Assembly Members Present: Jose Cadenas (Cowell), Yang Kong (Cowell), Seamus Howard (Cowell), Lila Blackney (Stevenson), Colin Hortman (Stevenson), Aykezar Adil (Crown), August Valera (Crown), Michael Markson (Crown), Alexandra Kasper (Merrill), Chandler Moeller (Merrill), Kartik Ashok (Merrill), Dylan Quitiquit Hoffman (Porter), Adham Taman (Porter), Serene Jneid (Porter), Juan Calzada (Kresge), Gul Taneri (Kresge), Winnie Sidhu (Kresge), Keante Marshall (Oakes), Suini Torres (Oakes), Roshni Advani (Eight), Simba Khadder (Eight), Geovanna Moreno (Eight), Shub Sharan (Nine), Alternate Danelle (Nine), Lance McNeil (Nine), Sergio Velazquez (Ten), Ramneet Bajwa (Ten), Haedyn Christie (QSU), Art Motta (MEChA), Brad Mleynek (OD), Max Hufft (CoAA), Israel Molina (CoD), Louise Cabansay (EVC), Kaysi Wheeler (IVC), Justin Lardinois (Chair).

Assembly Members Absent: Ricardo Sainz (Stevenson), John Alcala (Oakes), Sam Shaw (Nine), Niles Sterner (Ten).

Approval of the Agenda:

Dylan: Motion to add 10 minutes after new business to discuss a resolution of no confidence in the UC regents.

Roshni: Second.

Justin: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Lila: Motion to add a presentation of a resolution to remove Noora Almajid after no confidence resolution for ten minutes.

Jose: Second.

Lance: Objection. The resolution seems biased and when I was reviewing SFAC’s bylaws some of the things that were stated in the resolution were actually correct bylaws.

Lila: I never said anything about bylaws, it’s in the membership handbook that I referred to and the bylaws were amended by vote.

Vote to this add this Resolution to the agenda:

In favor: 23 | Opposed: 3 | Abstentions: 4, motion passes, we will put this on the agenda.

Colin: Motion to approve agenda.

Roshni: Second.

Justin: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.
**Reading of the Previous Meeting’s Minutes**

Colin: **Motion to approve minutes.**

Adham: **Second.**

Justin: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

**Announcements:**

*Officer Report backs:*

Justin: I’ve been working on a couple things. First off, next quarter, I’m working on outreaching between SUA and students. In my office, I want to start making weekly address videos to ensure a constant communication and open forums like town hall meetings so that students can voice their concerns/opinions. I’ve started to work on the bylaws, there have been many approved that haven’t been written in. Early next quarter, I’m planning to give a presentation about the elections process. The at large SFAC rep sent me a reportback and I will read it out to the assembly.

[Justin reads SFAC update]

Shub: Is there any progress on the letters?

Max: My question is about this position, what is the difference between this position versus the ex-officio position that we had for SUA?

Justin: I don’t know but I can forward that to the committee.

Lila: Was SCOC consulted with this report?

Kaysi: We were notified after the fact and they still didn’t consider SCOC as involved as they should be but we appoint all the reps for these committees. I do have a check in with Lucy on Tuesday and we will be talking about SFAC and personally I’ve talked to a few people and it sounds like this position was just made for Noora to do something just so she can stay on the committee. As far as I’m concerned, there is no need for this since the chair can come back to the space. In addition, in SCOC we had all the reps on campus meet and we are making it very strict to get paid this year. This year we might be broke, because we have a limited budget and we want to pay everybody what’s rightfully theirs but we might run out before the end of the year, I’ve been talking to Lucy about that. I’m finishing interviews this week and I didn’t see the need to have staff right away because there will be events in winter and spring quarter that I want them to be paid for properly so I don’t have to cut them loose if I can’t pay for them anymore.
There’s a lot going on, we have our last meeting and we will be talking about SFAC and making a last few appointments and starting our outreach to sister colleges.

Vanessa: When do you think the committee will be working on the updated version of the application?

Kaysi: We did update it, and we switched to survey monkey and we changed a few things and now it is clear that the application process is the only thing we can go off of.

Shub: Why is the budget so limited?

Kaysi: Last year the budget was around 80k, this year our budget is 28k due to the carry forward last year, which made up the majority of that budget. We are trying to see where we can cut from so we can pay people.

