SUA Meeting 11.24.2015

Assembly Members Present: Imari Reynolds (Cowell), Alternate Rick (Cowell), Tyler Papp (Cowell), Alternate Jeff (Stevenson), August Valera (Crown), Tias Webster (Crown), Jane Loughboro (Crown), Lara Loesel (Merrill), Alternate Karina (Merrill), Bianca (B) Moncada-Martin (Merrill), Tara Parcella (Kresge), Tamra Owens (Oakes), Suini Torres (Oakes), Kiana Coleman (Oakes), Wayne Ledgister (Eight), Simba Khadder (Eight), Kaimana Carney (Eight), Rohit Dhar (Nine), Katherine Le (Nine), Alternate Dante (Nine), Ramneet Bajwa (Ten), Danny Milla (Ten), Tama Semo (Ten), Vanessa Sadsad (QSU), Gilbert Paredes (MEChA), Art Motta (Organizing Director), Seamus Howard (Vice President of Academic Affairs), Sauli Colio (Vice President of Diversity and Inclusion), Guillermo Rogel (Vice President of External Affairs), Julie Foster (President).

Assembly Members Absent: Bryna Haugen (Cowell), Daniel Bernstein (Stevenson), Gema Rodriguez (Stevenson), Kyra Brandt (Stevenson), Morgan Smith (Merrill), Roxanna Gutierrez (Porter), Amanda Kazden (Porter), Eli Guzman-Martin (Porter), Jackie Roger (Kresge), Winnie Sidhu (Kresge), Anthony Gonzalez (Nine), Lisa Washington (A/BSA), Theresa Atanoa (APISA), Erica Green (SANAI), Jabari Brown (Vice President of Internal Affairs).

Approval of the Agenda:

Julie: Motion to add 15 minutes before reflection and discussion for president/chancellor meeting report back.

Tama: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

B: Motion to approve.

Katherine: Second.

Julie: Objection.

B: Rescind motion.

Julie: Motion to put approval of last week’s minutes after SUA rep discussion.

Seamus: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

B: Motion to approve agenda.

Katherine: Second.
Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes**.

**Viewing of the Community Agreements**

Ray: For stack, call to question does kind of silence people, and if you want to move on, I understand that that is important. However, if you want to move on and still let people talk you can motion to limit stack. Second, we will be trying something new, if you have already talked once on a current motion on the table, and there are others who haven’t talked yet, we will prioritize those who haven’t spoken yet. This is to diversify topic discussion. If there are questions for people, I will allow direct responses but only for a little. For any points (of clarification, information, personal privilege, or order), please don’t abuse them and just wait until it is your turn on stack. This allows for people to have their turn during speaking.

B: Is the motion to limit stack on here?

Ray: No, but you can write it on there. Limiting stack requires a reserving of a right and it is debatable. It also disallows more people to get on stack.

**Announcements and Public Comment:**

Guillermo: One of the positions we hired was the legislative director, this is Christina and she has just been appointed as legislative director.

Christina: Hello, I am a senior transfer student and I am studying history. I really have a passion for politics and how it affects students out of state, nationally and state level. My job is to help the office of external affairs analyze and research bills that will affect students and show them the positives and negatives. Something to look out for is that the UC Board of Regents just introduced plans to increase enrollment by 10,000 students over the next three years. And it’s not going to affect the university positively because there will be an increased need for dorms and other resources.

Guillermo: The first time that we will host Lobby Corps is in January and we will be inviting members of UCSA to go through the budget with us and help us understand some bills that may affect us on this campus. There will be more announcements next quarter.

Suini: I’m sure you all heard about the crime report from the student at Oakes. The student was kidnapped downtown and brought up to campus and the Oakes community is very afraid right now and I just wanted to tell you all to tell your spaces and use your resources. I recommend that you forward the safety tips and resources. Stay safe.

Julie: [In reference to Suini’s announcement] I was spoken to earlier about SUA can do about it and basically right now is I will try to get a group together to talk about safety on campus and we are trying to get the guards back at the base of campus. We are trying to push admin to do something about this. This will be open to anyone.
Seamus: Next week, my office is going to be putting on Food for Finals and we are going to be giving out free coffee and food and test supplies at the libraries on MWF. On Friday it will only be at S&E from 10AM - 1 PM. Please come out!

Art: As organizing director I do update the website and the website now has student organization websites on them. This is to ensure that your constituents have a way to figure out who you are, including alternates. It also ensures that people know how to contact you and you will get an email between now and January. If you have a photo that you’d like to put your name to on the website, just let me know.

