SUA 2/9/2016

Assembly Members Present: Imari Reynolds (Cowell), Bryna Haugen (Cowell), Tyler Papp (Cowell), Daniel Bernstein (Stevenson), Jeffrey Stoll (Stevenson), Gabriella Cory (Stevenson), August Valera (Crown), Tias Webster (Crown), Jane Loughboro (Crown), Morgan Smith (Merrill), Bianca (B) Moncada-Martin (Merrill), Roxanna Gutierrez (Porter), Amanda Kazden (Porter), Eli Guzman- Martin (Porter), Alternate Maxine Jimenez (Kresge), Liza Mednikov (Kresge), Hana King (Kresge), Tamra Owens (Oakes), Suini Torres (Oakes), Alternate Ray Decadiz (Oakes), Simba Khadder (Eight), Alternate Sarah Cligher (Eight), Alternate Alexandra Arriaga Covarrubias (Eight), Rohit Dhar (Nine), Katherine Le (Nine), Dante Harootunian (Nine), Danny Milla (Ten), Alternate Daniel Iglesias (Ten), Alternate Jessica Xu (Ten), Alternate Brandon (APISA), Vanessa Sadsad (QSU), Erica Green (SANAI), Art Motta (Organizing Director), Seamus Howard (Vice President of Academic Affairs), Sauli Colio (Vice President of Diversity and Inclusion), Guillermo Rogel (Vice President of External Affairs), Jabari Brown (Vice President of Internal Affairs), Julie Foster (President).

Assembly Members Absent: Lara Loesel (Merrill), Tama Semo (Ten), Ramneet Bajwa (Ten), Jackie Roger (Kresge), Theresa Atanoa (APISA), Kiana Coleman (Oakes), Basheera Ali-El (A/BSA), Gilbert Paredes (MEChA).

Approval of the Agenda:
Guillermo: Motion to add 10 minutes after UC Santa Cruz enrollment for legislative update.

Danny: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Daniel: Motion to add 10 minutes to deliberation of CALPIRG resolution.

B: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Tamra: Motion to approve.

Katherine: Second.

Imari: Objection. Motion to add 10 minutes after the sponsorship request from OPERS for an evening with Jennicet.

Daniel: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.
Tamra: **Motion to approve.**

Katherine: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

**Approval of the Minutes:**

Daniel: **Motion to approve the minutes.**

Rohit: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

**Announcements and Public Comment:**

Guillermo: (1) The student lobby conference in April is from USSA where we dedicate an entire weekend to learn about lobbying and how legislation affects the UC. There is a steering committee that organizes this conference and if you’re interested, let me know. On Thursday, UCSA’s organizer is going to be here giving an engagement training, which is to help you all learn how to outreach and connect with student on different issues. The training lasts about 45 minutes to an hour. I think it’s really beneficial; my interns went through it as well.

Julie: SOFA is tomorrow in the SUA office at 6 PM and next Thursday is the stakeholder meeting for the EVC search committee. That’s very important to get your opinion there and the EVC position is very important.

Guillermo: Tomorrow the UCSA is going to be here debriefing on what campaigns they are doing and also please apply to the USSA conference as well. It is definitely worth it. Thursday at the SUA office is going to be a viewing of the ivory tower and I encourage you all to come.

Tamra: Friday February 19th in the C9 MPR at 7 PM there is going to be a disoriented comedy show and they are going to take communities of both Asian and Black race and they are going to put on a comedy show and this is being put on by the three ethnic resource centers. You all can get your tickets at the resource centers.

Suini: On Feb 26th Friday from 4-7 PM KZSC and Oakes and Eight are working on a music festival which will be on the College Eight Upper Lawn and it is open free and you all should come out.

Ray: In the last two weeks, the vagina monologues will be held at the Stevenson event center and all donations will go towards fighting violence against women. I recommend you all go it is fantastic.

Art: This Friday is the MLK convocation with keynote speakers Alicia Garza who was one of the founders of the hashtag #BlackLivesMatter. This Friday, 7 PM at the Santa Cruz Civic Center.
Presentations:

Presentation on Opposing UC Santa Cruz’s Enrollment Plan 2016-2017

Seamus & Guillermo:

So this is to start discussion on what is happening next year. We are having more students next 600-800 students. Over the next three years we have to admit 10k students. Tuition was frozen and what came out of it was that students had to be accepted. However, the decision wasn’t benefitting the students who are already enrolled. The biggest risk is housing and academic space.

