Assembly Members Present: Art Motta (MEChA), Haedyn Christie (QSU), Alternate Imari (Cowell), Seamus Howard (Cowell), Colin Hortman (Stevenson), Kyra Brandt (Stevenson), Andrew Paolini (Crown), Aykezar Adil (Crown), Chandler Moeller (Merrill), Kartik Ashok (Merrill), Alexandra Kasper (Merrill), Dylan Quitiquit Hoffman (Porter), Adham Taman (Porter), Alternate Shannon (Kresge), Winnie Sidhu (Kresge), Jackie Roger (Kresge), Suini Torres (Oakes), Kiana Coleman (Oakes), Tamra Owens (Oakes), Roshni Advani (Eight), Eric Yuan (Eight), Sam Shaw (Nine), Lance McNeil (Nine), Shubhankar Sharan (Nine), Ramneet Bajwa (Ten), Daniel Iglesias (Ten), Vanessa Sadsad (Ten), Brad Mleynek (OD), Max Hufft (CoAA), Israel Molina (CoD), Kaysi Wheeler (IVC).

Assembly Members Absent: Nazareth Velazco (SANAI), Jose Cadenas (Cowell), Yang Kong (Cowell), Ricardo Sainz (Stevenson), Michael Markson (Crown), Gul Taneri (Kresge), Ray Inoue (Eight), Louise Cabansay (EVC), Justin Lardinois (Chair).

Approval of the Agenda:

Vanessa: Motion to approve.

Chandler: Second.

Sam: Motion to 15 minutes to talk about today’s events, after announcements.

Chandler: Second.

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Reading of the Previous Meeting’s Minutes:

Vanessa: Motion to approve.

Chandler: Second.

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Approval of Last Week’s Minutes:

Dylan: Motion to approve.

Alexandra: Second.

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Announcements:

Matt: Campus organizer for UCSC. Just here to introduce myself!
Andrew: We finished making the SUA survey and we want to get it out by week one or two by spring quarter, this Thursday’s meeting at 5 PM is in SUA office and we are going to be talking about outreach.

Colin: Week of dance at Stevenson, it is a celebration of cultures and their dancing. On Monday March 9th we have a group at 8 PM and a group on Tuesday at 7pm Haluan Hip Hop and People of the Islands at 8 pm, Ballroom Dance on Wednesday and Salsita is coming as well as Cruz Steppahs. We will be talking about culture and what the dance means to them and they are going to be teaching that. Come out to Stevenson!

Dylan: In Porter, there is an art show ceremony put on my a friend of mine it will be dark spooky goth art and it will be interesting and several events that SUA has funded, this Friday the art show was put on and we brought about 100 and it was money well spent. We spread a lot of word about tuition hikes and the SUA megaphones have been very helpful especially with rallies and marching.

Brad: So Crown College is having their annual social fiction conference, this Friday 6th 7th and 8th, Friday night they are showing some anime movie. Some explanation it that the social fiction conference is combining social justice and science fiction and we look at how science fiction is used to depict social justice issues. We have had speakers that have worked on some really incredible shows and movies on science fiction. It’s really fun, on midnight Saturday morning we will be showing Robocop at the Del Mar and I don’t know if there is a cost, but it will be showing. On Saturday and Sunday there will be workshops and panels and different raffle prizes at the crown Merrill dining hall.

Max: I’m sure that many of us are signing up for classes and some of us are having difficulty getting those classes. Tell everyone to take the class survey, we want as many people as possible. It’s very important.

Dylan: there is going to be strike on Thursday, come through and represent your orgs and colleges.

**Protest Discussion:**

Sam: College Nine has been trying its best to cover the protests and report on the protests in an objective way, but the reason I wanted to bring it up is because I felt internally conflicted because there is a vast division on campus on how to conceive the student actions, there is the obvious militarization by the police, as shown by the vans, but on the other hand, we have hundreds of cars stacked up on the freeway and some people were even prevented to getting kidney dialysis. Many students support the cause but not the method.

