

SUA Meeting 1.12.2016

Assembly Members Present: Imari Reynolds (Cowell), Bryna Haugen (Cowell), Tyler Papp (Cowell), Daniel Bernstein (Stevenson), Jeffrey Stoll (Stevenson), **Alternate** Gabriella (Stevenson), August Valera (Crown), Tias Webster (Crown), Jane Loughboro (Crown), Lara Loesel (Merrill), Morgan Smith (Merrill), Bianca (B) Moncada-Martin (Merrill), Roxanna Gutierrez (Porter), Amanda Kazden (Porter), Eli Guzman - Martin (Porter), **Alternate** Hannah (Kresge), Liza Mednikov (Kresge), **Alternate** Maxine (Kresge), Tamra Owens (Oakes), Suini Torres (Oakes), Kiana Coleman (Oakes), Simba Khadder (Eight), **Alternate** Cameron Quinn (Eight), **Alternate** Lupe Soto (Eight), Rohit Dhar (Nine), Katherine Le (Nine), Anthony Gonzalez (Nine), Ramneet Bajwa (Ten), Danny Milla (Ten), Tama Semo (Ten), Basheera Ali-El (A/BSA), **Alternate** Lolo (APISA), **Alternate** Haedyn Christie (QSU), Gilbert Paredes (MEChA), Erica Green (SANAI), Art Motta (Organizing Director), Seamus Howard (Vice President of Academic Affairs), Jabari Brown (Vice President of Internal Affairs), Julie Foster (President).

Assembly Members Absent: Kyra Brandt (Stevenson), Jackie Roger (Kresge), Wayne Ledgister (Eight), Theresa Atanoa (APISA), Vanessa Sadsad (QSU), Sauli Colio (Vice President of Diversity and Inclusion), Guillermo Rogel (Vice President of External Affairs).

Approval of the Agenda:

Simba: **Motion to move the guest presentations before anything else.**

Tamra: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Art: **Motion to move HIS after standard rules presentation.**

Eli: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Tamra: **Motion to approve.**

Roxanna: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Approval of the Minutes

Daniel: **Motion to approve.**

Rohit: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Announcements and Public Comments

Jabari: I received an email asking for a students rep for the library council, meetings are every other Thursday 12-2. She wanted me to recommend an undergrad. if you have any questions feel free to ask. To apply, go to SUA and go under SCOC.

Seamus: There is an academic senate meeting at 2 PM at Stevenson tomorrow, this will be attended by faculty and students. There will also be a panel for teachers. Secondly, my office is going to be putting out a CLASS survey, which is the class lecture availability survey, its purpose is to gauge the problems at UCSC and only takes about 7-8 minutes.

Tamra: SCOC is going to have its first sister college on Jan 29th from 5-8 and we are going to have dinner catered by Taqueria Santa Cruz.

Suini: On Jan 22nd from 8-11 PM, Oakes Senate is hosting the Flash Friday dance and we are having a neon theme and it is open to anyone. There will be face paint as well! Come check it out ☺.

Julie: If you didn't get the email for the agenda, email me so I can email you to the listserv.

Jabari: I have a Martin Luther King Convocation which is happening at the Civic Center and the keynote speaker is Alicia Garza and we are in the process of deciding the entertainer for the event.

Imari: Out of Office of Diversity and Inclusion, the Women of Color conference planning committee meeting Wednesday night. This is a closed forum for persons of color and queer identifying students. Just a way for the campus to suit y'all and this would be one of many forums like this so keep an eye out for those.

Eli: This week at the Cantu Center the Queer People of Color Group is restarting. It is a closed space for queer and trans students of color, it will be 6:30-7:45 PM

Art: **Motion to extend time by 2 minutes.**

Jeff: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Art: Just wanted to remind everyone that Wednesday tomorrow, Lobby Corps will be having its first meeting and we will be having Sean Connelly as a guest speaker who will go over the proposed governor's budget. Last week Guillermo announced that we could have an event before the assembly meeting two weeks from now. We will be holding a table and showcasing your college and your organization. He will send an email soon about that.