Sauli: Next Thursday, Dec 3rd, we will be hosting a follow up to the SOCC. It won’t be just open to folks who attended the SOCC, so if you’re interested in helping out in the issues that we addressed during the SOCC, just let me know. Let anyone know who may be interested in that.

-CLOSED SESSION-

**New Business:**

**Approval of Previous Meeting’s Minutes:**

Seamus: **Motion to approve minutes.**

Rohit: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

**SOFA Bylaw Amendment**

Julie: I am proposing amendments for SOFA. The first thing I am proposing to amend is to amend Registered Student organization to sponsorship for undergraduate programming. Another thing that I’m proposing is to change Chair to President in the various places in the bylaws. In addition, I wanted to give the SUA an additional 24 hours for the treasurer to get the minutes out to the assembly. I’m changing which representative can be sent to the SOFA as well so that it doesn’t have to be one of the three reps. Another thing I want to change is “General Body” to the “Assembly”. Also, I amended to strike out ESOC and to change the wrong capitalization of MEChA and SANAI. Also, there is a bylaw that talks about how SOFA operates, which is via roll call and acclimation. This is proposed this week and it will be voted on next week.

Seamus: If we do take this back to our colleges, can approve the recommendations from SOFA?

Julie: When the conversation comes up, I’m praying that we can suspend out bylaws. Technically speaking, no. But, technically RSO isn’t defined.

Simba: **Motion to strike 3.B completely and fix the numbers as needed.**

Katherine: **Second.**
Seamus: **Objection.** I would recommend instead of getting rid of how to vote, to just replace it with a different procedure on how to vote.

**Discussion to strike 3.B completely and fix the numbers as needed:**

August: I was at SOFA and doing roll call vote every time was painful. However, to provide more transparency, the final vote should be roll call. I would recommend that we change it so that SOFA should vote via roll call and acclamation for the final requests.

Seamus: I **motion to change the amendment so that SOFA shall strive to reach consensus and that if consensus is not reached that SOFA will proceed by roll call, requiring 2/3rds of the membership to pass.**

Rohit: **Second.**

Vanessa: **Objection.** Could we define “strive”?

August: Could you clarify what you mean by “strive”?

Seamus: The officers had debate about this. In my mind, consensus is when everyone agrees and that there are no “no” votes. However if there is a “No” vote then there would be roll call.

Simba: I feel like the first part is redundant since we strive towards consensus anyway. And I would rather that the main vote should be roll call.

Jeff: The only issue I have with Seamus’ motion is that it already states that in the constitution to reach a 2/3rds consensus.

Julie: I just want to let you know that SUA operates by consensus, as written in the constitution.

B: **Call to question.**

Rohit: **Second.**

Vanessa: **Objection.**

**Vote to vote:**

In favor: 9 | Opposed: 5 | Abstentions: 11, **call to question fails.**

Seamus: First, to respond to Jeff’s comment, I completely agree, but the way that SOFA was conducted, we would automatically go into a roll call vote for every decision. However, if someone called for acclimation then we could proceed without roll call. This just makes the process easier. If there are no objections, then we pass it, but if not then we roll call vote.

B: **Call to question.**

Rohit: **Second.**
Vote on amendment that “SOFA shall strive to reach consensus and that if consensus is not reached that SOFA will proceed by roll call, requiring 2/3rds of the membership to pass.”

In favor: 11 | Opposed: 1 | Abstentions: 16, amendment passes.

Discussion on main motion “SOFA shall strive to reach consensus and that if consensus is not reached that SOFA will proceed by roll call, requiring 2/3rds of the membership to pass.”

August: Reserve my right to make a motion, I like the consensus thing, but I feel like I would like to have roll call for main motions. I motion to amend subsection B to “sofa shall strive to reach towards consensus” and add another section that “if consensus cannot be reached that roll call shall be used instead” and to strike the rest of B.

Rohit: Second.

Imari: Objection. I just don’t see what the difference between that and what Seamus said.

Discussion on motion to amend subsection B to “sofa shall strive to reach towards consensus” and add another section that “if consensus cannot be reached that roll call shall be used instead” and to strike the rest of B.

August: Seamus’ motion states that every time we have a motion, that we go to roll call vote. But I would like to limit that so that roll call vote is for main motions only and that raising hands for consensus can be for amendments.