Colin: I was talking to some of my friends at dinner and RAs at my college and they were also very surprised about the 17. They do support the cause. They are frustrated as well that the
campus will be closed on Thursday and I don’t personally know if campus closures actually do anything but I asked my upper classmen friends and they said that it postpones something important for a couple days but that’s it.

Kartik: I saw this really quick line that was crossed between a civilized protest to fuck the regents and I heard that one of the charges for the six students that were arrested was because of conspiracy. I do think it is a noble cause but I think there are better ways of protesting.

Andrew: I know there was a response on Reddit and someone posted that question on the subreddit of UCSC and they didn’t provide any sources or anything, but someone said regarding the graffiti and things like the porter squiggle which is less of a protest and more of vandalism. I’m okay with people saying fuck the cops but if someone goes and vandalizes the paintings at Merrill that would upset me.

Dylan: So there are several points I want to talk about here. As far as the highway 17 thing, I had no idea that that was happening and I’ve been doing a lot of support stuff and I kind of saw the timeline but I didn’t know it was happening. This is not the first time that the freeway has been shutdown by students and the idea of the tactic isn’t to appeal to the local, it’s to focus massive media attention on the movement. There are several hundred thousands of people that have been reached. Whether it remains the best tactic, that remains to be seen. People who are fighting against the tuition hikes are fighting hard and we need to stand with our fellow slugs. Let’s still put out that idea of slug unity and UC unity because we’re getting a lot of solidarity for this action from UCs across the state. The idea of civil protesting is the idea that we need to act within the bounds of what admin or what our oppressors say is right, or else we are somehow in this moral wrong, that’s bullshit. The campus shut downs have worked, some people are worried about what will come out of it. In 2009-2010, the campus shut down resulted in a 3 year tuition freeze, in 2012-2013 those shutdowns led to something and that’s why a lot of undergrads don’t know the effectiveness on it. Finally on the Squiggle, some people are saying that defacing art is a bad thing to do, and some people are saying that graffiti has its place in political game. The squiggle has been co-opted as a porter thing, as well as the art department, and the admin uses it to represent that, and yet admin has not been taking care of that sculpture. This political action happens and suddenly admin is taking concern to it. This focuses attention on the issue.

Shub: I don’t think that anybody here doubts that protests are the way to go since we don’t have other ways of advocating for ourselves and I don’t think that we will argue that more. It is widely obvious that students sympathize with the cause but not with the protesting group. I feel like by not agreeing with some principles, I’ve been marginalized in terms of the movement that I no longer belong to and if I don’t agree with some of the tactics I’m part of the problem. It really hurts because I’m a student too and in the beginning I was super involved and it was disheartening to hear other students tell me that I’m part of student government and that they don’t need me. You can hear from the community that this is not their movement and that by voicing their opinion, they are somehow on the other side of the narrative, and I would like to see
the students reclaim the possession of the fight against tuition hikes. I would like to see the majority of students come back into the action instead of being shut down and harassed.

Kyra: I agree with what Shub was saying, I was at the protest today and it was exciting and I didn’t expect the 17 to be shut down and there was a lot of concern with how safe this movement is and if the repercussions of our activity. It is really discomforting to know that the police showed up and we don’t want to be arrested or beat by the police. Many people are at a loss of what to do since they don’t want to be part of that. I think there needs to be a clear plan of what needs to happen and a more galvanized effort and so I think a reclaiming of this effort is important. Right now there is no clarity with what we are actually doing.

Daniel: This movement has moved beyond a lot of students, personally, I can’t stand by what happened today, at the end of the day, someone will say that we got the message out there, but what message are we actually sending, that we went to these lengths or that we did something really dumb? Even if these actions have proven to be effective in the past, I don’t want to see the movement to go down this way.