The enrollment plan is 10,000 over the next three years. On top of the 10,000 students they also want to enroll 600 more grad students to help compensate for the new students. Along with the enrollment plan, the housing plan was to install 14,000 beds. This doesn’t mean that they will build a new dorm or anything, they will most likely be squeezing students into triples and remove doubles. This is happening because as a UC we are acknowledging that there are more eligible students because more students are ready for higher education. This is a way to mend relations with Sac.

Okay so we already have a big housing problem at UCSC. There aren’t currently enough beds for students who are enrolling next year. In Santa Cruz, especially for students and rent, there is a high rate of students’ homelessness. The city of Santa Cruz is the 5th most expensive metropolitan place to live in the US. A solution is building more housing. But is it really a solution? We can’t really build right now. On campus housing is unaffordable. Basically to build a building we will incur debt. Our debt for building housing and classrooms are combined. It doesn’t leave space for building academic buildings.

What are the impacts on academics? We have seen a 16% increase in enrollment but not increase in classroom space. The last time a lecture hall was built was humanities in 2007. Since then we have not seen any classroom space. There also hasn’t been an increase in budget for building academic space. Right now people are thinking of taking private donations to renovate buildings or create buildings. In addition, it is hard to get donors who would be down for building housing. We already have a proposed deficit of 2 million at UCSC.

“The peak utilization of our 6 largest classrooms, accounting for one third of classroom seats was 140% in fall 2014, 25% higher than 2007.” Richard Hughey.

Tamra: **Motion to add 10 minutes.**

Jeff: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**
Richard Hughey with Allison Galloway are making proposals of helping classroom time, which is reduction of class meeting time to UC standard, reduction of pasting time.

When looking at class times, class times will be reduced. The UC minimum is 165 instructional minutes. On MWF we are looking at cutting 5 minutes and for TTh by 10 minutes. In addition, we will add extra time blocks starting at 7:15 and ending at 10:35.

We have combined the two plans right now, with some days starting later and some days starting earlier. Most early classes would be prioritized for freshmen. In addition, there is talk of reducing the time for finals and also maybe adding another day of examination and the possibility of an opt-in exam scheduling.

What does this affect?

This affects the quality of education. These are inconvenient times for students to function. This has serious implications for working students and their performance at work may be hurt. Commuting students will be impacted as well. A lot of UCSC students use the bus and the bus system isn’t going to accommodate the students. Some buses start early or end earlier. Also dining will have to open earlier and close later which affects employees and student workers. Also this will affects CAPS and OPERS. In addition, student activities will have their times shifted due to the late classes as well as the times for administrative activities.

Please attend Academic senate this Friday at 2 PM in the Stevenson event center. Speak up.

[Reads Resolution Opposing UC Santa Cruz’s Enrollment Plan 2016-2017]

This resolution has already been passed by the Student Academic Senate. Technically we could actually pass it today and that would be in our best interests to pass this today.

Daniel: **Motion to add 10 minutes.**

Unknown: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Daniel: When we demand his immediate resignation, I’m concerned that whoever is working on this resolution isn’t going to see immediate results. I’m not comfortable with this resolution as it stands.

Seamus: I think regarding the resignation of Blumenthal is integral because it is a call to action. For shutting down campus, I agree with it because it will be affecting 100% of the students on this campus.
Guillermo: I know you’re talking about the feasibility; it is a commitment to the space. All of us have our own ways of going about this change in school policy. I know shutting down campus evokes different sentiments.

Vanessa: Concern bout what the assembly does every time that the body passes something is important. It is important that we acknowledge what these things mean and we need to mobilize in reaction to the resolution. In order to push for this, we need to use direct action to truly make the statement. That is part of the assembly and thinking forward.

Simba: Reserve my right to make a motion, quick question, the academic senate rep for c8 mentioned another program where a telecast would be available in smaller classrooms, led by TAs. Me personally, as students we are the ones who are forced to comply with admin rather than the other way around. I’m tired of being pushed around by admin. **Motion to pass this resolution.**

Eli: **Second.**

Daniel: **Objection.** I understand that the Academic Senate has talked about this but some other colleges haven’t talked about this.

Simba: College Eight Senate has already talked about this and I feel like this isn’t really contentious and unanimously we condemn everything they are trying to do.