Guillermo: Reserve my right to make a motion, sometimes I feel like our space isn’t as retroactive as it should be. I feel like we get bogged down on small amendments, and that’s why half the people abstained last vote. This is taking a lot of our time from issues that actually matter. Motion to table this to next week.

Rohit: Second.

Seamus: Objection. If we do table this till next week, we won’t be able to vote on this until next quarter. Also just to pass what’s on the funding discussion from SOFA’s recommendation will take longer so we might as well finish this now.

Discussion of motion to table:

Sauli: I agree with what Guillermo said and I think that it would beneficial to look over this and have different recommendations. This has been expressed to the assembly already.

SOFA Deliberations

Julie: First of all, this was shared with you all (spreadsheet of recommendations from SOFA). The bottom line is that we were requested about $50000 and the SOFA recommendation brought us down to $14,900, and that the extra $900 come from SOUP or the general fund. So we have
each one of these, and I’d prefer to hear from you all whether you want me to go through each one or if you’d like your rep to talk about it.

Semaus: Just saying with the process of last year, we went through line through line saying the org and the amount funded and if someone objected, we would go through that at the end of the meeting.

Art: Before we get into this, I **motion to not fund any publications on here; I think that our money should go to events and not publications.**

Sauli: **Second.**

Tamra: **Objection.** I feel like we should fund publications because journalism department has recently been cut from our campus budget. I feel like we should be supporting those students.

Seamus: **Call to question.**

Rohit: **Second.**

Art: **Objection.**

In favor: **21 | Opposed: 1 | Abstentions: 7, call to question passes.**

*Vote on main motion “to not fund any publications on here; I think that our money should go to events and not publications.”*

In favor: **3 | Opposed: 23 | Abstentions: 2, motion fails.**

**Zero Waste Team Sustainability Office**

**Amount Requested: $600**

**SOFA Recommendation: $500**

B: **Objection.** I think they should be funded more. **Motion to fully fund.**

Kai: **Second.**

Simba: **Objection.** I spent 5-6 hours of my life listening to people speak and I get that more groups should be funded more, but all I’m asking is for you all to consider that there was time and effort spent on this.

*Discussion on motion to fully fund:*

Danny: I was also in SOFA and we didn’t have a lot in the budget and we tried to fund as many groups as much as possible.
Guillermo: I don’t know how you all feel about a straw poll, so I’m motioning that a straw poll be instated.

Rohit: Second.

Imari: Objection. I feel like that would take longer so unless you have a different solution, then just continue.

Julie: Motion to extend time by 15 minutes, and motion to table the budget discussion until next week.

Rohit: Second.

Vanessa: Objection. The more we keep postponing the budget discussion, the closer we get to the next budget discussion for the next fiscal year.

Vote to extend time and tabling the budget discussion:

In favor: 18 | Opposed: 5 | Abstentions: 6, motions passes.

Tamra: I say that we go line item by line item and that if there is an objection, that we can just come back to it.

Tamra: Motion to go line item by line item and if there is an objection, that we can just come back to it later in the meeting.

Suini: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Simba: Motion that if there is an objection that we go into a vote and if it passes by 3/4ths that it is subject to approval.

Wayne: Second.

Seamus: Objection.

Simba: Rescind.

Zero Waste Team Sustainability Office

Amount Requested: $600

SOFA Recommendation: $500

B: Objection.

Red Wheelbarrow
Amount Requested: $1200
SOFA Recommendation: $800
Art: Objection.

**Health and Nutrition Club**

Amount Requested: $600
SOFA Recommendation: $0
Rick: Objection.

**Society for Conservation Biology: Santa Cruz Chapter:**

Amount Requested: $200
SOFA Recommendation: $50
-No objections-

**Crown Resident Assistants**

Amount Requested: $250.
SOFA Recommendation: $50.
August: Objection.

**Film Production Coalition:**

Amount Requested: $900
SOFA Recommendation: $400.
-No objections-

**Scientific Slug:**

Amount Requested: $1500
SOFA Recommendation: $800.
Art: Objection.

**UCSC Muay Thai:**

Amount Requested: $1414
SOFA Recommendation: $700.
-No objections-

Tangroupe:
Amount Requested: $400
SOFA Recommendation: $200.
B: Objection.

Sigma Lambda Gamma National Sorority Inc. – Travel the World with the Gammas
SOFA Recommendation: $0.
Sauli: Objection.