Louise: Currently I’m doing logistical planning for the UCSA board meeting, it may be in January at the MPR. On the agenda for that is one of the more contentious topics, the resolution supporting the divestment something more, please attend that meeting, I will be extending my office hours for this Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 1-3 PM. Currently, I’m working with interns at SAFE to help them host a violence prevention convention, they need volunteers. Also working with them to create a student leadership training to prevent violence and sexual assault that will be around February. Lobby corps will be going over city council membership and next quarter we will be starting our lobby visits for state local and national. This year I’m trying to do a free tax preparation site and I’m working with VITA to help students get their taxes filed at each sister college. That will be around February. Another thing is general outreach and getting people involved in different outreach and programs. Always check officer reports on the SUA website.

Andrew: When are your office hours this quarter?

Louise: They’re on the website.

Israel: Recently CARE council met and we allocated funds to the resource center so that they can put on programs for next quarter and if you want a detailed account, there are 8 programs we allocated funding to, and also MLK convocation is coming up on final stages, Jan 28-, Angela Davis will be the keynote speaker. Next quarter, currently I’m finishing research on what I can find on the first year experience program, which is something that has been proposed by the chancellor to improve campus climate, so I will be reaching out to Jay Paja who has been heading this and figuring out how we can implement this on campus and then I’m going to working with Shiri Marlow from the office of diversity next quarter, because we have principles of community and no one really knows what they are. We will be reaching out to college
governments. Also, next quarter, I’m going to hit the ground running to work with Cantu Queer Center to help with a queer visibility campaign to help break down that stereotype.

Max: I’ve been working with academic senate analysts of getting surveys from teachers, and we are talking about the C- grade and we will be talking to lecturers and see how that would affect everyone’s GPA and how that would be for the students. I’ve hired all my staff, this Saturday we will be giving out test materials in front of S&E from 10-2 and we will be soliciting the pause for paws program. Next quarter we will be ramping up for the class survey and I’d like to see a lot of volunteers and a large turnout from student responders and teachers. My office is talking about how we can go about repairing classroom seats as well.

Adham: **Motion to extend by 5 minutes.**

Juan: **Second.**

Justin: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes**

Brad: Just a quick announcement for pause for paws, that’s where dogs are brought to McHenry library to the lawn and it’s a time for students to take a break and just relax. I’ve had quite a bit happen and we have started our college government tours and we sent out emails to all the chairs and we will send out another round of emails next quarter. We want to see how chairs can work with SUA in terms of outreach and programs. We met Saturday for the constitution, and I can hold another meeting regarding that and the only person that showed up was myself and one of my interns and we went through the entire document and those changes won’t be presented until next quarter. With the help of Suini we have been going through all the old files of SUA even back to 1985 we found some cool stuff and useless stuff, it’s a lot of interesting material and we dug up some material for the officers and the assembly. We also have recordings of previous meetings and we are trying to digitalize all of that once we find everything. In addition, I really want to work on educating students and empowering students. A great way to do that is through workshops and I want to hold these for anyone who wants to come and you can make a workshop on anything. Even basic workshops are good, just contact me and I’ll help you reserve the space and help you with snacks. The campus cleanup day, we’re narrowing down on a specific date and my goal for it was alumni weekend, but it could be after that week on a Sunday. We’re also doing more outreach to different organizations that would be willing to volunteer and help collaborate. If you’re an appointed or elected rep or an officer, something, we want to make the SUA more visible particularly in the quarry plaza and this would be a great time for reps to talk about issues in SUA about different things that are happening on campus.

Adham: Will those flyers be posted on the website?

Brad: Yes, as soon as we get them to everyone, and also there has been a funding request from the student action line item.
Public and Assembly:

Dylan: Yesterday there was a rally in the quarry plaza after the class walk out and we had a ton of students come out and participate and we had a range of speakers come up and talk about the budget and SUA actions. There were a lot of students and students shut down operations at Kerr Hall for the day, these types of disruptive actions can be expected if the regents and the UC president continue to ignore the students’ demands. If you want anymore information about this, please get in contact with me. For that funding request, we are asking for crafting supplies to make posters and such so that these movements can be seen more on campus.

Shub: I have two recommendations, first is to add public comment to the agenda, and second, I think that I’ve been noticing a problem pop up, every time we go into a new amendment or motion, we have a master stack list, I think we should have a new stack list for each amendment because those who are still on the stack will try to talk about things that have already passed.

New Business:

Programming Evaluation Form:

Justin: Section F of our bylaws provides the bylaws for how we fund students, one of the bylaws is that student orgs submit a program evaluation form, and one problem was that we don’t have a programming evaluation form, thank you Francesca. She has created three possible versions of the program evaluation form.

