine), Gregory

Baraghimian (Nine), Shub Sharan (Nine), Steven Hernandez (Ten), Jessica Ly (Cowell), Tyler Papp (Cowell), Kelly Goehring (Cowell), Edmond Yaghoubian (Stevenson), Lila Blackney (Stevenson), Samantha Sobol (Stevenson), Sergio Klor de Alva (Porter), Max Winter (Porter), Art Motta (Porter), Gul Taneri (Kresge), Bella Aranda (Kresge), Miina Coulon (Kresge), Maria Garcia (Merrill), Justin McClendon (Merrill), Priscila Rodriguez (Merrill), Corbin Hall (Crown), Ayki Adil (Crown), Leslie Villafana (Crown), Ivan Medina (OD), Vanessa Morales (CoAA), Charlsie Chang (CoD), Tony Milgram (EVC)

Abstentions: Samuel Simpson (QSU), Leslie Paola Gonzalez (Ten), Max Hufft (IVC)

Shaz: **Motion passes, measure 30 is sponsored.**

Officer Reportbacks

Max: My office has been up and about going to sponsorships. It is going to be a really great event. We are getting a lot of interesting judges. I can't tell you who they are just yet. The chancellor might sing for the event. I would like for him to sing Ke\$ha. There will be a Porter-Kresge Carnival in March. We are bringing in a bounce house and a rock wall. Porter Slug Grill is making burgers. It may need extra volunteers for this event. It is going to be great.

Vanessa: Working on the survey. The link is almost ready. You will get an e-mail soon about that.

Justin: **Yield.**

Charlsie: Since we are not having SUA next week, I'll be passing this out. We are having compassion week next week. Wednesday we will have appreciation letters. Stamps and envelopes are available. It is a week of fun. We will have post it notes to put on mirrors.

Tony: LegCon, flights and hotels are booked. We have another delegation meeting. As far as SLC goes, there were not a lot of people who applied. We extended the deadline to this Thursday. There is a lot more slots open. It is an amazing experience; tell your senates and

organizations. Please apply. Lobby Corp, we have meetings every Wednesdays, 8PM-10PM at Hahn student services. Next week is a Fund the UC week of action. There is a strike happening and there will be a table down there. We are going to table through the week at the quarry. There is going to be an event next Wednesday night. We are talking about an importance of voting. Thursday; fossil free. We are looking to get a computer for our vote program built in to the library. I am tentatively planning larger scale events for speakers. We want Feinstein, Boxer, or Governor Brown. Another one would be a debate leading up to the primaries. We want people running for congress and people already in congress. There are rumors that UCI is withdrawing from UCSA. Many of their efforts have not been supported, whether or not that is true. My perspective, they are not doing themselves good. They are still a UC. Everything UCSA does will represent them. There is a UCSA board meeting ironically at UCI.

Justin: For USSA, we chose our selection committee representatives, is that happening for this?

Tony: I don't think that is necessary since we are under the amount of applications.

Shaz: If you need volunteers, can you e-mail?

Tony: I would like one person from each college and organization to help out. I will defer to the elected rep if your space does not come to me.

Tyler: Can you clarify what that was for?

Tony: Base of campus Monday since there is the strike. We need a lot of people to table.

Ivan: As a reportback, some of things are working on. We don't have pieces of legislation of table. I am meeting with them on Thursday. We are also working closely with the campaign that the EVC is funding. We would like to see people come out. If we got at least 30 people to have 5 postcards, we will meet our goal. Lobby Corp, you would brief Fund the UC prop 13. Go to Lobby Corp and ask me, Angelica, or my other interns. I am trying to get t-shirts for Fund the UC to get visibility under my programming. We are finalizing with the Art Initiative. We have a one-week gallery at Casa Latina. I am hoping to get a lot of art students involved. Please refer that to me. Also, worker appreciation day is next quarter. As an OD, I don't want to create a lot of single events. I want to help out in anyway. I don't want people think that as officers, we are

overpaid. I think that if you have individuals that are interested in our events, I am interested in that.

Alfonso: Question, Prop 13, do you know if USSA is pushing for that in SLC?

Ivan: Yes, to some extent. It is dependent on the legislator.

Shaz: We received 39 applicants. I am the Chair of the Northern Region process. USSA will pick the top 3 from the top 10. We are meeting Saturday to narrow down. The next item is that there is no meeting March 4th. The one after that will be a short meeting. I invited a chief of police; he is going to cuff me. That will be a very short meeting. The petition for candidacy, I'll be working with Dylan. If anyone has questions, you can ask Dylan or me.

Justin: I can help with the campus elections.

Lila: I am also available as associate elections commissioner.

Maria: When are the monthly reports due?

Shaz: I'll set a deadline but it's going to be a few weeks.

Max: Since we are not having a meeting next week. It is also Mardi Gras. Y'all are invited to my apartment. There will be chocolate chip. I can make vegan pancakes. Apartment 12. I'll make an event for it. Happy Lent.

Charlsie: Sunday, I have an e^2 board meeting, Redwood lounge. Breaking the Silence. Folks can voice. They are going to document it and turn it into a video of some sort.

Tony: For the sign-ups, I have a google spreadsheet.

Ivan: Orale is having their volunteer mixer. It is MEChA's yield program. March 4, 7-9PM at Cervantes. It is a big event. You don't have to be Latin@ or Chican@. It is a good way to kick back with people.

Tem: They are selling bacon-wrapped hotdogs tomorrow too.

Vanessa: For those who want to volunteer, Jessica and I will be contacting you.

Justin: **Motion to adjourn.**

Shaz: **OVERRULED.** About 25 people came. We had I Love Sushi afterwards.

Edmond: It was fun.

Tyler: I got the chance to know a lot of people more on a friendly level than in this space.

Justin: I want to say happy birthday to the chair.

Max: I have a great time; it beat last year's retreat. A lot more people were able to make it. I got to know our elections commissioner and treasurer better. I got to spend time outside of this meeting. I had fun.

Ivan: I love Leslie. I appreciate our conversations. During dinner, I overheard that one of our members said that the only reason they couldn't have what they wanted because they weren't a man. I know someone overheard that. I think that it was very sexist. It should be held accountable during the retreat that it is only indicative of the perpetuation of sexism on our campus.

Justin: **Motion to adjourn.**

Roshni: **Second.**

Adjournment at 9:23PM