Sigma Lambda Gamma National Sorority Inc. – Las Posadas
SOFA Recommendation: $0.
Sauli: Objection.

UCSC Camp Kesem:
Amount Requested: $1000
SOFA Recommendation: $750
*Due to Second Pass of Consideration, reduced to $0, with stipulation that they come back at a later date for funding*
-No objections-

Korean American Student Association:
Amount Requested: $500
SOFA Recommendation: $100.
Imari: Objection.

Hermanos Unidos de UCSC:
Amount Requested: $500
SOFA Recommendation: $200.
Sauli: Objection.

Society of Asian Scientists and Engineers:
Amount Requested: $900
SOFA Recommendation: $500
-No objections-

Mixed Ethnicity Student Headquarters:
Amount Requested: $600
SOFA Recommendation: $300.

Lara: Objection.

Engineers for a Sustainable World:
Amount Requested: $5000
SOFA Recommendation: $800.
-No objections-

Terry Freitas Café:
Amount Requested: $300
SOFA Recommendation: $300.
-No objections-

Lambda Theta Alpha Latin Sorority, Inc:
Amount Requested: $500
Amended amount: $100
*Due to Second Pass of Consideration, reduced to $0*

Imari: Objection.

Calabasas Elementary Community Farm:
Amount Requested: $500
SOFA Recommendation: $400.
Rick: Objection.

FishRap Live:

Amount Requested: $573.16
SOFA Recommendation: $573.16.

Wayne: Objection.

Stevenson Student Council:

Amount Requested: $500
SOFA Recommendation: $0.
-No objections-

Kinetics Poetics Project:

Amount Requested: $4000
SOFA Recommendation: $1000.
-No objections-

Muslim Student Association:

Amount Requested: $3500*

*Requested $3800 at presentation
SOFA Recommendation: $1000.

Sauli: Objection.

Pagkakaisa Dance Troupe (PDT):

Amount Requested: $2000
SOFA Recommendation: $900.
-No objections-

Game Design and Art Collaboration:

Amount Requested: $750
SOFA Recommendation: $600.
-No objections-

Slugs United by Mathematics:

Amount Requested: $200
SOFA Recommendation: $200.
-No objections-

Filipino Student Association:

Amount Requested: $2000
SOFA Recommendation: $750.
Tama: Objection.

Indian Student Association:

Amount Requested: $1000
SOFA Recommendation: $600
-No objections-

EyeCandy:

Amount Requested: $2000
SOFA Recommendation: $500.
Art: Objection.

Matchbox Magazine:

Amount Requested: $1000
SOFA Recommendation: $500.
Art: Objection.

Pre-Pharmacy Student Association:

Amount Requested: $750
SOFA Recommendation: $300.
Suini: Objection.

Society of Hispanic Professional Engineers:
Amount Requested: $2000
SOFA Recommendation: $0.
Sauli: Objection.

Sabrosura:
Amount Requested: $700
SOFA Recommendation: Tentative $350
Art: Objection.

American Red Cross Association:
Amount Requested: $1250*
*Presenter asked for $1150 in presentation
SOFA Recommendation: $300.
-No objections-

Grupo Folklorico Los Mejicas:
Amount Requested: $6500
SOFA Recommendation: $800.
Kiana: Objection.

UCSC Surfing Team:
Amount Requested: $2075
SOFA Recommendation: $0.
-No objections-

Afrikan Black Student Alliance:
Amount Requested: $1300
SOFA Recommendation: $1000.
Imari: Objection.

On the Spot:

Amount Requested: $200

Rick: Objection.

Discussions of Objections:

Suini: Motion to extend time 20 minutes.

Seamus: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Julie: I would appreciate now if anyone could motion to approve the ones that didn’t have objections.

Art: So moved.

Rohit: Second.

August: Objection. I feel like our decisions on the ones that we do object to may pertain to things that we approve right now, and I want flexibility within other group funding.

Simba: Call to question.

Suini: Second.

In favor: 12 | Opposed: 12 | Abstentions: 3, parliamentarian votes yes, motion passes.

Discussion of objections:

Simba: Motion to table this to be the last thing we do.

Vanessa: Second.

Julie: Objection. I want this taken care of right now and I don’t want to risk it being tabled. I’m afraid that people will start leaving.

Seamus: Call to question.

Rohit: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, call to question passes.

Vote to table this to be the last item on the agenda:
In favor: 5 | Opposed: 16 | Abstentions: 7, motion fails.