Francesca: I wrote down all the recommendations that SUA had on the form and I also put what else I thought would be necessary. I thought these questions would maintain the accountability and being responsible for student funds. There is use of SUA funding, like what was it intended for, and I also put a section for stipulations, as well as copies of any receipts to show how you spent the funds. The first one is purely SUA recommendations. The second one is straight out of section F and I added the sponsors and co-sponsors section. Some of these guidelines also came from sofa. This has a critical evaluation section, what about the event did or didn’t work. And this one has a submission date and a received date. It just maintains that someone got it. The last one is a mash of the two, the SUA recommendations and the provisions from section F. This one also has a section F checklist, that SUA logo was printed on advertising and that no drugs or alcohol were available on this event. We should have this back in three weeks.

Justin: we do need to have some form of the program evaluation form. I’d like to ask the assembly to choose one of these or ask it to be modified and sent back to the committee.

Dylan: Could we look at the second one again?

Shub: Personally, I like the third form, but whatever form we do adopt to make sure we have a section that verifies from an SUA member that was there to verify that everything went according to plan.
Seamus: First, I’d like to commend you on your work, it looks very good, but secondly I was wondering if it would be possible for the org to have a breakdown of the funding and how they used it?

Francesca: That’s a good idea, I thought about that and I figured that they have to go to SOFA for those things, but we will have a record before they are funded. There is a section that says to please attach a copy of any purchase orders and receipts.

Justin: Regardless of the content of the form, the treasurer and I will still remind them of what needs to happen.

Lila: Reserve my right to make a motion, I’m hesitant to put a section there for a member that was there, just because there are events that we most likely can not make most events especially if there, **motion to adopt the third form.**

Dylan: **Second.**

Alexandra: **Objection.**

Lila: **Rescind my motion.**

Vanessa: Is there a deadline for the evaluations to be submitted back?

Justin: Our bylaws require that this form be submitted three weeks after the org has their event. I would ask Francesca to not enforce that unless we have our form first.

Brad: Reserve my right to make a motion, I am also in favor of the third form, but there is one thing that I’m troubled with. The section F checklist where it talks about the logo, I’ve had a talk about this today and one of the things that I brought up is that SUA has had a rep of attempting to rubber stamp on events where their rubber stamp shouldn’t really be on. There’s no reason for our name to be on something that we are not hosting, but that we are just funding. This has been a problem with sua in the past where we try to take credit for something that we don’t deserve credit for. I would like to see this changed or removed. **I motion to adopt the third form, with the stipulation that we remove the first section of the checklist of section F.**

Seamus: **Second.**

Shub: **Objection.** I would like to make another amendment, and I would like that to be a 2/3rds vote so if we could redo that as an amendment?

Brad: **Rescind my motion.**

Roshni: If an org doesn’t turn it in at all, even after the three week deadline, is there going to be a consequence or a way for that to come up in the following school year when they are asking for funding again?
Justin: It is my plan to keep a book so that when it comes to future funding requests, the assembly can have that institutional memory.

Shub: Reserve my right to make a motion I have two things I’d like to change, move to strike that part from the third form about the SUA logo and to add another section for the form where there’s room for approval of the form and comments from the member of the assembly, the officer, alternates, or any officer’s intern. However if there is a conference that we can’t send people to, but unless its’ unfeasible, that part should be filled out.

Dylan: Second.

Colin: Objection. It’s two different questions, I think it should be divided.

Roshni: I agree with that, but how would that be enforced? How can we select a person to go, and would there be consequences for that person if they did not attend?

Shub: Thank you for your concerns Roshni, I would say that there’s not really any penalty, but if it’s something that’s mandated and we are all here voluntarily. Keeping this as a requirement would be good, somebody should be able to go.

Israel: Move to divide the question, into an amendment to strike the first part of the section F checklist on the third from, and a second motion to amend this form to require an SUA member to attend the event.

Roshni: Second.

Justin: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes, question is divided.

Shub: Motion to extend by 10 minutes.

Seamus: Second.

Kaysi: I want a clarification on what sponsors mean, because I know that in whatever sponsors means you’re funding the event and if you’re endorsing the event you’re just supporting it.

Justin: Sponsorship means providing funds, and there may be some implicit support for the event. Section F of the bylaws tells us how to proceed with funding organizations. What this particular bylaw says is that then an org is funded by SOFA, they have to keep the SUA logo, but if SUA is just providing support, then this doesn’t apply.