Vanessa: I want to take a moment to echo something of a larger message here, this isn’t a UCSC movement, this is a higher education movement. This is a larger movement that Dylan has been trying passionately to convey to our community as peers and fellow students that this message and movement is bigger than us, bigger than the Santa Cruz community, that being said, I want us to take in the division here. First, I’ve noticed a really large division when I read these posts online whether it’s the outside community or campus community really shutting down and saying slugs in a lot of insulting ways, and I have yet to see that on a UC scale. Recall this year, and recall years prior. A, this academic year, students also from UC Berkeley have walked up in the streets in droves and it’s in UCPD, Berkeley PD have followed them.

Dylan: Motion to extend 5 minutes.

Chandler: Second.

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Vanessa: I really want to echo a solid point that UCSC is no different from our fellow students across the world for advocating for higher education, and this is not a UCSC issue that needs to divide the campus in some civil war on how things are done. David people were unlawfully resisting UCPD just by sitting so that police would not walk across a long path and I do recall they were pepper-sprayed. These types of actions, they do convey a larger message and whether or not you agree with them, we do free ride on those positive outcomes. In the past 24 hours, I have tried to gauge the opinion in Santa Cruz compared to other campuses. What I hear at Berkeley is “Oh look another person just protesting another thing, they’re jumping on a tree, they’re jumping on a building”, but over here our campus culture is “why are they doing that, I’m against that”, but I had a final point of I think we should honestly consider the notion that
this is larger than Santa Cruz and I think that we should consider that division kind of escalating here.

Kelly: I would like to say that folks are talking about the highway shutdown, but the city of Santa Cruz has actually shut down Highway 17 20 times since 1980 since they were protesting the expansion of the 17 as well as the fishhook.

Art: Just to echo some things being said, there are personal opinions about the methods being used. Students have rallied, and spread the message about what they are doing. They have gone to the free way and highway. The mobilization of students has been grassroots organizing ever since the November announcement of when this tuition hike was announced. Some of the people in this room have been helping behind the scenes and some haven’t. In addition, there are lobbying approaches and we are teaching students how to mobilize based on that. Right now the external affairs is accepting applications for lobbying in Sacramento. Students can learn how to lobby at the Capitol. If this tactic isn’t your way of protesting, you might want to try a new cup of tea, so please apply to that.

Brad: **Motion to extend 10 minutes.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Dylan: A rep from Stevenson brought up the idea of safety at actions and I just want to echo a point by art, the GA space which has been shaping the action for this and they have been meeting consistently every week and even more than that and it’s a really fucking draining process and the people who do it do it for this cause that we all apparently agree on. The GA space is a pretty radical space, and the general idea there is “lets do direct radical action” I would love to support anyone who would like to have other kinds of action. It’s not a hard thing to do but it is a lot of time and energy. If people want moderate action, then the moderates need to get out there and do moderate action. Please, I would love to support anyone who wants to do this, it’s a great experience to work with a group of people to make something happen and reach out to your fellow students. As far as direct safety today, I get that a lot of people run into trouble with police something, and I know that some people are not okay with getting arrested, but when you participate, you do run the risk of those things and you should always consider your own safety. Finally, something that Vanessa said, is that what people didn’t see was the large community backlash, there were people tackling other people in the streets, the only thing that we feel is the action and the results. The type of message that we are actually getting out is different from what we feel on a day to day basis.