Simba: SOFA happened and anyone can go and talk, and so maybe you can just come to SOFA next time.

August: I motion to put SAGE before this.

Julie: Second.

Tamra: Objection. We should just finish this now.

Danny: If we keep postponing stuff, we won’t get anything done.

August: Rescind my motion.

SOFA Deliberation (Objections)

Zero Waste Team Sustainability Office

Amount Requested: $600

SOFA Recommendation: $500

B: Objection.

B: It’s a volunteer event and I think it’s a good event, from what I saw in the minutes, there wasn’t much discussion and I would like to know why we shouldn’t fund them fully.

Seamus: Since we voted to basically approve the other items that weren’t objected to, we have to take out money from the general fund or some other line item.

Imari: I just don’t see how $100 will change the campus cleanup dramatically. Last year I know it was largely successful but I don’t’ know how much $100 will change.

Julie: Part of the reason that we didn’t fund completely is because the sustainability office already had their own funds.

Sauli: Are there other bodies where they can find funding from? I feel like they could possibly go to other places and that the $500 could be distributed elsewhere.

Danny: I wanted to point out that some of their funds were going to be used for food and they mentioned that most of those funds were going to food to try to keep members.

B: Rescind objection.

Sauli: Objection, I think that they should go to the student environmental center to get funding since it is a campus cleanup.
Seamus: **I motion to fund $500.**

Suini: **Second.**

Julie: **Objection.** We are paying a lot of money through sustainability through our fees. Literally CSC gets almost as much money as we do. I don’t remember but I’m pretty sure this was their pool cost for their budget.

*Discussion to fund $500:*

Suini: Last year SUA funded this event last year and a lot of SUA members were working on this as well. They do have other sources of funding but we’d be supporting them.

Seamus: **Motion to amend to $100.**

Simba: **Second.**

Vanessa: **Objection.**

*Discussion on amendment from $500 to $100*

Tamra: I still don’t think that we should fund this, they have their own funding and last year this was different because it was through the OD’s line item.

Seamus: **Rescind amendment.**

Imari: **Motion to amend to fund $0.**

Vanessa: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes, funding $0.**

**Red Wheelbarrow:**

**Amount Requested:** $1200

**SOFA Recommendation:** $800

Art: **Objection.**

Art: I don’t think that we should be funding printed publications and they haven’t been successful in students participating, and anyone who has been on staff has published their own works and it’s not inclusive unless you’re part of that class or club. Plus, many of these orgs have electronic copies. The amount they’re requesting is straight from the SUA alone and I don’t think that funding the printed magazines is sustainable for the org or the campus.
Simba: I agree and disagree, like yes we shouldn’t be using just SUA, but I would like to know some other sources of funding. If we don’t fund them, then they won’t be able to function, but I would be down to show them other sources of funding.

B: Motion to extend time by half an hour.

Suini: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Seamus: I think that by publishing this material we get to see what students think about on campus. Also I believe that this benefits anyone who reads this student work because it gives people a view into a student’s mind.

Imari: Reserve my right to make a motion, I’ve been seeing a lot of these funding proposals and yet I only see FishRap and CHP on campus, I motion to fund Red Wheelbarrow $600 and let them know that we can’t be their sole funding source.

Rick: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes, funding $600.

Julie: Motion to table all publication requests to the end.

B: Second.

Vanessa: Objection.

Simba: Call to question.

Seamus: Second.

In favor: 23 | Opposed: 1 | Abstentions: 3, motion passes.

Health and Nutrition Club:

Amount Requested: $600

SOFA Recommendation: $0

Rick: Objection.

Rick: We have different health organizations and I see “consent is sexy” more often in campus and “zero waste” as well.

Simba: Reserve my right to make a motion, they didn’t show up and they didn’t tell us they wouldn’t be showing up, motion to fund $0.
Seamus: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes, funding $0.

Crown Resident Assistants:

Amount Requested: $250.

SOFA Recommendation: $50.

August: Objection.

August: One of the main reasons for funding $50 is because some members in SOFA felt that it wasn’t a campus event and that it wasn’t inclusive, but I talked to the organizer and she will be advertising in all of campus.

Simba: My reasoning was that they called it the “Crown and Merrill” conference instead of just a professional development conference, and then asking for money everywhere, like why are you taking so much credit for the event.

Seamus: Motion to fund $50.

Jeff: Second.

August: Objection. I think that it is a disservice to students to those who aren’t from Crown/Merrill who want to go.

B: Motion to limit stack.