Guillermo: This isn’t just a problem that came up this year, this has started every since the state tried to divest funds. This is affecting people now. If Julie can stand up there at SAS and say that we don’t want Blumenthal anymore.

Tamra: I don’t know any students who would be down for classes when the dining hall isn’t open. How are students who live off campus going to get to classes? Also, according to bylaws we are allowed to pass this today.

Bryna: There are a lot of things I see and agree with huge problems but I’m also finding myself liking certain aspects of it, like shortening the final time from 3 to 2 hours. With this resolution I just have a question, that by passing this resolution, what statement are we making?

Guillermo: The enrollment plan is the enrollment plan. We are trying to make academic space and more class slots. But if we do want to make that fight, does that mean that we have to take in 600 students and that is something, but we should haven’t to accept these terms to get what we want.

Ray (Oakes): **Motion to add 25 minutes.**

B: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**
Rohit: I agree that not all of us think that this is utter [poop]. Considering the protests that have happened in the past, how are we going to mobilize together? Some of us have different concerns about this whole thing.

Guillermo: What I like to emphasize that as an assembly we have a diversity of tactics. There were things that happened last year that I didn’t necessarily agree with. There is a lot of work that is going to be put into this. This whole assembly is enough to shut down campus. You are committing to putting an effort into this but it may not be the same way that I want to do it necessarily.

Ray (Oakes): On the semantics of the last point, I would personally want to see more diversity in the calls to action. In terms of any type of micro contextual change, if it is effective, it can work.

Guillermo: There are different sections of disruption as well as different levels.

Julie: Yes it disrupts the daily business as usual, but there are ways to disrupt admin activities as well. If this is passed tonight, I do get to read it to Blumenthal in front of all faculty. This makes a huge statement. I can meet with alumni and founders and I can tell them that this is how students feel and those are donors and that would freak them out too. It doesn’t have to escalate to shutting down campus. We can get Blumenthal fired. We also have publicizing in the resolution as well. We can publicize how we felt in UCSC and that hurts the UC. Before we get there, they will comply. There are a lot of ways to make this work.

Daniel (Unknown if Ten or Stevenson): I take a lot of problem with the idea that we can assume that this is what students want. The idea of assuming what students want is not agreeable with me. Disrupting every day operations, that can look like a lot of things. I would be concerned if we blocked off campus and a student needs to access a resource on campus. We are denying students that for self-sacrifice. I’m very concerned with passing this without talking to students.

Guillermo: Each picket line have their own rule of who they allow. In terms of figuring out what the students want, I believe in my own capacity to make decisions for students because they elected me.

Sauli: I’ve been here for quite a while and I’ve seen a lot of different tactics ranging from those that are efficient and those that are problematic. I think that we are getting caught up with tactics and the specific language. This is a statement and you are not signing your name on this resolution. We, as students are going to be affected by this. This isn’t just “I” but this is a group effort and we need to figure out what WE are going to do about this.

Vanessa: My only thought was to reiterate that we are making decisions on behalf of the student body. When you put yourself in this position, you are not necessarily a delegate for 10-15 members in your space. you don’t assume that everyone’s going to agree with you, but you have to make a decision on behalf of your fellow students. We need to be focused on the diversity of
tactics. We aren’t enthralled with mobilizing as a community and I think that that is something that we work on together.

Daniel (Ten): I would like to say that C10 hasn’t met to talk about this. When it comes to voting on this, the plans that they have in store for us really and truly do suck. No one’s going to argue that, but we are voting on this resolution. There is something to be said about giving some bite rather just giving bark. I think that when we are trying to make a statement like this, people outside can see that students are taking their education into their own hands. For the record, I have taken a 3 hour test and have used all those three hours.

Danny: The main points of this resolution I do agree, but with Daniel said, our senate hasn’t met yet. Perhaps the majority of students do agree but it is our obligation to talk about this with our senates. What other solution do we have to please the students here as well as the new students?

Seamus: I am a huge supporter of college government. This was written yesterday and because of the timeline, that is why this seems rushed. There is urgency. I know that we have talked about this before, but if Julie can read this at Friday’s meeting, it will make admin think twice about their actions. As for another solution, this seems to be our only choice.

Guillermo: We have to practice some sort of agency and belief that we can represent our students. If this was all based on constituency and you’re not really going to think critically I have a hard time seeing your role.

Seamus: The reason this is being brought it because of the student academic senate meeting.

B: Motion to limit stack.