Brad: **Motion to table this after presentations.**

Chandler: **Second.**
Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

**Presentations:**

*UC Global Initiative:*

There are 25 subcommittees here and this was an initial conversation with the chancellors and the presidents that created a movement for food access and equity. These committees are run by students. These discussions are also run by students. My fellow fellows are working on agriculture efforts here. We had an application process. The theme of the California Summit was food access, equity and justice summit. Some of us are in a privileged state to be here yet many of us cannot even feed ourselves. That is what we are here to address. The UCUES data saw that almost half the student body is reporting food scarcity. So what we do at the CARE teams is that we try to utilize as many resources as possible on and off campus to try to bring students up to speed with food access and any crises they are going through. There is a large stigma about Calfresh, which is food stamps. Some students don’t apply for Calfresh when probably every student qualifies for that. This will not go on your parents taxes. The financial aid office will not find out either. That is how Calfresh works and we are trying to move away from that stigma and we are trying to bring these services closer to campus so that we can have as many students as possible come in. So building on that, many people don’t like to be seen at a food pantry. We don’t have a site for students to cine and get fresh produce on campus. Not many campuses promote the food insecurity problem. So there is a lot of great work with the dean of students and the CVCARE program, not many of you know about the student support on campus and that is because of the concern of raising awareness. You can show your student ID off campus and get a bag of fresh groceries. We need to feed more students and show them their access. There is a screening process for students to go through for the Safeway gift card. You will have to maximize your financial aid loans before you apply for that Safeway card. Closing all the loops of food going to waste and instead feeding students is what we need to do.

**Brad:** **Motion to extend by 5 minutes.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

(Continued) Next steps we are launching task forces and working groups at each UC. We are planning to have an implementation plan for our campus efforts over the next 16 months. We are working at a system wide level with our student regent and GFI committee. We are calling for high level accountability as well. Ideally we will have direct participating in the committee. We are trying to increase financial aid for students across the UC for these resources. 3 student governments on our campus have just passed resolutions and so we could have something like that coming soon to SUA.
Dylan: Could you elaborate more on what is happening to food that is about to be thrown away in the dining hall?

Global Initiative: The issue is that they haven’t figured out what to do with food that haven’t been touched by students.

Max: What church gives out free groceries?

Global Initiative: Right next to Westlake elementary.

Andrew: **Motion to extend time by 1 minute.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Brad: I just want to say thank you for putting in time and effort here, as a student who has used the resources as a sophomore it is great to see this being worked on and that there are improvements on the way. This is something that has affected me and I just want to thank you since it has impacted me personally.

**Resume Protest discussion:**

Sam: The issues for me is not so much the radical action but the unsophisticated language and that doesn’t bother me as much but it is still a fact that we have to deal with. Nuance language reflects our own perception of this. One problem when we say “fuck the police”. I dunno if any of y’all remember the guy who interrupted the Chancellor and had a direct conversation with him? I know I’m not the only person in here who was surprised by how knowledgeable that guy was and how he could quickly respond to Blumenthal. One of my housemates commented on how that guy didn’t know what he was doing and I had to go through the awkward process of explaining that to my housemates. Unfortunately students see most students this way. This myth that we don’t need community support is not true, support has to come to us and it won’t come naturally if our language doesn’t sync up with the community. We don’t have a lot of normal ways of advocating. We try but we have limited influence. I think that if we could instrumentalize the incredible influence and pair them with reasonable request which reflects the understanding and nuance of this that would be a winning combination.

Shub: **Motion to extend time by 10 minutes.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**
Dylan: I just wanted to clarify that all actions are good actions and when we have student advocate for us, and if you are interested in lobbying, that will be a great action that will support students, however direct action is also very valuable for the ultimate goal.

Vanessa: I noticed that within the microcosm and melting pot that is UCSC, if not the UC as a whole that is representative of students from a wide array of backgrounds that also includes students from central, north but especially two-thirds from Southern California. I also have this sentiment of possible resistance to this type of action and I don’t know if it is a lack of exposure to the riot police and this militant action, maybe it’s the #FTP the actual fuck the police the sentiment that the police are not my friend. I’m just noticing this trend that is also prevalent in person and online through these media outlets with a clear divide and I think think that we should really take in what is going on and I’m trying to observe this trend and I’d like to ask the Stevenson rep who mentioned the riot police, could you extend your sentiment towards the riot police?