Seamus: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Imari: Call to question.

Seamus: Second.

August: Objection.

Vote to vote:

In favor: 19 | Opposed: 5 | Abstentions: 3, call to question passes.

Vote to fund $50

In favor: 20 | Opposed: 6 | Abstentions: 2, funding $50.

Tangroupe:
Amount Requested: $400
SOFA Recommendation: $200.
B: Objection.
B: Rescind my objection.
Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, funded Tangroupe $200.

Sigma Lambda Gamma National Sorority Inc. - Travel the World with Gammas:
SOFA Recommendation: $0.
Sauli: Objection.
Sauli: Rescind my objection.

Sigma Lambda Gamma National Sorority Inc. – Las Posadas:
SOFA Recommendation: $0.
Sauli: Objection.
Sauli: Rescind my objection.

Korean American Student Association:
Amount Requested: $500
SOFA Recommendation: $100.
Imari: Objection.
Imari: Why did we fund so little?
Simba: The reason was that they charge close to $20 at the door, which makes it tough for a lot of people to go, and to fund something so that people have to pay even more doesn’t make sense to me.
Imari: Rescind my objection.
Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, funded KASA $100.

Hermanos Unidos de UCSC:
Amount Requested: $500
SOFA Recommendation: $200.
Sauli: **Objection.**

Sauli: When I was able to attend this event, they addressed a lot of important issues in the Latino community and the sexism that is prevalent in that community and I think that it is crucial for the campus and for Latino students on campus so I think that we should fund them the full amount.

Art: **Move to fund $500.**

Vanessa: **Second.**

Suini: **Objection.** One thing that was discussed during the SOFA meeting is that they didn’t go to any other sources of funding.

*Discussion to fund $500:*

Sauli: One of the years they had it at the Stevenson Event Center and they had like 300 people show up so this is an event that is very important for the community that they are opening it up to. I have seen this group hustle to get money for their events selling anything to get money for their events. They are already working so hard to put on these events and I respect this organization a lot because they put in so much work.

Simba: Reserve my right to make a motion, I just want to ask why they are just relying solely on SUA for funding. **Motion to amend $500 with stipulation that we mention other places that they can get funding.**

Jeff: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **amendment passes.**

Vanessa: I just want to make a couple of comments. That being said, other than sources of funding, that’s not up to us to base our funding off of their other sources of funding. We should decide based on how much this will affect students on this campus.

Seamus: I don’t really respond to people ordering me to do something. I don’t think it’s wrong to ask if they are going to other funding organizations. If we move money to one place, we are removing money from another place.

Tamra: My first comment comes to the population; in the minutes it says that they are expecting 70 people, not 300. Might I remind you all, that the reason that we funded the Zero Waste Group Zero is because they already had another funding source. I don’t see how this group is any different.

Kai: I think that when we’re thinking about new organizations to fund, that we should be consistent, and that if we decide to not fund a group based on the fact that we are their only source of funding, then we should stick with that.
Simba: Reserve my right to make a motion, I don’t like the comment about rhetoric about saying A here and B here, for example, we made campus clean up because they had other funding, and for red wheelbarrow, we zeroed funding because we were the only source of funding. **Motion to limit stack.**

B: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Vanessa: I’d like the assembly to keep in mind the call in call out rule in our community agreements, and keep in mind that each item needs to be considered on its own merits.

Art: This is the first time this organization has come to funding from the SUA and they are usually a self sustaining organization and they are new to requesting funds as opposed to other organizations that solely depend on SUA for its funding. I participated in this event too and you have students who were volunteering and making connections and this is a wonderful organization and I think that we should fully support them.

Tama: **Motion to extend time by 30 minutes.**

Rohit: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Sauli: Again I want to reiterate that this is an event that is really important to our campus, specifically because there’s already limited events that focus on diversity and even in that, this is one of the only organizations that focuses on Latino male retention. I think this is something crucial and I think that we should actually have a conversation about this, regarding Latino males’ retention in the university. This organization is applying for the first time because of folks that are in this room who made this funding accessible to them.

Suini: Reserve my right to make a motion, I agree that this is very beneficial event, but there are other sources of funding since this is a retention program, **motion to amend funding to $300.**

Tamra: **Second.**

Vanessa: **Objection.**

Sauli: Again, I just want to say, what other funding sources, other than the colleges and the diversity fund, can they go to?