Brad: I would like to amend the bylaw for next quarter so that we can talk about that at the next meeting.

Louise: I just wanted to state that if the logo is displayed, it is usually on a t-shirt, and also orgs do that anyway especially if it is an org that is asking from a lot of sources.

Justin: Out of the current bylaws that is actually mandated.
August: If a bylaw is changed in the future, would the form also be changed to reflect those changes?

Justin: Yes the assembly would have to amend that.

Israel: Wouldn’t that part just not exist since it won’t be required? In this scenario, if there is a change to the form, wouldn’t it have to be taken down?

August: It’s my opinion that the form should reflect the SUA bylaws at this time since that is what they say.

Dylan: This form essentially is our record of our event that will go to the next SOFA committee, when we strike that, it’s basically saying that we know that this is important but whatever, and I suggest to take it off now so that there is no discrepancy later.

Colin: Is there a way for us to approve the entire form and leave space for a section F checklist to be updated quarterly by either the treasurer or you, so that we don’t have to sit here and approve this and have someone else’s duty be this so we don’t have to approve this as an assembly.

Israel: Call to question.

Michael: Second.

Justin: Are there any objections? Seeing none, we will go into a vote.

In favor: 23 | Opposed: 4 | Abstentions: 5 motion passes we will now strike the first part from the section F checklist.

Seamus: Motion to extend time by 10 minutes.

Lance: Second.

Justin: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes. The motion at hand is to add a new section at the end of the form for either a member of the assembly, alternate or officer or officer intern to have an approval of the form

Shub: Call to question.

Lila: Second.

Michael: Objection.

Vote to vote (needs 2/3rds):

In favor: 18 | Opposed: 14 Abstentions: 0, call to question fails.

Vanessa: I have a suggestion for the wording, to just have SUA affiliate.
Jose: I am personally against this, because of the logistics of this, and some of these events you have to pay for the events, and why should I have to pay for an event that I have to evaluate?

Michael: I feel like adding this stipulation to the form would not be necessary, and if that needs to be done, I would prefer to see this done as a separate form.

Lance: I just want to say that I’m for this, and that we can have that org let a member get in for free so that they can have an evaluation of that event. This would be good for future funding requests to see if there are any discrepancies between the program evaluation form and the SUA affiliate’s report.

Simba: That was also my concern is that some events are paid with the idea of making that person go even after funding the RSO, I mean I guess we could ask nicely. I wouldn’t mind having it on there and when it’s relevant to have the chair emailing them saying that it is relevant.

August: I’m a little uncomfortable having this put on the form seeing it is not in our bylaws, and if anyone would be comfortable changing a bylaw to reflect that I would be more comfortable with that. I would be more comfortable having a suggestion that an org has an SUA affiliate at that event. It will be put more repute on the form on the RSO and they have the choice of offering a discount, but not to mandate them.

Vanessa: I think the point of this motion is that it’s voluntary and that we need to have the option of having an SUA affiliate to evaluate, and this is just a form of transparency, and this is just a point of service, on a voluntary basis.

August: How is the motion as it stands?

Shub: I would just like there to be a section for an SUA affiliate, but there is nothing on the form saying that it has to be completed. This wasn’t supposed to be a totalitarian thing, I just want our presence at the event to make relationships at the event, and we can’t really mandate that our members go.

Dylan: I see this amendment having three really positive effects, one is to increase transparency, if there is an expectation that an SUA member will show up, and two, I think it will improve the quality of funding requests because we will have an SUA member’s perspective of the event, and three, it will improve ties with the community and it will improve SUA’s presence in general.

Brad: We should be there for the students and we should be making connections with them. It goes back to what I was saying about outreach and to be accessible to students and to show that we care about them and to support SUA’s image. It is something that we need to work on.

Lance: **Motion to extend time by 5 minutes.**

Seamus: **Second.**
Justin: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Lila: Another thing, I know this isn’t something that we can’t enforce, but maybe if we could have an email for an SUA member and the form should just say if an SUA rep was there. It also gives us more of an incentive to interact with that organization. Another thing, membership of SUA, a lot of times faces come and go, and the faces that originally funded things aren’t going to be here. That might be mildly problematic.

Shub: Did you mean that they submit their form and that whoever went has to send a separate email?

Lila: Yeah.

Michael: Call to question.

Roshni: Second.

Suini: Objection.