New Business

Office of the Registrar Student Fee Proposal

My name is Tchad Sanger I am the university registrar. Just a brief background, Office of the Registrar is the official file keeper for students and curricula. About two thirds of our budget is covered by state fees, the rest is by transcript fees. We are at a point right now where we have to cover benefits and salaries, and at the end of next year we will be out of funds to cover the transcript fees. State funds end s up covering salaries and expenses increases whenever inflation happens. We have been fine for the last couple years but we now need a increase in transcript fees.

It is different from the referenda process and it is a process that is through admin and through the Chancellor, our current fee is \$15, we have a number of special service options like rush or expedite. We do make a bit of revenue for reinstatement of official records. That account is usually about \$17,000 underfunded. Those fees are not giving us the revenue for that cost.

We assume about \$75 per student for all the transcripts that they request. We are asking for an increase in transcript fees from \$15 to \$20 dollars. Since 2010 there has been a decrease in transcripts ordered, and we are concerned about the number of transcript orders and the effect of the fee increases. We want students to order as many transcripts as possible. In 2011 there was a fee increase from \$6-\$10 and we lost 13% of our orders. We want to make sure that students are applying to as many schools as possible. We want ordering statistics to rise.

Other schools have an initial document fee and then you don't have to worry about paying transcript fees from then. Berkeley, Davis and UCLA and Riverside have moved or are moving to that model. The high document fee would be \$215 for UCSC, which is much higher than the other schools, because we rely more on student support. Our fee will be higher because we have a return to aid built in. The document fee is a one-time fee, you pay it at the beginning of your college career and sometimes financial fee will cover it. If we move to a document fee there could be people that won't utilize it as they should, and conversely, with the transcript fee, you're only paying for what you need. A document fee of \$215 with a 33% RTA component would cover more Pell recipients. Freshmen and non-Pell recipients would be greatest impacted by the document fee.

Other thoughts to help with the fee. These fees are intentionally high because we wanted people to make sure that people knew that these would be the outward edge. We are also looking to increase third party degree/enrollment verification. We would continue to have rush or expedited transcripts to help offset costs as well. Finally, would it be better if it's a quarterly fee and should we go through the referenda process? Should it be mandatory? Having a stable budget really helps the office, this year we have started at a deficit. With the transcript fee it's always a guessing game. Changing it to a document fee will really help with the understanding of how much money we really have for the year.

Julie: **Motion to extend time by 10 minutes.**

Bryna: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Bryna: I know that \$215 dollar can really make it or break it, would there be a way for the single time fee to split it during the year as three separate payments?

Tchad: I would really be happy to look at the prospect of breaking it into three parts throughout the year.

Imari: How would this fee be implemented? Would it be student led or administration led?

Tchad: It would be held by the Office of Planning and Budget and there are students on that committee. It also goes through the Chancellor. Whether we do a document fee or transcript fee I just want to be sure on what path we should take. We also have a survey that we are developing for the entire campus.

Eli: I find it very concerning of the amount that is being asked, at that point I wouldn't have been able to pay it. It's quite a bit of money. I like the idea of spreading it out over the course of the first year. If it became a student fee, how much would it be?

Tchad: \$18 per quarter for all 4 years.

Tamra: Aside from your budget being more stable, how is this going to benefit students? What will happen if the fee doesn't pass?

Tchad: You would still be able to get your transcript. The problem that we are seeing on the decline of transcript ordering, is that the cost is preventing people from options of moving on. That's where this conversation of a document fee comes in. I really worry that at a time when someone graduates that they have to choose what they want to do based on the cost of the transcript, but at the same time I need to consider the maintenance fees for the office.

Tamra: Are you going to find a way to help students who identify with ab540?

Tchad: Yes we are working with financial aid on this and we are working on where we need to fill the gaps. AB540 was the most at risk population. I think that it is reasonable for us to have a dreamer waiver.

Mark: You're saying that the transcript fee is \$35?

Tchad: Currently is \$15 without evaluations.

Mark: The document fee would be \$10 times the fee of a one-time fee, so are you going to generate the same revenue of from a document fee as you did with the per-transcript fee?

Tchad: We want to generate a flat revenue.

Daniel: **Motion to add 8 minutes.**

Tama: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Tchad: The fee also covers graduation verification as well. Any kind of insurance that a student needs verification for has a charge as well. Things like grants, and EAP that you need an official transcript for. A lot happens between graduation and professional school. That is when most of the orderings happen. While we need the revenue, I would really like to get away from the point of someone needing a transcript to get away from here.