Simba: Reserve my right to make a motion, I feel like we’ve talked a lot about this. **Motion to limit stack.**

B: **Second.**
Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Art: I move to amend the amount to $400.

Vanessa: Second.

Suini: Objection. I think $300 is a fair amount.

Simba: Call to question.

B: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, call to question passes.

Vote to amend $300 to $400:
In favor: 7 | Opposed: 6 | Abstentions: 13, amendment passes.

Vote to amend $500 to $400:
In favor: 23 | Opposed: 0 | Abstentions: 5, amendment passes.

Vote to fund $400:
In favor: 24 | Opposed: 1 | Abstentions: 5, motion passes, funding $400.

**Mixed Ethnicity Student Headquarters:**

Amount Requested: $600

SOFA Recommendation: $300.

Lara: Objection.

Lara: I would like to increase funding, this conference hasn’t happened in 10 years and I suggest that we increase funding to near or at the full amount.

Kai: Motion to fund $400 for UCSC MESH.

Rohit: Second.

Seamus: Objection. The only reason I object is because SOFA already recommended taking money out of the general fund, and now with more additions, we will be going deeper and deeper into the general fund.

Discussion to fund $400:

B: I understand the concerns for losing funds but I don’t think that the orgs should suffer from that.
Lara: **Motion to amend to increase funding to $450.**

Suini: **Second.**

Dante: **Objection.**

_Discussion of amendment from $400 to $450:_

Simba: I agree with Seamus and I wish that all of these groups could be funded, but I just want to make sure that we’re keeping in mind that we’re taking money from other places.

Jeff: I think this is an awesome thing, but I don’t know how many people can attend.

Tamra: I agree with Jeff. There is a MESH conference that already happens in Berkeley. I think $450 is sufficient.

Suini: During their presentation, they said they have a member list of 100 students and 20 – 30 active members. The majority of the money was going to catering and refreshments.

**B: Call to question.**

Seamus: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, _call to question passes._

_Vote to increase $400 to $450:_

In favor: **16** | Opposed: **0** | Abstentions: **9**, _amended to $450._

_Discussion to increase funding from $300 to $450:_

Seamus: **Call to question.**

Suini: **Second.**

In favor: **16** | Opposed: **0** | Abstentions: **11**, _funding $450_.

Simba: Reserve my right to make a motion, we are now dipping over $1000 into either SOUP or the general fund. We have talked about 4-5 funding requests and have 15 more, so at this rate we’ll be taking out 3-4 thousand, _motion to table these funding recommendation._

Rohit: **Second.**

Julie: **Objection.** If we go through the rest of them and then use SOFA’s recommendations, we will be under already. I anticipate things being cut by the end.

Dante: A large chunk of what we are funding is publications.
Simba: **Rescind my motion.**

Vanessa: We have a budget, but we haven’t allocated our surplus (carryforward).

Wayne: **Withdraw my objection to Fishrap.**

**Lambda Theta Alpha Latin Sorority, Inc:**

**Amount Requested:** $500

**Amended amount:** $100

*DUE to Second Pass of Consideration, reduced to $0*

Imari: In the presentation, I see that the thing holding people back is that the money is going back to the sorority, but what was looked over was bringing together Hispanics and African students on this campus, with that I motion to fund $250.

Rohit: **Second.**

August: **Objection.**

**Discussion to fund $250:**

Simba: I do think $0 is too little. At Battle of the DJs, there are two DJs and it is a dance event. They are having people pay at the door and on top of that from all the proceeds it gets cut into 2/3rds and the two thirds go to two causes and the other third goes to the sorority’s pocket. I just don’t think that they should be taking money for themselves.

Seamus: **Motion to amend to fund $100 with the stipulation that none of the profit goes towards the sorority and just the event itself.**

Rohit: **Second.**

Tamra: **Objection.** I think that $250 is a fair amount but I think that the event should be self-sustaining.

**Discussion on amendment to fund $100 with the stipulation that none of the profit goes towards the sorority and just the event itself.**

August: I think that this sort of stipulation was different from what SOFA mentioned.

Suini: **Motion to extend time 30 minutes.**

Dante: **Second.**

Seamus: **Rescind my amendment.**
August: **Move to amend to make the stipulation to the current motion that the event has to be nonprofit and that the proceeds do not go to the organization.**

Rohit: **Second.**

**B: Objection.**

_Discussion to make the stipulation to the current motion that the event has to be nonprofit and that the proceeds do not go to the organization:_

Vanessa: I find it interesting that we are discussing the significance of publications rather than the organizations that are representing marginalized communities.