Vote to vote (2/3rds):
In Favor: 24 | Opposed: 9 | Abstentions: 0, call to question passes.

Vote on main motion:
In Favor: 17 | Opposed: 10 | Abstentions: 5, motion passes, the form is amended, but we haven’t formally adopted it.

Brad: Motion to extend time by 2 minutes.

Shub: Second.

Dylan: Motion to approve this form.

Shub: Second.

Colin: Objection. I wanted to add another section that would allow someone to update the form when necessary.

Brad: One of the positions here has very specific roles here, such as the historians. Basically their job is to go to SUA sponsored events and to be there and to record. I just wanted to bring that up.

Colin: Reserve my right to make a motion, do you know who would keep the Section F section?

Justin: Probably the chair or the treasurer.

Colin: Motion to keep the section F checklist but have it so that the chair or treasurer keeps it updated to the current Section F bylaw.
Dylan: Second.

Colin: **Motion to extend 5 minutes.**

Juan: Second.

Art: What motion are we at right now?

Justin: There is a motion to adopt and to amend for the section F checklist to be fluid.

Vanessa: I don’t think there needs to be an amendment to it if and when there is a change in future by-laws, we still have to abide by those bylaws, regardless of what the form says.

Justin: Organizations are still governed by the stipulations of the bylaw.

Israel: **Call to question.**

Michael: Second.

Vote to approve this form.

In favor: 30 | Opposed: 0 | Abstentions: 2, **form is approved.**

**Resolutions:**

*No Confidence Resolution:*

Justin: The two resolutions that are being discussed today will not be discussed until next year’s first meeting.

Dylan: Basically, this resolution is being passed around every UC in the UC system around the undergraduate student body and this entire language is the same as it was presented as Cal, updated to reflect UCSC characteristics. It is suggesting that due to recent acts with tuition increases, and other plans by the UC regents, that we do not hold confidence in them as representing us and we resolve to take action against that. Passing this is a showing of UC solidarity. Within your clarifying questions, I would love to hear suggestions of changes so we can work together and make this representative of our university.

August: Do you have any information whether any other UCs have passed this?

Dylan: Three have passed it, I know Davis is currently considering it.

Shub: I fully support this, for the whereas, some are in caps, and some are not.

Dylan: Noted and I hope I would be able to make these small, almost nit-picky changes before we discuss this again.
Kartik: I heard from someone that there was a compromise to instead of increasing tuition for in-state, to instead increase tuition of 17% for out of state students.

Israel: I was reviewing Berkeley’s resolution, but I was wondering why we are voting against the student regent-designate.

Art: Direct response, there are members of the regents who voted against this tuition increases, but this is a collective no confidence to the regents.

Louise: I want to clarify, I think that clause is trying to say that there are a lot of student opinions on this, particularly UCSA wanted to have an investigation. I personally think that that shouldn’t be part of the resolution.

Colin: What does it mean to have no confidence in the regents, and how does that affect us as a body?

Dylan: My friend Kevin up at UC Berkeley said that a declaration of no confidence does not involve the severing of diplomatic ties, it’s basically saying that we don’t trust that you have our best interests at heart.

Seamus: Is your intention to condemn the student regent as well? There has been information that the student regent who has voted consistently for the students.

Dylan: The overall intention here is to say that they as a body are not representative of us.

Adham: The student regent designate doesn’t have a vote, but it is in the resolution of who voted for the tuition hikes.

Removal of “At Large” SFAC Member Resolution

Lila: For those of you who don’t know, the SFAC helps EVC Galloway decide where to fund and from where. In spring, SCOC decided not to reappoint Noora Almajid for not fulfilling her constitutional duty, and she did not attend several meetings that she was required. SFAC decided to surpass SCOC to appoint Noora as an at large representative, where she would report back to SUA weekly, we have only gotten one reportback. This was also a violation of Robert’s Rules because suspension of a bylaw is only allowed to last one meeting. We don’t need this position and it is a misuse of student fees. Why are we giving this position to a person who is not fulfilling her duties? Her appointment was a way to let SFAC do what they want around SCOC. This resolution calls for Noora to step down and it calls for the chair to write a letter to SFAC urging for them to remove the sport of an at large rep, and for the chair to send one of his interns or a voting member of SUA to SFAC meetings as the ex-officio SUA member.

Vanessa: Could we get a statement from the committee, and is this for the removal of the position or just that member, and is there a possibility for there to be someone to be re-appointed? How was this position originally appointed?
Lila: This was appointed by SFAC themselves, and they created the position right there. There was no screening process, no application, and no committee decision, since the SCOC was against this.