Tamra: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Simba: So to make it clear, this isn’t about changing finals time, this isn’t about changing class times, and this isn’t about shutting down campus. This resolution is an open book with what we want to do with this resolution. I actually think that there is a much higher chance of Blumenthal not doing this if Julie reads this to him. The entire student’s academic senate has voted on this already. We said we were against tuition hikes; this is the band aid to tuition hikes.

Eli: Reserve my right to make a motion. I want to say one thing, is that I think that our obligations as students reps is to represent students but I can say for certain that most people would be in support of this resolution. I have no problem with calling for the resignation of Blumenthal if they do implement these changes. I also support the fact that when students want to fight for what they want, we have to make some kind of noise. Call to question.

Vanessa: Second.
Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

**Vote to approve resolution:**

Imari Reynolds (Cowell) **Yes**, Bryna Haugen (Cowell) **Yes**, Tyler Papp (Cowell) **Yes**, Daniel Bernstein (Stevenson) **Yes**, Jeffrey Stoll (Stevenson) **Yes**, Gabriella Cory (Stevenson) **Yes**, August Valera (Crown) **Yes**, Tias Webster (Crown) **Yes**, Jane Loughboro (Crown) **Yes**, Morgan Smith (Merrill) **Yes**, Bianca (B) Moncada-Martin (Merrill) **Yes**, Roxanna Gutierrez (Porter) **Yes**, Amanda Kazden (Porter) **Yes**, Eli Guzman- Martin (Porter) **Yes**, Alternate Maxine Jimenez (Kresge) **Abstain**, Liza Mednikov (Kresge) **Abstain**, Hana King (Kresge) **Yes**, Tamra Owens (Oakes) **Yes**, Suini Torres (Oakes) **Yes**, Alternate Ray Decadiz (Oakes) **Yes**, Simba Khadder (Eight) **Yes**, Alternate Sarah Cligher (Eight) **Yes**, Alternate Alexandra Arriaga Covarrubias (Eight) **Yes**, Rohit Dhar (Nine) **Yes**, Katherine Le (Nine) **Yes**, Dante Harootunian (Nine) **Yes**, Danny Milla (Ten) **Yes**, Alternate Daniel Iglesias (Ten) **Yes**, Alternate Jessica Xu (Ten) **Yes**, Alternate Brandon (APISA) **Yes**, Vanessa Sadsad (QSU) **Yes**, Erica Green (SANAI) **Yes**, Art Motta (Organizing Director) **Yes**, Seamus Howard (Vice President of Academic Affairs) **Yes**, Sauli Colio (Vice President of Diversity and Inclusion) **Yes**, Guillermo Rogel (Vice President of External Affairs) **Yes**, Jabari Brown (Vice President of Internal Affairs) **Yes**, Julie Foster (President) **Yes**.

In favor: **36** | Opposed: **0** | Abstentions: **2**, resolution is adopted.

Daniel: **Motion to reconsider the motion that was to adopt the resolution opposing UCSC’s enrollment plan.**

Ray: **No second, motion dies.**

**Legislative Update**

This past weekend, we were at the monthly UCSA board meeting and we discussed a myriad of issues that affect us all. The first is AB 1653, which was introduced by Weber which is a bill to encourage the regents to report in detail campus actions and programs that will affect campus climate relating to gender, ethnicity and homelessness and food insecurity. We are facing all these issues and people don’t have the resources they need. This wouldn’t fix things over night but it would increase the safety of students and provide a more positive climate. We as a board decided to support this bill and it is our number 2 priority. A1747 was also introduced by Weber which was that the EBT transactions are available for all students on campus, free of fees. This would basically be eligible for students for Cal fresh and it would be available for students who have children under the age of six as well. This would increase quality of food for low income students. We have this notion that students can scrape by with a cup of noodle and go to sleep hungry. I think this is great step in furthering this topic. This would also increase a new avenue for students. Most students don’t know about the food pantries on this campus. As a board we decided to support it and cosponsor it. The next bill I will discuss is AB 1711, introduced by
McCarthy, which puts a cap on non-resident students that would be entering the UCs. We have 15.5% non-resident average of students not from this state. I believe that this is xenophobic and wrong, and we decided to take a neutral stance. It was a press bill. We recognized that the bill was political and we didn’t see anything coming from this.

Old Business

Julie: Motion to amend to make CALPIRG go now and then OPERS right after that.