Kyra: My point of view with the rioting is similar to what Dylan was saying earlier, people don’t want to be arrested because it’s really expensive to get out of jail once you’re there and that’s just not something that we want to deal with right now because we have a lot of other things to worry about paying for. When they got out into the street, that was extremely terrifying for me and I left and I know that I have my own sensibility to get out of peril, but that is the point of view I am going on and I think that a lot of students, that’s what they feel personally and they don’t want to be put into that position. I know that other students from Oakland who have seen riots and things like that they are not for it because they are not for that kind of experience since we are young people who need to be protected.

Imari: I have two points, the 96 hours of direct action is already happening, the time for talking was weeks ago when everything was formed and right now it is too late. My second thing is what is the end goal of this conversation.

Shub: A point that I want to make here, I’m not against the actions, what I’m saying is that the group itself is not welcoming to others. That’s why I haven’t been going. I was pretty involved in student actions but I stopped going since it wasn’t that welcoming and someone brought up the concern that students of color weren’t being very represented. The thing about this is that the people who are in charge of this they may spew god rhetoric, but when it comes to actually being a part of the group and trying to take some responsibility and everybody’s moving basically, we’re suddenly not welcome and it’s way more critical. You see members of the protest group calling other students sheep, saying that they’re stupid and saying that they’re following blind authority all the time online and it’s really disheartening to see this going around the student body. It’s discouraging from taking part of the movement.

Brad: I think it’s okay in the space to be able to discuss our personal opinions especially for events like this and we are representatives of our colleges and we are supposed to represent our
voices but at the same time we are students who also have a voice in this space. The end goal of
this discussion, one of the issues that are here now, there are students here who are confused
about their stance here and those students want to participate in a safe and effective manner. I
think that we should start leaning into a discussion of what we want SUA’s role to be in this
movement. I know in November that we were able to hold a very successful forum which ended
up in SUA putting buses to get students up to UCSF. Making that student line action is
something very big and historic for SUA. That line item is open to anything and it is for student
action. You can come and ask for funding from that line item since it’s there, I want to move into
what SUA’s role should be. I think the SLC is a good way of getting ourselves there to discuss
the politics of what is going on. I definitely agree that we should look into an end result of what
we want SUA’s role to be.

Andrew: **Motion to extend time for 5 minutes.**

Dylan: **Second.**

Chandler: **Objection.**

*Vote to extend time:*

In favor: 7 | Opposed: 14 | Abstentions: 8, **call to question fails.**

**Resolution – Campus Action to Educate Against Hate Motivated Acts Deliberation**

Art: There is some language we want to add for the 3rd let it be resolved clause, **to change to**
“prevention of hate motivated acts”.

Shannon: **Objection.**

Dylan: **Call to question.**

Seamus: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **call to question passes.**

In favor: 24 | Opposed: 1 | Abstentions: 5, **amendment passes.**

Kiana: We brought this resolution at Oakes, and we are in support, but there was the problem
that many resolutions have been passed through SUA but no actual action being taken. Oakes
had a stipulation that if we pass this that we actually have something done about it.

Dylan: An issue was brought up that the people involved didn’t want this to be blown up in
campus, but I question referring to it if saying actual names as opposed to referring to the actual
incident is less detrimental. Having these specific incidents to show what is really happening
really drives that home for me so I personally support that we ought to leave that in because it
doesn’t name any specific individuals.
Brad: I wanted to echo the statements that were coming from Oakes, I agree that I’ve seen a lot of resolutions come through SUA and not a lot of things have been done, and going over the therefore let it be resolved clauses, there’s a lot in here that the SUA does this and that, but who in the SUA is going to do it? It’s easy to say that the SUA will do this but it doesn’t give anyone the task of doing this. We could possibly work with other organizations. There are demands here but no directives.

Lance: I would like to **motion to adopt the resolution with the stipulation that we clarify who from the student union assembly and that the author complete the therefores of who will do what.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Vanessa: **Objection.** I objected on the notion that ambiguity is good and it is a call to action for the assembly on behalf of the campus community.