Kaysi: From what I’ve been told and what I’ve been informed about this issues, I’ve heard that the reason for creating this position was to keep Noora. SCOC was not consulted about this appointment until after the fact. We did make the recommendation to not re-appoint Noora as a rep, but they took it upon themselves to create another position.

Vanessa: If she is getting paid, where is that money coming from if that position was just created?

Justin: From most committees, just from the SCOC’s fund, and the money comes from the dean of students.

Unclear who said this: **Motion to extend time by five minutes.**

-No Second-

Justin: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.** At the time of this appointment, Max and Alexandra could clarify.

Louise: My question is if we have the authority to even do anything?

Justin: SCOC does have authority over the undergraduates, and SUA has authority over SCOC.

Louise: As we have rules for recalling reps, is there a bylaw in that for SCOC?

Lila: I looked this up a bit before the meeting, it says that it can recall any appointed SCOC rep through a ¾ of SUA vote. I feel like we should have authority over it in some ways because she was supposed to report back to us.

Kaysi: I will be meeting with Lucy on Thursday to untangle all the policies and figure it out.

Max: So SFAC is a committee that is part of every UC and they look over the student fees. It is pretty big, it funds a lot of services on campus. Beyond that, the Dean of Students doesn’t pay the reps, the student fees do. SFAC pays itself through student fees, and they just have very strongly respected opinions over the executive vice chair. They spend a lot of their year allocating a lot of money. Last year in spring, the College Ten rep at the time was adamant about not re-appointing Noora since she never got back to her college. It was kind of awkward but at the time the position had not been created. In my opinion SFAC should not be hiring their own reps.

Kaysi: **Motion to extend time by 5 minutes.**

Lila: **Second.**
Seamus: **Objection.**

Vote to extend time:

In favor: 7 opposed: 21 abstentions: 1, **motion fails,** we will vote on this next meeting.

**CALPIRG Water Watch Campaign:**
Justin: We are now discussing the resolution in support of the water watch campaign of the California student public interest research.

Shub: **Motion to adopt the resolution.**

Adham: **Second.**

Lila: **Objection.** Stevenson didn’t like it and we thought there was a better way to do this.

Serene: I had one person state that there was a lot of talk about students cutting back on water, and they would like to ask admin to also cut down.

Andrew: They talked about the campus sustainability office, they have contacted them and they will be working with them.

Seamus: I reserve my right to make a motion, I feel that most of the discussion is for the resolution, **motion to call to question.**

Dylan: **Second.**

Andrew: People were talking about how they wanted to change the resolution, so there is an email you can send amendments to.

Serene: **Objection.**

Vote to vote:

In favor: 27 | Opposed: 3 Abstentions 0, **call to question passes.**

Vote to adopt resolution:

In Favor 27 | Opposed: 1 | Abstentions: 3, **resolution is adopted.**

**USSA Fund the Future Campaign:**

Justin: This is the USSA Fund the Future Campaign.

Israel: **Motion to amend this resolution in the seventh whereas clause to change Hispanics to latino.**
Lila: **Second.**

Justin: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **resolution is so amended.**

Michael: The last “therefore let it be resolved that SUA enact a work force consisting of at least one rep”, is that a rep from the space or is that a member from each college?

Art: It is a recommendation that people from each college be involved in all campaigns that we have, it doesn’t necessarily have to be a student from the college government. The Pell grants are under attack, and he is planning to cut funding by 300 million. That will affect thousands of students across the nation. About 45% of our undergraduates rely on the Pell grant. This resolution would make us keep pushing for this.

Israel: I would like more clarification about the working task force, if Lobby Corps already takes up initiative of the #FundtheFuture campaign.

Louise: We have been trying to put more programs, and its main purpose is to lobby off campus. The purpose of creating a working task force to oversee programs.

Jose: **Call to question.**

Roshni: **Second.**

Brad: **Objection** from brad

Vote to vote:  
In favor: 21 | Opposed: 9 | Abstentions: 2, **call to question passes.**

Vote on adopting resolution:  
In favor: 28 | Opposed: 1 | Abstentions: 2, **resolution is adopted.**

**Closing Remarks from Presiding Officer:**

Justin: This is our last meeting of the quarter and I’d like to thank everyone for all the service this quarter and I will be sending a lot to everyone over the break. Meeting adjourned. 10:25PM