Tamra: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Resolutions:

CALPIRG Resolution Deliberation:

Julie: Motion to pass resolution.

Unknown: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Sponsorship Request:

Sponsorship from OPERS:

We are here tonight to request the SUA’s sponsorship of two referenda that OPERS has on the ballot this spring. The first referendum is for PE and it is an amendment to measure 32 and the student life facilities fee – OPERS fee, which is to continue with the existing fee. We are here to request SUA sponsorship. We presented this to SFAC a few weeks ago. A little background, we aren’t looking to increase it and we just want to keep it at $30. We are running a structural deficit. We will be able to continue our operations and possibly improve activities at OPERS. For the PE referenda, we are asking to bring the fee from $4.50 to $12.50. This is primarily to rectify the situation from the first fee that didn’t account for increases over time for PE instructors. PE provides over 200 sections of recreational activities and there are about over 2000 students who take these classes. Total enrollment in PE is 3k. There is no other program or service offered to students similar to physical education.

87% of PE’s budget is to pay for the instructors to teach the classes, this covers salaries and benefits. We’d also like to add sections of classes that are high demand classes. For example, beginning swimming, and all but one of those were at max capacity so we’d like to add more swimming classes. Almost half of the PE classes offered require a beginning swimming class.

So OPERS facilities are highly impacted. About 8000 students use our facilities. UCSC has the smallest amount of square footage per person for facility space and recreation. Most of the other
UC’s have more, but we have rates that could match other schools. There are a lot of reasons that this fee should pass but if it doesn’t pass, we won’t be able to keep the current hours that we have and we may have to close the pool. Those are how bad the impacts could be for this fee not passing. We have broken down what the fee will pay for. For students, 220,000 for hourly salaries. Most of our students are work study. $463,000 for reserve is to make new improvements and repairs. People are also asking for lights for intramurals at night. We could also potentially turf the field and save a bunch of water.

Suini: Are there any PE classes offered on the west side of campus?

OPERS: There are PE classes at the west field house or will there be more resources on the west side. We are looking to add 8-14 additional classes for PE and it would depend on which classes were offered to decide their placement.

Simba: In terms of the PE thing, it sounds good, especially things like CruzFit, and in terms of facility stuff, I use OPERS too but I am concerned about this fee because you are placing more of the budget on professional staff. When I go to OPERS, I see students working, not staff.

OPERS: For professional staff people, most of those salaries and benefits are close to 100,000 each. There are really only 3 professional staff. We want to hire a custodian for the gym. That would add another 100,000 to our budget between salaries and benefits.

Sauli: How much is the budget currently for staff and does that include the cost increase?

OPERS: $475,000 includes the new fees.

Vanessa: **Motion to add 10 minutes.**

Tamra: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Imari: Why aren’t students presenting this to us?

OPERS: There were two students who were intended to present but they had a class conflict. We understand that it wasn’t optimal to have us two bearded white men presenting this but we really wanted to get this on the table.

August: In the PE fee it says that 33% of this amount will return to aid, but the other one doesn’t.

OPERS: The other fee is grandfathered in and there is no return to aid component. The general consensus was to keep fees low.

Ray (Oakes): So you said that we use about 2 million gallons of water a year. Is there a way that we could stop this?
OPERS: We are in the process of speaking with a drought manager. We will have to kill the field to recede it. This is something that the fee could fund. All the projects will be voted on by an OPERS student advisory committee. President of university wants us to reduce water use by 50%. The only real way for this campus to do that is to find a way to reduce water on the field. Also regarding the west field house, we noticed that there’s not much talk about the west field house. Rather than just spending money on the east house, it would be great to provide more resources to the west field house.

Julie: Can you clarify how much the student life fee generate for OPERS now and how much it will generate if this referenda passes?

OPERS: Currently we generate about $500,000 and $250,000 goes to SUGB. Another thing about this fee is that the debt to service will be removed. A lot of maintenance and upkeep has been tied up because of debt.

Simba: 1. Why are you doing a pool fence replacement? 2. There is 475k going towards professional staff, how many people are working and what do they do?

OPERS: Custodian is about 100,000 with salaries and benefits. Regarding the pool, we are worried about people drowning in first rain. We don’t want people getting hurt and we don’t want people jumping in the pool without lifeguards. Fences have to be anti-climb. All we are trying to do is make a deterrent.

Art: **Motion to add two minutes.**

B: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Sauli: So currently does OPERS get any donations for facilities?