Art: That was one of the concerns that I had whether or not to specify the officers since y’all are in constant contact with admin. But we can go through and change it to officers and college representatives.

Colin: Reserve my right to make a motion, I think that there is some more discussion and amendments that I would like to see on behalf of Stevenson, **motion to amend of the last therefore let it finally be resolved, and I would like to strike “all student union assembly representative and officers shall complete an ethnicity, race and identity questionnaire to provide transparency of its representation.** One of my friends said that as a former SUA rep with sexuality and identity this would have made them leave since it would be on the internet. In addition, some felt that it was an attack on their identity. They were questioning what the survey would be used for and if it would make students comfortable.

Chandler: **Second.**

Roshni: **Objection.**

Andrew: This part of the resolution with the survey feels kind of political and that there is political reason that is separate from the issue of hate crimes themselves.

Colin: **Motion to extend time by 20 minutes.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Tamra: **Objection.**

In favor: **11** | Opposed: **13** | Abstentions: **3**, **motion fails.**

Israel: **Motion to extend 10 minutes.**
Roshni: Second.

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Israel: I also have reservations about this language, personally I think it is an interesting way to quantify who is in the space and I think it can be very off-putting to anyone who would want to be part of the space and for students who’s identity is constantly changing, particularly queer students. The transparency part, I understand that we are public officials, but on top of that we are students first and our privacy should be protected.

Dylan: I don’t feel too strongly either way for the questionnaire, but that as a pro it would help other orgs who are approaching SUA to give them an idea of who they are talking to, the point of students who’s identities are constantly changing I think that is a strong point, and if that questionnaire were to pass, I think it’s possible to make a choice that is still representative of the identity that that person is referring to.

Art: This last line about having a questionnaire, this would be confidential and anonymous and this is just to have awareness when students are concerned in knowing who represents them. The identities are for you to choose and for you to openly identify with and it’s all about how comfortable you are with that. I added that onto there because it ties into hate crimes that have been happening to students. The intent of this resolution is to change from a reporting and responding to hate incidents to preventing these incidents from even happening. The administration is only providing support after the fact. This is political and students here who are tired of being judged on campus. This questionnaire will be completely confidential and anonymous and if you don’t feel comfortable identifying, that’s alright. This will just give students an idea of who is representing them. it will just be a pie chart. Only the two main sponsors will have access to that information. We want to make sure that a more recent census of the space is available.

Haedyn: I was gonna say that when I read this I was in favor of it because we represent our school and if our assembly fails to represent groups of people who would like to push movements such as the all gender bathroom campaign.

Max: I’m against this sentence since I’m concerned of where this will lead. We had a lot of students in my freshman and sophomore year who would use their labels and backgrounds before they were about to speak as if it made them more qualified to what they had to say. I was told in my sophomore year that if I put myself as gay on the ballot, which is true, that I would be a more qualified candidate. To me, I just don’t appreciate where this can go. I don’t want to see that kind of fear in the space again, and I don’t see that much good coming from this questionnaire and honestly I don’t even know what’s the point in the long run, and I don’t really think it should be about our backgrounds and that type of thing. I think it’s more about our opinions. I appreciate the good intent but I also fear for the malice.
Israel: **Motion to extend 10 minutes.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Colin: I just wanted to speak on that, I think that it’s not always true that you can tell people’s identities but you can talk to people and be open about identity, but regarding whether it’s about transparency or awareness, SUA meetings are open to the public, and if they are interested to see us, they can come and see our meeting.