Julie: I'm really against this being a students' fee because this is an administrative fee. As students we shouldn't have to decide if we should tax ourselves or not. This shouldn't be a referendum.

Bryna: Is there a possibility that the unlimited transcripts could create a financial burden for your office?

Tchad: It certainly would, we are also trying to create some efficiency with ordering transcripts, especially online transcripts. We want to send data not paper. Students are respectful on other campuses, they order what they need.

Julie: **Motion to extend time 2 minutes.**

Bryna: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

August: Along those lines, and one thing that you said, is that some students wouldn't be using a service that they pay for. So maybe there could be a way that you could lower the document fee, wherein it would still subsidize the majority of the transcripts but have a smaller transcript fee.

Simba: How many transcripts does the \$215 fee buy you?

Tchad: Our thought would be about 8 transcripts plus 3 at the end of graduation. So 11 was our preliminary number. Most order transcripts for grad applications.

Imari: I was going to say that if this fee is imposed that I don't think there should be an additional charge because I feel like that defeats the purpose of initial big fee.

HACK UCSC

Mark: I want to thank SUA for funding HACK UCSC for the last two years. This year we are expecting 600 people in the College 9 MPR. HACK UCSC is a hackathon. A hackathon is a coding marathon. Simba has been to quite a few including both HACK UCSCs. We have invited important tech reps to talk to students. A lot of coding is going to happen and it will be happening over the weekend. We are spending \$55,000 this time and we are collecting a lot of money from different places.

Simba: I went to both previous HACK UCSCs and I see a lot of people get hired out of hackathons and after my first one, I got my first internship. People just come in and try it out and again, we are bringing Google and Amazon this time and a lot of students are going to benefit greatly from this. One thing that is under looked is graphic design and we always need people who are creative. A lot of students from different majors come to this event and it benefits a lot of people.

Mark: Even though it's HACK UCSC we have a lot of turnout from CSUMB and Cabrillo and about half are going to be from UCSC. We are asking for \$3500 since we have gone from 400 to 600 people. This is a very big event and it really puts on the map and it's the only hackathon we have here in Santa Cruz.

Liza: How can this event be made available for people who don't have experience with coding?

Mark: We want anyone to come, like Simba was saying you do actually need someone who can do art, people who have really good ideas. People like yourself, Sociology and Politics majors should be there telling them what to build. CalHacks has a budget of a quarter of a million dollars to put on an event that is twice of our magnitude.

Seamus: Is there an entrance fee and/or a fee waiver?

Mark: Yes we spend about \$75 per student, including clothing and food, and we don't pay fees to speakers and we do give money for prizes. The money that we are requesting is not going to be given straight to UCSC students. Yes there is a waiver system, and you can have it waived.

Lara: I'm concerned with your diversity statistics, I was wondering how you're going to further the diversity of students at this event.

Mark: We have done a lot of reaching out, I won't lie to you and say it 80% women, our department is predominantly White and Asian. By and large, hackathons are going to be dominated by men, we have reached out to only women organizations. However, we have increased our diversity over the years. We raised our turnout of women by 10%. If you only have young white males building apps, you get the same thing over and over again.

Bryna: As someone who is pretty connected to STEM, I'm wondering how your outreach is because I haven't heard about this.

Mark: We have had people going to classes. We are going to have a pre-hack event. We are doing some social advertising, and we have an email list from last year and we are going to get the economics department to get a blast out and the computer science department has agreed to put us on their email.

August: So I see that you have \$1000 allocated for venue, could someone from 9/10 comment on that? Because at Crown I know that we generally waive the venue fee. And also, I remember that you came to us from funding and you said that you were bringing students from other schools and getting the same amounts of funding.

Mark: This year Cabrillo is having their own hackathon and CSUMB felt that they didn't get their \$1000 back that they gave us last year, so they have not committed as much money. If anyone on the inside can help to waive the fee, that would be great.