OPERS: We don’t get donor money but we are always looking. We do make some revenue from membership dues and we rent lockers. We have Sammy slug.

**Old Business**

**SUA Technology Upgrades Request**

Vanessa: **Motion to move up the last item on the agenda to now.**

Tyler: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

**Evening with Jennicet:**

I decided to bring Jennicet to campus after attending the SOCC at UC Merced. My goal is to
make this campus more accepting of trans and queer people on this campus. It would be more inclusive, all gendered restrooms. Hopefully we can make things more accessible for students on this campus. My objective is to raise awareness of contemporary issues that the trans and queer community is facing across the nation as well as the undocumented community. The goal is to tie these intersectionalities and provide Jennicet’s story to better understand the struggle of students on this campus.

Jennicet is a transgender undocumented Latinx activist. On the evening of April 8th, 2016 who is known for calling out Obama on detention of transwomen. The event will be talking about her efforts with her #NOT1More campaign which aims at stopping ICE from detaining transgender women in LA and OC as they have been detaining them in the wrong correctional facilities corresponding to their gender identities. I have asked for funding from C9 and Oakes and received funding from the LALS department. I am asking $1000 for Jennicet to come speak here.

Eli: I think that there is still racism within the trans community and I think that bringing Jennicet here would be really great to start conversation.

Imari: Why are you only asking C9 and Oakes and is the money paying for food or does she charge?

It is what she charges. I am also asking the Oakes provost and I do plan on asking the ERC and from the DRC and EOP. EOP, Oakes, the diversity office and the provost at Oakes, I also have money from my RA program. The aim is to pay her for her coming here. The majority is to just pay her the correct amount of money.

Art: Do you have any knowledge of previous events that are like this who affect the trans community on this campus or trans people of color?

When I went to the SOCC, that’s where I took initiative and that’s when I decided to make more efforts to address trans people of color as well because there is a predominant white trans presence at the Cantu. I open the group to anybody. Also, just recently there was a trans student at the Cantu who didn’t add the group to the flyer.

Imari: Is this event open to everyone and how will this be publicized?

Yes it is open to everyone and it would be publicized via the ERCs. It will also be on the queer newsletter.

Tamra: Motion to extend time by 5 minutes.

Rohit: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.
Eli: In terms of the question that art was asking, there was an event that was put on in a queer/trans mixer. There is a lack of diversity of trans people on campus, so having these kinds of events are really good.

**Old Business**

**SUA Technology Upgrades Request**

Danny: Our students were asking what we would take pictures of and what events we would have.

Simba: Question to treasurer, where should this come from and second talking to lots of photographers at college eight, there was mostly talk of $600 instead.

Ray: It seemed like a lot of money for a camera. It also seemed like we were going to be using such a high caliber camera when a phone could also be used to take pictures. Also there aren’t that many events that we can take pictures of. Also cameras can be temporarily rented from digital media.

August: Main issue with Crown was cost and we were thinking $300-350 dollars. I am still not convinced that we need a DSLR.

Tamra: Oakes doesn’t want to spend this much on something that will be used by interns twice a quarter. **Motion to set aside 350 from the general fund for a camera.**

August: **Second.**

Bryna: **Objection.** There are a great number of cameras on the market right now and quick search on amazon or eBay you can find a really easy to use point and shoot camera. To use a nice camera it takes a while to learn how to use that camera.

Simba: **Motion to limit stack.**

Rohit: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Daniel (Ten): When this was brought back to the senate my main question was why are we asking for a camera when we don’t have that many events to begin with?

Tyler: There are cameras on amazon that are above point and shoot which are around $150.

Imari: I think it’s important to talk about what SUA can do for the future, and we need to stop focusing on what the SUA hasn’t done in the past. I think it is important to have a camera to document what SUA does in the future.
Vote to fund 350:

In favor: 23 | Opposed: 1 | Abstentions: 8, funding 350 out of general fund and everything else out of officer line item.

Deliberation of Transcript Fee

Julie: They are asking for a letter of support.

Imari: When they came I wasn’t aware that this was finalized so we didn’t take it back to our senate.

Simba: reserve my right to make a motion. did they say they needed a specific time? [No] I think this needs to go back to senates. Motion to table until next week.

Julie: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Tamra: Motion to stipulate if the camera is bought that there is a checkout system and we are informed about the purchase.

Suini: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Rohit: Motion to adjourn.

Hana: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.