Israel: Reserve my right to make a motion, I don’t think that it is a necessity and I feel like it can be a very daunting thing in getting involved in the space when you’re told that you have to submit the survey whether or not it’s anonymous, it’s still difficult to students because a lot of people can’t quantify how they identify. **Call to question.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **call to question passes.**

In favor: **21** | Opposed: **2** | Abstentions: **5**, **amendment passes.**

Israel: Reserve my right to make a motion, earlier it was said that when it refers to the SUA it refers to the officers because we have direct contact with admin, but I would like to challenge that, and I would like to say that admin love students and they love hearing our thoughts. The SUA isn’t just the officers. You all have the power to talk to admin, and they will take you seriously if you take the time to talk to them. We are all representatives of the SUA, and we should be working together on every resolution that we pass. 6 officers with very limited staff we don’t have that many resources to do that. Y’all should be stepping up to mobilize this. All the responsibilities can’t be put on us, we are students as well, and there is more power in the students than the officers. **Call to question.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Andrew: **Objection.**

In favor: **17** | Opposed: **10** | Abstentions: **2**, **call to question fails.**

Israel: **Motion to strike the previous stipulation of specifying who in the SUA would carry out action.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**
Andrew: The person who this happened to or the people that this happened to, I feel like I would be more comfortable if we strike out the actual event and instead saying “previous events at UCSC”.

Israel: **Motion to extend time 5 minutes.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Colin: I would really like to hear from anyone who has knowledge of this event of the three whereas clauses on the first page, I would like to see someone talk about that, and secondly to change those whereas there have been more hate crimes in the past year.

Lance: I reserve my right to make a motion, I think pertaining to the incident, what we heard from those people is technically hearsay and it is not coming from the person who it happened to. UCSC sent out an email to all students so I think there is not attacking of the students, **call to question.**

Israel: **Second.**

Shannon: **Objection.**

Israel: **Rescind second.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Shannon: **Objection.**

In favor: **16 | Opposed: 9 | Abstentions: 3, call to question fails.**

Shannon: When that email was sent out it was picked up by dozens of newspapers and then blasted about that. The cops are not allowed to say anything, and the school isn’t allowed to say anything. I was a victim. The other victims have said thank you for your support, but we’re worried that the school is doing this for liability and that some groups are using their for their own agendas. Many people are worried about the victims of the incident but nah, we’re chilling. I do want to let y’all know that there will always be people like that out in the world…I just want you all to consider the feelings of the victims, Kresge parliament and my personal feelings.

Shannon: **Motion to extend 5 minutes.**

Israel: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**
Jackie: I think it’s really important to separate incidents that happened and to instead include more general events. I think that for the students who are still in recovery about this, and I think that everyone wants a more inclusive environment but we need to make sure that the students who were involved feel safe.

Art: This resolution has 3 components to it, race, ethnicity and identity. Students on campus are talking about this since it happened recently. It was sent out to everyone, that is the exact language of what was sent out. No names are mentioned. There are queer students of color on this campus who do not feel safe and those are students who I hope to have the support, we heard from someone that was in that incident, but to be able to decide what is or isn’t a hate crime, not everyone has that comfort and I don’t want to wait for the next hate crime to happen for this body to publicly stand behind this and I would feel comfortable calling to question.

Colin: Reserve my right to make a motion, I would just like to say that things that have been said by victims that are in the space, I think there are ways to say this without pointing out the actual incident. With that I motion to strike the 3 whereas clauses regarding the incident.

Roshni: Motion to extend time 5 minutes.

Chandler: Second.

Colin: And replace those with “Whereas, in the past and near present UCSC students has experienced violent hate crimes in the protected classifications as outlined by the California Hate Crime Statutes; and”.

Andrew: Second.

Art: Objection. I don’t think that that generic statement is enough to express what this campus has experienced. This provides context to the resolution. That generic statement will only be detrimental to students on this campus.

Israel: Call to question.

Seamus: Second.

Colin: Objection.

Vote to vote:

In favor: 18 | Opposed: 2 | Abstentions: 7, call to question passes.

Vote to amend to strike those 3 whereas clauses:

In favor: 20 | Opposed: 3 | Abstentions: 4, amendment passes.

Israel: Motion to extend time five minutes.
Chandler: Second.