CALPIRG Projects Presentation:

So CALPIRG is a student funded, students directed organization on campus. Every year many students pledge \$5 to help our campaigns and interests while we are at school and working. We have really focused on the solar campaign to save solar energy and keep it popular and we have worked with the California public utility commission to make an initial recommendation. We are winning right now in that but we still have to keep the pressure up. This Thursday we will be going through the commission meeting. This quarter we are also working on Save the Plastic Bag campaign. We wanted to talk about CALPIRG's contract with the university which hasn't been updated since 1993. We are now working on the new contract to reflect the change in time. We are working on a resolution to amend language with the SUA as well in the next few weeks.

Ivan Mercado Memorial Scholarship:

Art: Two years ago there was a transfer student who passed away during the first weekend of winter quarter. He was an astrophysics major and was part of the porter transfer community. I was a Porter rep two years ago and this event was really close to my heart. Two years ago, the folks in the assembly saw the need to create a memorial scholarship fund and we voted to fund \$10,000 for the memorial scholarship for students of color and allies in the STEM. These people are a part of the community. \$10,000 was allocated and another \$5000 was allocated from Porter Senate over the next 5 years. There has been a little bit of a hiccup with gathering those funds. The monies are still there and they haven't been spent but within this quarter, we hope to allocate those funds to students.

Standard Rules Presentation:

Julie: These are Sturgis' rules, these are the rules that I looked into. The book is about 250 pages. The principles that the book lists are as follows: Facilitation, transaction of business, and promote harmony, equal rights, majority vote decides, minority rights must be protected, the

right of discussion, the right of information and finally, fairness and good faith. These are the guiding principles of the rules. There are four types of motions: main motions, subsidiary motions, privileged motions, and incidental motions. Main motions are the same. Subsidiary motions are used for changing, considering and disposing of main motions, privileged motions have no connection to the main motion but are urgent.

So main motions are: main motions, reconsideration, rescind, and resume consideration. Subsidiary motions can be made to alter the main motion, such as: postpone temporarily, vote immediately, limit debate, postpone definitely, refer to committee, amend and postpone indefinitely. Privileged motions are motions to adjourn, recess, and questions of privilege. Incidental motions are motions to appeal, suspend rules, object to consideration. Point of order is a parliamentary inquiry, you can withdraw a motion, division of a question and division of the assembly. So the way that this would go is that Ray would read the book and next meeting we would suspend the bylaws and then try it out and then vote if we wanted to use it.

Simba: I feel like learning a new set of rules would keep us back a little bit, since now we are getting into the rhythm this quarter, and I feel like if we were going to start this we should start next year in Fall.

Bryna: I know that we have had to create bylaws that allowed for certain instances, like for example an appeal process, are there specific points in that book?

Julie: No it's the opposite, it allows for more judgment by the presiding officer.

Bryna: **Motion to add 5 minutes.**

Tamra: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

August: I'm a little confused on what are some specific examples of things that are troublesome in Robert's Rules that aren't difficult in Sturgis' rules.

Julie: In my opinion we are already doing Sturgis' rules. It is essentially lax Robert's Rules. One thing that Sturgis' rules has that Robert's Rules does too is that they emphasize committees, like the formation of an ad hoc committee. There is just so much in this book that we don't have in Sturgis' rules and mostly it's because it becomes so complicated in Robert's Rules. These rules expedite the process that gets bogged down in Robert's Rules. I think that switching would be difficult but overtime it would be easier for other people to join the space.

Suini: Personally I would like to change it, and I am all for trying it.

Tamra: A lot of this sounds exactly like Roberts' Rules and that is why I don't understand that we should switch.

Tama: I think it's a good proposal but just since it is a fundamental change, I would say that we should try it but maybe we need to finish off this quarter first. I feel like it wouldn't leave the best impression that it deserves.

Eli: I don't like Robert's Rules, but I'm also very cautious about this new set that is being presented for the primary reason that I'm not sure if the committee thing will be effective. I also agree that if we try it out, we should do it at the beginning of the quarter and if possible, we should look over the rules ourselves before the quarter starts so that we have some sort of background for when we want to actually facilitate a meeting.

Simba: First, the argument that it's like Robert's rules, so why change, but there are things in Robert's Rules that are so complicated. Our protocol shouldn't be in the way of our progress. All of a sudden it's a game of who knows Robert's Rules better. I get that the point of a parliamentarian is to facilitate the meetings, but if I don't understand it, then I'm not going to ask the parliamentarian every time. I just don't want our progress to be slowed by the way we run our meetings.