Imari: Reserve my right to make a motion, I feel like August’s motion was different from mine, I **motion to amend to fund $250 with the stipulation that the money is self-sustaining for the event.**

Seamus: **Second.**

Simba: **Objection.** Most of their budget is police officers ($600) and there was $600 for their flyers and tickets. They are charging $5 presale and $7 at the door. You can claim it’s helping marginalized communities but I don’t think it’s doing a great job at it, and you’re bringing police officers on campus.

_Discussion on amendment to fund $250 with the stipulation that the money is self-sustaining for the event:_

Art: I just wanted to say that I personally don’t like police presence but that is something that is required for the school. If you do a dance, the orgs are required to have police at the events. But that is why that is there. Having police there turns people away from those events and that’s why some events have low attendance.

Sauli: Just a clarification, if you are an organization under SOAR, you don’t actually have to pay for police presence but SOAR has been able to work out with CSOs to just be there on the lookout. We did that last year with MEChA at one of our biggest events.

**B: Call to question.**

Seamus: **Second.**

_Vote on amendment to fund $250 with the stipulation that the money is self-sustaining for the event:_

Suini: **Acclamation.**

Dante: **Objection.**
In favor: 17 | Opposed: 2 | Abstentions: 4. **amendment passes.**

*Discussion on primary amendment to fund $250 with the stipulation that the money is self-sustaining for the event:*

Julie: **Call to question.**

Seamus: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **call to question passes.**

*Vote on amendment to fund $250 with the stipulation that the money is self-sustaining for the event:*

Suini: **Acclimation.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes, funded $250 with the stipulation that the money is self-sustaining for the event.**

Julie: What I’m going to say is to table all of the blue ones (in regards to spreadsheet), which are ones that can wait until next week because of the date that they need funding. I motion to table the blue ones, and consider the green ones now. **Motion to table all funding requests that are not urgent until next week.**

B: **Second.**

Tamra: **Objection.** We’re already here and it’s already pretty late and if we table this until next week that’s less time to study for finals.

*Discussion to table non-urgent funding requests:*

Imari: I understand that most of these dates are later but they came to us early and I think that they shouldn’t have to suffer from that.

Julie: **I rescind my motion,** please everyone stay so we can keep quorum.

Sauli: **Rescind my objection for FSA.**

Jeff: Since we’re at 23 and we might lose quorum, **motion to amend to do the green ones first.**

Dante: **Second.**

Vanessa: **Objection.** I think that there are some that take a lot of prevalence in our campus community that are green.

Jeff: **Motion to amend to include both MSA and A/BSA as well.**
Seamus: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes.

Muslim Student Association

Amount Requested: $3500*

*Requested $3800 at presentation

SOFA Recommendation: $1000.

Sauli: Objection.

Sauli: I think that we should fund them at least $2000. Not only because of the extreme islamophobia, but there were also two hate crimes against the Muslim students on campus and I think that we should do our best to try to support them in any way.

Seamus: Motion to fund $2000.

B: Second.


Afrikan Black Student Alliance:

Amount Requested: $1300

SOFA Recommendation: $1000.

Imari: Objection.

Imari: Last year UCSC sent 84 black students to this conference. For students who are oppressed in this school to not be able to go to a conference is just ridiculous and I motion to fully fund.

Lara: Second.

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, motion passes, funded $1300.

Fish Rap Live:

Amount Requested: $573.16

SOFA Recommendation: $573.16.

Simba: Objection.

Art: Motion to fund zero since it would be retroactively funding.
Vanessa: **Second.**

Seamus: **Objection.** I understand that the event passed and I know that there’s a rule against it. And I know I’ll be shot down, but it’s an important thing.

*Discussion to fund $0:*

Simba: **Motion to table the Fish Rap Live conversation.**

Rohit: **Second.**

Tamra: **Objection.** We’ve already conceded that there’s a rule to this. I think that we should go into a vote.

Art: **Call to question.**

Tamra: **Second.**

*Vote to table FishRapLive conversation:*

In favor: 9 | Opposed: 12 | Abstentions: 1, **no tabling.**

Jeff: I do think that the FishRap is important and they were here throughout all this history at UCSC. I think that they do serve an important function.

Vanessa: Reserve my right to make a motion, be mindful of setting precedent (in terms of funding retroactively.) **Motion to adjourn.**

Imari: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections?

*Adjournment by default via lost quorum.*