Israel: Call to question.

Chandler: Second.

Winnie: Objection.

Vote to vote:

In favor: 21 | Opposed: 4 | Abstentions: 2, call to question passes.

Vote to adopt resolution as is:

Colin: Roll call vote.

Chandler: Second.

Art Motta (MEChA) Yes, Haedyn Christie (QSU) Yes, Alternate Imari (Cowell) Yes, Seamus Howard (Cowell) Yes, Colin Hortman (Stevenson) Yes, Kyra Brandt (Stevenson) Yes, Andrew Paolini (Crown) Yes, Aykezar Adil (Crown) Yes, Chandler Moeller (Merrill) Yes, Kartik Ashok (Merrill) Yes, Alexandra Kasper (Merrill) Yes, Serene Jneid (Porter) Yes, Winnie Sidhu (Kresge) Yes, Alternate Shannon (Kresge) No, Jackie Roger (Kresge) Yes, Suini Torres (Oakes) Yes, Tamra Owens (Oakes) Yes, Roshni Advani (Eight) Yes, Eric Yuan (Eight) Yes, Sam Shaw (Nine) Yes, Lance McNeil (Nine) Yes, Shubhankar Sharan (Nine) Yes, Ramneet Bajwa (Ten) Yes, Daniel Iglesias (Ten) Yes, Vanessa Sadsad (Ten) Yes, Brad Mleynek (OD) Yes, Max Hufft (CoAA) Abstain, Israel Molina (CoD) Yes.

In favor: 26 | Opposed: 1 | Abstentions: 1, resolution is adopted.

**Campus Clean up Funding Presentation:**

Brad: Campus clean-up day will be happening Apr 25 2015. This will be happening during Earth Week, leading into Alumni weekend. This will be an opportunity for students to show what they are doing on campus by participating in something other than just a normal program and event, but an service tour on campus and with that, I am going to go through the budget.

Suini: For food we will be relying on Costco, we will be getting multiple snacks there for 500 people. We are also having Costco pizza for lunch. We are doing something not too heavy and we are also planning on have cleaning starter kits with drawstrings bags which will tell who is doing this. For outreach we are going to use the drawstring bags, like 500 bags for everyone. We are also looking into getting a giant banner on campus, either at the bridge near FSH or by the barn. We also want to have small posters for bulletin boards and bus stops. For outreach we are looking at spending $1000 for bags and for the posters and banners, for snack, we are spending $1500.
Alexandra: Our budget for mapping facilitation was $250 and $150 of that will be printing out maps for the location of clean up but we want to have each of the ten colleges to be cleaned up and we want to have waste zones as well. The other $100 will provide snacks for facilitators for their trainings, 4 facilitators for each college. So just that $250.

Brad: For entertainment and activities, we are planning on ending the event on OPERS east field, and as we end that event, there will be a bonfire with alumni on the volleyball courts. We are reaching out to KZSC and reserving the rock wall from OPERS and the university relations office will be providing reusable water bottles. There might be enough for each student that participates. We will provide bingo cards in the drawstring bags for students to check off the different types of trash that they are picking up. And they will be entered into a raffle. We want to make sure that this is well publicized too. We will also have speakers talking about the different issues of littering on campus and the improper disposal of cigarette butts. And possibly doing something of a do your own design on the drawstring bag that you have. So we would have a design station. The budget for that is $1000. For supplies we have $1000 as well. Save our shores is helping supply a lot of trashbags, pickers, gloves and buckets. Other supplies are sunscreen, hand sanitizers for the group leaders and first aid kits. We are asking for $2500. I don’t want to put this on anyone, but my suggestion is $1000 from SUA programming and $1500 from general fund. And we have $1500 from the Zero Waste Team and $1000 from my office.

**Cycling club sponsorship:**

Alexandra: **Motion to fund 1000 from sponsorship.**

Chandler: **Second.**

Kaysi: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

**Adjournment**