Julie: The chancellor and I had a chat about these protocols and Academic Senate has changed as well. I think that this is worth trying.

Daniel: **Motion to extend time by 7 minutes.**

Tama: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

August: I would like to say first that I don't enjoy Robert's Rules, but I like the safety of knowing that something arises, Robert's Rules will address it. I don't think it's a game of who knows Robert's Rules the best. I am very open to adopting Sturgis' rules because we are already doing it, and the only thing that we would change is just the phrasing of the motions. I don't think that starting at the beginning of the quarter is necessary. I think that using Robert's Rules would be good as a backup.

Imari: I've just heard it's more simple, all I know is that the book is smaller. We waste a lot of time here and we aren't spending time right now helping the student body. It's not our responsibility for us to know everything in Robert's Rules, that's why we hired a parliamentarian. I just feel like us changing and trying to find a new system of something that we are already doing is a waste of our time.

B: I feel like we are discussing a lot of nothing right now and we are saying the same things over and over again. I'm for the simplified rules. **Motion to limit stack.**

Dante: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Julie: I really don't like coming into this space feeling like some of you are afraid to say something because you don't know how to say it. I also don't want this to be a game of people knowing Robert's Rules. I think that we should switch because why not make this space better for everyone. It's simpler and it's basic and there will be a learning curve.

Simba: If you haven't spoken up, take that note, and think about why you haven't talked. Think about whether it's because you're scared or whether it's because you don't know the rules.

UCSC as a Hispanic Serving Institution

Art: I wanted to update you all with the status of the university as a Hispanic Serving Institution. The university is working on some initiatives. Late last year, the university began to publicize the federal grants they were receiving to support student success and equity initiatives at UCSC. The US Department of Education has granted 3.6 million dollars on UCSC to use over the next 5 years and it's to support Latino retention. I'm not too fond of the word Hispanic, and there have been suggestions to change HIS to UCSC as a Latino Graduating Institution. This is to embrace the shift of enrollment at this university. The main goal is diversity and excellence. The Latinx students make 31% of undergrad population. This is a large number.

Some of the questions are what are some departments doing to achieve this goal? The first thing, UCSC individual grant, is the Maximizing Achievement through Preparedness and Advising (MAPA). Over the next five years, 2.6 million dollars will be used for this grant. This is meant to develop UCSC as a HSI. There are 4 areas they want to focus on: math, writing, a sense of belonging, and advising.

UCSC is also working with San Jose City College, where 3.2 million is going to their college and 1 million to UCSC to increase transfer pathways between the two schools.

Finally, money will be used to research through federal agencies.

As many of you know, the math curriculum is a series, if you miss one class you can't continue in the series. With writing, many students struggle with writing, especially in their CORE classes. There will be monthly meetings that are attached to a sense of belonging as well as advising.

There are no students on the steering committee for the planning of the money that the university receives. This money will be going towards a grant coordinator, a graduate student researcher, a Program coordinator for El Centro, and intervention counselor for EOP.

The next steps, as Latinx students, we haven't seen students in the planning process. We definitely need students in the implementation process. Where is that money going and how is it going to benefit students as a whole? Admin will not listen to us if we don't tell us the story. For all students that want to be part of this process, we will have a Latinx forum to be able to share their experiences with each other. We need to elevate the peoples' voices who we represent to admin. If you're interested, please contact me at suaod@ucsc.edu.

Old Business

Deliberation for Jobs for Justice Conference

Simba: College Eight talked about it a lot and we came to a decision that we would not push to fund this and there is \$20,000 left in SUA Programming and this would cover 4 people but it's a quarter of the entire budget. Not every event that comes in we have to fund.

Bryna: So at Cowell, many people were confused as to why 4 or 8 students are going to a conference was worth the money that it is. Moreover, why this information at this meeting couldn't be found somewhere less expensive. We felt that there wasn't enough info about this event.

B: So when we brought back the info to Merrill, we just told them that it was an idea that we were playing with. So the representatives and I were under the assumption that we would go back to our constituencies after today and then vote.

Danny: I'm still worried about the selection committee, and this is a lot of money and you have to select someone for this trip. I'm worried about the possible bias.

Simba: Reserve my right to make a motion, I was under the impression that we would vote on this now. **Motion to fund \$0.**

August: **Second.**

Art: **Objection. Move to amend that motion to \$3,617.93.**

Ray: Is there a second? Seeing none, **motion dies.**

Tamra: I'm looking at the website right now, if the conference is an opportunity to network, there is a social justice thing on our campus and they can go to that too.

Art: I'm kind of disappointed because either A, there wasn't enough information and people didn't reach out. This is a large scale, national labor conference that is happening in DC, this is a chance for thousands of movers and shakers to network over there. Sure we have these workshops over here, with social justice, but that doesn't mean that they are experts on the topic. If this was a conference that was in a more local area like San Francisco, we would surely be glad to send these students there. These people are the best of the best that have been involved in these labor unions. This is an opportunity for students who really want to be part of this to bring it back to SUA. SUA has a strong relationship with the labor unions that represent the workers on this campus. This will be a missed opportunity for UCSC and its students and that would be wrong just because someone had a lack of information or didn't ask further questions.

Eli: **Call to question.**

Tamra: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Vote to fund \$0:

Art: **Call for a role call vote.**

Imari Reynolds (Cowell) **Abstain**, Bryna Haugen (Cowell) **Abstain**, Tyler Papp (Cowell) **Abstain**, Jeffrey Stoll (Stevenson) **Yes**, Alternate Gabriella (Stevenson) **Abstain**, August Valera (Crown) **Yes**, Tias Webster (Crown) **Abstain**, Jane Loughboro (Crown) **Yes**, Lara Loesel (Merrill) **No**, Morgan Smith (Merrill) **Yes**, Bianca (B) Moncada-Martin (Merrill) **Abstain**, Roxanna Gutierrez (Porter) **Abstain**, Amanda Kazden (Porter) **Abstain**, Eli Guzman - Martin (Porter) **Abstain**, Alternate Hannah (Kresge) **Abstain**, Liza Mednikov (Kresge) **Abstain**, Alternate Maxine (Kresge) **No**, Tamra Owens (Oakes) **Yes**, Suini Torres (Oakes) **Yes**, Kiana Coleman (Oakes) **Yes**, Simba Khadder (Eight) **Yes**, Alternate Cameron Quinn (Eight) **Yes**, Alternate Lupe Soto (Eight) **Yes**, Rohit Dhar (Nine) **Abstain**, Katherine Le (Nine) **Abstain**, Anthony Gonzalez (Nine) **Yes**, Ramneet Bajwa (Ten) **Abstain**, Danny Milla (Ten) **Abstain**, Tama Semo (Ten) **Abstain**, Basheera Ali-El (A/BSA) **No**, Alternate Lolo (APISA) **Abstain**, Alternate Haedyn Christie (QSU) **Abstain**, Gilbert Paredes (MEChA) **No**, Erica Green (SANAI) **No**, Art Motta (Organizing Director) **No**, Seamus Howard (Vice President of Academic Affairs) **Yes**, Jabari Brown (Vice President of Internal Affairs) **Abstain**, Julie Foster (President) **Abstain**.

In favor: **12** | Opposed: **6** | Abstentions: **20**, **funded \$0.**

Simba: There were quite a bit of abstains. I'm just curious why were there so many abstentions. Why didn't anyone table it or ask more questions?

Tamra: I almost abstained because I felt really intimidated by roll call vote.

Art: **Motion to extend by 2 minutes.**

B: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Art: It is sad to see so many abstentions and so many students who weren't given a voice. We're all reps here and we are all able to vote yes/no/abstain. When you abstain that is a vote that has been thrown out the window. So far since the beginning of the quarter, there have been not many organic ideas on how to spend our money and time. Most of our time has been spent with how to proceed in meetings instead of continuing on. Consider what the students who can't make it here and remember that you are representing them with the questions that you ask and don't ask.

August: Crown did feel like we had enough information, however the amount of money involved and the size of the delegation, where we couldn't support the event.

Bryna: **Motion to adjourn.**

Imari: **Second.**

Ray: Are there any objections? Seeing none, **motion passes.**

Adjournment